

## Australian Walking Company

Wilderness Trail Accommodation – Sandy Creek

## Flinders Chase National Park – CR 6716/336

520/L006/18 – Deferred Item

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | PAGE<br>NO |
|--|------------|
| AGENDA REPORT – Deferred Item                                      | 1-6        |
| ATTACHMENTS  |            |
| 1: SUPPLEMENTARY CLARIFICATION – Letter & Plans                    | 7-45       |
| 2: PREVIOUS APPLICATION – Report & Attachments From<br>23 May 2019 | 46-337     |



Montage showing aerial image of Sandy Creek development site with proposed buildings superimposed



#### OVERVIEW

| Application No                           | 520/L006/18   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Unique ID/KNET ID                        | 2018/22353/01 ID 3697                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant                                | Australian Walking Company C/- Fyfe                   |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal                                 | Wilderness Trail Accommodation – Sandy Creek          |  |  |  |  |
| Subject Land                             | Flinders Chase National Park – CR 6716/336            |  |  |  |  |
| Zone/Policy Area                         | Conservation Zone                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Relevant Authority                       | SCAP – Development Regulations. Sch 10, 18 – Kangaroo |  |  |  |  |
|  | Island  |  |  |  |  |
| Lodgement Date                           | 19/11/2018  |  |  |  |  |
| Council                                  | Kangaroo Island Council                               |  |  |  |  |
| Development Plan Kangaroo Island Council |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Consolidated – 17 September 2015                      |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Development                      | Merit   |  |  |  |  |
| Public Notification                      | Category 1  |  |  |  |  |
| Representations                          | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Referral Agencies                        | CPB, KI NRMB, CFS, NVC                                |  |  |  |  |
| Report Author                            | Jeremy Wood   |  |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATION                           | Development Plan Consent subject to conditions        |  |  |  |  |

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This application is one of three development applications lodged for tourist accommodation within the Flinders Chase National Park, in association with the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT).

The applicant, Australian Walking Company (AWC), seeks development plan consent for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, a lookout, a staging post and services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails. This will provide accommodation for the third night of the walking experience.

On 23 May 2019 the Panel resolved to defer it's decision of this application to enable:

- Further consideration of the site features and context; and
- Request additional information from the applicant.

The applicant has responded by providing clarification for the SCAP, which is included in the ATTACHMENTS.

The details submitted are considered to sufficiently address the questions posed by the SCAP.

The application is a merit, Category 1 form of development and was subject to referrals to Heritage SA, the Coast Protection Board, the Country Fire Service, the Kangaroo Island Natural Resource Management Board, and the Kangaroo Island Council.

The proposal has been assessed and is considered worthy of development plan consent, subject to conditions.

#### 1. CONCLUSION

To meet a range of accommodation and tourism experience needs, land use and development policy has been established for Kangaroo Island that seeks well designed accommodation for tourists that does not detract from scenic and landscape value of a location.



In addition, the Conservation Zone provides for and encourages tourist accommodation of varying styles in scenic and landscape areas, located and designed such that scale, height, design and siting is respectful of and does not detract from views of the rural, natural or wilderness landscape, of the ocean and coastline, or the elements of the natural landscape eg native vegetation cover, coastal features, animal habitat.

The applicant has given due regard to refining their proposal to mitigate risk from bushfire hazards, to the satisfaction of the SA CFS, with the SA CFS advising that it has no objection to the proposal subject to conditions. The clearance of native vegetation is being considered through a separate application to the NVC. Kangaroo Island Council is supportive of the application, describing it as 'an exciting opportunity for tourism growth and diversity on Kangaroo Island'.

On balance it is considered that the proposed development warrants planning consent as it has been sensitively designed, will provide a wider range of tourist accommodation options on the Island, and is generally consistent with the relevant provisions of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan.

#### 2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the State Commission Assessment Panel:

- 1) RESOLVE that the proposed development is NOT seriously at variance with the policies in the Development Plan.
- 2) RESOLVE that the State Commission Assessment Panel is satisfied that the proposal generally accords with the related Objectives and Principles of Development Control of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan.
- 3) RESOLVE to grant Development Plan Consent to the proposal by Australian Walking Company for tourist accommodation at Sandy Creek subject to the following conditions of consent.

#### PLANNING CONDITIONS

1. The development granted Development Plan Consent shall be undertaken and completed in accordance with the stamped plans and documentation, except where varied by conditions below (if any).

Reason: to ensure the development is undertaken with its approved plans.

2. All trade waste and other rubbish shall be stored in covered containers prior to removal and shall be kept screened from public view.

Reason: To avoid impact on the surrounding natural environment.

3. All external finishes shall have surfaces which are of a low light reflective nature and be of muted natural colours.

Reason: To avoid impact on the surrounding natural environment.

#### **Coast Protection Board (recommended conditions)**

4. The applicant shall ensure that the ongoing management of the site and visitor control measures minimise disturbance to the surrounding area to retain a high cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of sand drift.



- 5. The proposed development shall not result in any scouring, erosion or marine sedimentation impacts.
- 6. Effluent disposal systems shall be designed to minimise impact to the surrounding environment and any system incorporating septic tanks, soakage trenches or similar must be located not less than 100 metres to the mean high water mark.

*Reason: to ensure appropriate safety requirements and hazard reduction practices are adopted during the construction and operational phases of the development.* 

#### SA CFS (directed conditions)

- 7. The applicant & operators shall develop an Operational Management Plan which will ensure that staff and guests alike are not placed at any unnecessary risk through restricting operations and evacuating from the site prior to elevated fire conditions.
- Design and Construction of the proposed refuge building shall be in accordance with Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commissioner Victoria (see Appendix A); and the NCC Part 3.7 "FIRE SAFETY" Australian Standard TM3959 (AS3959) "Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas".
- 9. Siting (of structures) shall be away from existing elevated fuel structures.
- 10. Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.4.1 prescribes the mandatory provision of a dedicated and accessible water supply to be made available at all times for fire-fighting.

Ministers Specification SA78 provides the technical details of the dedicated water supply for bushfire fighting for the bushfire zone. The dedicated bushfire fighting water supply shall also incorporate the installation of a pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Minister's Specification SA78 as follows:

- A minimum supply of 22,000 litres of water shall be available at all times for bushfire fighting purposes.
- The water storage facility (and any support structure) shall be constructed of noncombustible material.
- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply shall be pressurised by a pump that has i. A minimum inlet diameter of 38mm, AND
  - ii. Is powered by a petrol or diesel engine with a power rating of at least 3.7kW (5hp), OR

iii. A pumping system that operates independently of mains electricity and is capable of pressurising the water for fire-fighting purposes.

- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply pump shall be located at or adjacent to the habitable building to ensure occupants safety when operating the pump during a bushfire. An 'Operations Instruction Procedure' shall be located with the pump control panel.
- The fire-fighting pump and any flexible connections to the water supply shall be protected by a non-combustible cover that allows adequate air ventilation for efficient pump operation.
- All bushfire fighting water pipes and connections between the water storage facility and a pump shall be no smaller in diameter than the diameter of the pump inlet.
- All non-metal water supply pipes for bushfire fighting purposes (other than flexible connections and hoses for fire-fighting) shall be buried below ground to a minimum depth of 300mm with no non-metal parts above ground level.



- A fire-fighting hose (or hoses) shall be located so that all parts of the building are within reach of the nozzle end of the hose and if more than one hose is required they should be positioned to provide maximum coverage of the building and surrounds (i.e. at opposite ends of the habitable building).
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be capable of withstanding the pressures of the supplied water.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be of reinforced construction manufactured in accordance with AS 2620 or AS 1221.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have a minimum nominal internal diameter of 18mm and a maximum length of 36 metres.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have an adjustable metal nozzle, or an adjustable PVC nozzle manufactured in accordance with AS 1221.
- 11. Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.5 mandates that landscaping shall include Bushfire Protection features that will prevent or inhibit the spread of bushfire and minimise the risk to life and/or damage to buildings and property.
  - A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the refuge building as follows:
  - The number of trees and understorey plants existing and to be established within the VMZ shall be reduced and maintained such that when considered overall a maximum coverage of 50% is attained, and so that the leaf area of shrubs is not continuous. Careful selection of the vegetation will permit the 'clumping' of shrubs where desirable, for diversity, and privacy and yet achieve the 'overall maximum coverage of 50%'.
  - No understorey vegetation within 2 metre of the habitable building (understorey is defined as plants and bushes up to 2 metres in height).
  - The VMZ shall be maintained to be free of accumulated dead vegetation.
- 12. The applicant & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the extreme risk associated this remote location presents, incorporating the following:
  - Emergency Communication
  - Evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced
  - Emergency response training & regular drills
  - Operating hours and restrictions on days of extreme weather or bushfire events

Reason: to ensure appropriate safety requirements and hazard reduction practices are adopted during the construction and operational phases of the development.

#### ADVISORY NOTES

- a. This Development Plan Consent will expire after 12 months from the date of this Notification, unless final Development Approval from Council has been received within that period or this Consent has been extended by the State Commission Assessment Panel.
- b. The applicant is also advised that any act or work authorised or required by this Notification must be substantially commenced within 1 year of the final Development Approval issued by Council and substantially completed within 3 years of the date of final Development Approval issued by Council, unless that Development Approval is extended by the Council.



- c. The applicant has a right of appeal against the conditions which have been imposed on this Development Plan Consent. Such an appeal must be lodged at the Environment, Resources and Development Court within two months from the day of receiving this notice or such longer time as the Court may allow. The applicant is asked to contact the Court if wishing to appeal. The Court is located in the Sir Samuel Way Building, Victoria Square, Adelaide, (telephone number 8204 0289).
- d. The applicant is advised of the following requirements of the Heritage Places Act 1993.
  (a) If an archaeological artefact believed to be of heritage significance is encountered during excavation works, disturbance in the vicinity shall cease and the SA Heritage Council shall be notified.

(b) Where it is known in advance (or there is reasonable cause to suspect) that significant archaeological artefacts may be encountered, a permit is required prior to commencing excavation works. For further information, contact the Department for Environment and Water.

- e. The applicant is advised of the following requirements of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988.
  - (a) If Aboriginal sites, objects or remains are discovered during excavation works, the Aboriginal Heritage Branch of the Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation Division of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet (as delegate of the Minister) should be notified under Section 20 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988.
- f. The applicant is advised that any native vegetation on the site is protected under the Native Vegetation Act 1991 and Native Vegetation Regulations 2017. Prior to any clearance being undertaken (e.g. for trail networks and "look out" platforms), the applicant should seek Native Vegetation Council approval to do so.
- g. Compliance with the fire protection requirements is not a guarantee the habitable building will not burn, but its intent is to provide a 'measure of protection' from the approach, impact and passing of a bushfire.
- h. The proposed development may be subject to sand drift hazard risk, particularly accommodation pod number 7 to the north. It is recommended that the applicant engage a suitably experienced expert to undertake a site specific sand drift hazard assessment and identify any mitigation measures that should be undertaken.

marth

Jeremy Wood TEAM LEADER, DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, TRANSPORT and INFRASTRUCTURE

## Australian Walking Company – Kangaroo Island Lodge Walk

Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail, Kangaroo Island, South Australia

## Site Selection Process – A History

Prepared for:

## State Assessment Commission Panel

6 June 2019

## Contents

| Preamble  | 3 |
|---|---|
| AWC site philosophy – in short  | 4 |
| A comment on tents versus hard-build structures                             | 4 |
| Accompanying document – AWC Site Selection Timeline chart                   | 5 |
| AWC Site selection process - commentary                                     | 5 |
| a. PRE-DESIGN PHASE   | 5 |
| KIFA 2013   | 5 |
| RFI 2015  | 5 |
| EOI 2017  | 5 |
| RFDP 2016   | 6 |
| Business Case 2017  | 6 |
| Post-Business Case review 2018  | 7 |
| b. SITE INVESTIGATION PHASE   | 7 |
| First Detailed site investigation, Feb 2018                                 | 7 |
| Referral Agency Site Visit I - Pre-lodgement visit I                        | 7 |
| AWC Site Selection Process, March 2018                                      | 7 |
| Second Detailed site investigation, June 2018                               | 8 |
| Referral Agency Site Visit 2 - Pre-lodgement visit 2                        | B |
| Architect engagement – Detailed site investigation                          | 8 |
| Specialist Consultants Engaged, and Pre-lodgement discussions, Aug-Oct 2018 | 9 |
| Development Applications submitted to SCAP Nov 2018                         | 0 |
| DA Referral Responses, Jan-Apr 2019   | 0 |

## Attachments

| Attachment I | AWC KILW Site Selection Timeline chart  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Attachment 2 | Troppo Construction and materiality notes   |  |  |  |
| Attachment 3 | <ul><li>Drawings:</li><li>I. Suggested bushfire clearance reductions</li><li>2. Staging Post reductions</li><li>3. Trail areas May 2019</li></ul> |  |  |  |
| Attachment 4 | AWC Ecologically Sustainable Design Criteria  |  |  |  |
| Attachment 5 | Geotechnical Report extracts  |  |  |  |

## Australian Walking Company – Kangaroo Island Lodge Walk

Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail, Kangaroo Island, South Australia

#### Site Selection Process – A History

## Preamble

The Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) was conceptualised and developed by the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources (DEWNR, now known as DEW, Government of South Australia) in 2013 and 2016 respectively, with the view to boosting South Australia's reputation as a world-class walking tourism destination. In 2015 the *Flinders Chase National Park, Kelly Hill Conservation Park, Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protection Area and Cape Bouguer Wilderness Protection Area Management Plans Draft Amendment 2015*, a revision of the 1999 Management Plan, was produced to facilitate and support the development of appropriate small-scale, in-park ecologically-sensitive accommodation facilities along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail.

In 2013 the Kangaroo Island Futures Authority (KIFA) conducted a detailed feasibility study (*Kangaroo Island Multiday Walk Feasibility Study 2013*) into the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail model for accommodating a number of different visitor experiences on the trail and suggested locations for both the Parks-operated public trail infrastructure and sites for eco-lodges and standing camps for the nominated private commercial tour operator.

In 2017 the Government of South Australia selected the Australian Walking Company (AWC), through a competitive 3-stage tender process, as the preferred proponent for developing ecologically sensitive accommodation facilities and associated walking tour, along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail. AWC's proposal to develop fully-guided walking tour with in-park accommodation was deemed to be in alignment with the Government's vision to provide a range of different visitor experiences associated with the trail. AWC's proposal would provide a different yet complementary experience to the existing tent-based, free and independent model offered by SA Parks, giving visitors the choice to embark upon a supported walking journey with a higher level of accommodation wholly located within the National Park.

As part of the SA Government's vision, up to four sites plus the Cape du Couedic Cottages were offered up for potential overnight accommodation node development. Despite offering up to 4 potential nodes for the development of eco-sensitive trail facilities, the State did not identify any exact locations for the successful tenderer to develop their accommodation. Instead a collaborative approach to site selection (between DEW, SA Parks and AWC) evolved in partnership with the State.

AWC has developed a strategic approach to developing the walk that has transitioned through various scales since its initial proposal tendered in March 2017. Our tale is one of continuous refinement and reduction in scale and scope to the current proposal that sits before the Native Vegetation Council (NVC) and the State Assessment Commission Panel (SCAP) for their consideration.

In addition to the site selection information provided below AWC has also included information pertaining to construction methodology, material selection and geotechnical information at **Attachment 2 – Construction Methodology** and **Attachment 5 – Geotechnical report extracts**. Additional diagrammatic information relating to construction is also contained within **Attachment 3 – Drawings under Part 2 – Troppo Site diagrams**.

## AWC site philosophy - in short

Our walks are *fully immersive*, *educational* and *low environmental impact* experiences, with environmental preservation and appreciation as the main focus of our offering

- Site preservation is not only critical to our environmentally driven ethos but it is a critical part of our guest experience
- Leave No Trace principles for best-practice bushwalking and minimal impact visitation for wild places are observed and practised at every level of our business
- Site choice strategy Degraded land or minimally vegetated areas are preferred sites for locating buildings to minimise vegetation clearance where possible
- **Bushfire strategy** Sacrificial structures and fire refuges are preferred for addressing bushfire risk so that vegetation clearance is kept to an absolute minimum
- **Maintaining separate site zones** from public areas is important for both the public and private walker experience. This is important:
  - to coexist harmoniously and to maintain respectful distances both ways (for private and public to have their own space);
  - to provide a fully immersive journey;
  - to provide site relief from overcrowding of already heavily visited sites ie Snake Lagoon campground)
- Pack it in pack it out all waste is totally removed from site
- **Sustainable transport use** Not using helicopters is our preferred option, with the use of tracks and trails preferred, small vehicles create less socio-environmental impact than heli-use.

For a more detailed description of our company's commitment to best practice ecologically sustainable design and operations refer to attached AWC Environmentally Sustainable Design Criteria at Attachment 4.

#### A comment on tents versus hard-build structures

As part of its feasibility studies into the design, construction and future operation of a walk on Kangaroo Island, AWC conducted extensive research into the suitability of tents and various other types of prefabricated and lightweight structures. Whilst AWC's initial EOI proposal suggested the used of tented camps, subsequent research revealed that tented structures would require significant vegetation clearance to achieve required bushfire standards and also relevant building code standards. AWC deemed this unacceptable for a National Park context and as such made the shift toward hard-build structures.

In addition to AWC's own internal research, a feasibility study produced by the Tasmanian Government into its Three Capes Track Hut<sup>1</sup> development also revealed that if using tents the required BAL ratings were not able to be achieved without significant site clearance which was unacceptable for areas of high conservation value. Indeed from a bushfire safety perspective, a building built to higher BAL rating will require less land clearance, so in effect a hut/lodge option produces a smaller clearance footprint.

<sup>1</sup> Three Capes Track Feasibility Study 2007, Department of Tourism, Arts, and the Environment, Tasmanian Government

Australian Walking Company Kangaroo Island Lodge Walk

## Accompanying document – AWC Site Selection Timeline chart

#### Refer to attached AWC Site Selection Timeline

This document is intended to be read in conjunction with the attached AWC Site Selection Timeline chart (Attachment I) and AWC Site Selection Diagrams, and Troppo Site Selection Diagrams contained in Attachment 3.

The timeline chart in effect tracks all stages of the project's site selection process with regards to site, tracks and trails, building footprint and scope changes to each of these items throughout the Predesign and Design phases.

The chart shows estimates for tracks and trails as extrapolated from external documentation (KIFA 2013 report) and DEW EOI/RFDP documentation and site visits) in grey cells, and then shows AWC's response to scope reduction in yellow cells, and any subsequent scope increases in orange cells.

#### **AWC Site selection process - commentary**

The following notes have been produced to provide commentary on the contents of the accompanying **AWC Site Selection Timeline**, tracking the evolution in both offering (by DEW/SA Government) and response (by AWC) to the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail EOI and subsequent processes, up to the proposals that now sit before SCAP and NVC for their consideration.

Both the chart and the commentary below demonstrate an overall continual reduction in both scale and scope of the project as the relevant site investigations, consultations with Referral Agencies and consultant advice were contributed to each stage of the process.

## a. PRE-DESIGN PHASE

#### **KIFA 2013**

#### Kangaroo Island Futures Authority Kangaroo Island Walking Trail Feasibility Report 2013

This study identified 4 key sites for development of KIWT public and commercial walker infrastructure, paving the way for camps, eco-retreats and standing camps to be developed along the trail. The *KIFA 2013* report identified two distinct opportunities and separate sites for the public walker offering by SA Parks, and a yet to be identified Commercial Tour Operator (CTO). The premise of the KIFA 2013 study was that the CTO campsites were to be located separately and discreetly away from the KIWT trail public facilities.

A desktop analysis of each of the sites identified in the KIFA 2013 study estimates that up to **3.5km** of walking trail and **3km** of vehicle trails (combined total of **6.5km**) would be required to access the **4 sites** identified for the CTO's operations. (Refer to December 2013 in **Attachment 1** - **AWC Site Selection Timeline** for details)

#### RFI 2015

#### Request For Information - Commercial Opportunities, Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail

AWC provided a profile for the company and expressed interest in becoming a commercial tour operator associated with the KIWT. No itinerary, sites or product specifics were requested or provided.

#### EOI 2017

#### Expression of Interest - Commercial Opportunities, Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail

SA Parks/DEW offered up **4** previously undeveloped locations (Snake Lagoon, Cape du Couedic, Sanderson Bay and Grassdale) plus the Cape du Couedic Cottages for potential node overnight development. Of this AWC chose only **3** locations, with the use of the Cape du Couedic Cottages as the second night's accommodation node.

#### **Australian Walking Company**

AWC noted in its submission that its sites would need to be located discreetly and at some distance from existing public infrastructure (as per its other successful walks) so that it would not adversely impact upon the experience of other trail users or public campers. In choosing to locate the sites discreetly and away from the general public visitor sites, with secret/hidden access trails, the AWC sites are then afforded an elevated level of site protection from incidental and damaging off-track visitation (by non-guests). This phenomenon is known to occur when sites are co-located within short walking distances. For example, in the case of one of our existing walks, the private Tasmanian Walking Company hut, co-located within eye-sight of the public hut on the Overland Track, has developed several desire-line tracks where non-guided visitors have come to site to have a look about.

### **RFDP 2016**

#### Request For Detailed Proposal - Commercial Opportunities, Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail

In producing the RFDP proposal, AWC conducted a site visit to Kangaroo Island with DEW and Parks representatives, and visited each of the overnight nodes developed by DEW as part of its KIWT experience. Following the site visit, AWC identified through a combination of on-the-ground searching and desktop study three potential site zones. Sandy Creek/Rocky River, Sanderson Bay and Grassdale were identified as potential locations for the camps, with Cape du Couedic cottages deemed suitable for use for accommodation for the second night.

It should be noted that DEW had also carried out preliminary site investigations and a number of informally identified site options were presented to AWC as potential site zones, including the West Bay Road site (Sandy Creek region) approximately I-I.5km away from the Snake Lagoon public campsite. This site was initially deemed unsuitable for locating the AWC Camp due to distance away from main KIWT trail and proximity to West Bay Road, but in essence gave the impression that DEW was in agreement that AWC could locate its camps a reasonable distance away from the main trail, as requested in AWC's EOI submission, and reiterated in the RFDP proposal and subsequent presentation.

#### AWC Proposed Building footprint

AWC identified that it was interested in looking at permanent tented standing camp accommodation at only **3** camp nodes, with a mixture of hard and soft structures. At this time the overall building footprint was estimated to be at approx. **460m2** per site, (a total of **1380m2** for all three camp sites) including all accommodation and service buildings.

#### Tracks and trails

#### DEW sites:

Desktop estimates for the amount of new walking trail and vehicular access trail to service <u>the sites identified</u> <u>by DEW</u> totalled around **4.5km** of additional walking trail, and **3km** of vehicular access trail, for a total of up to **7.5km** combined tracks and trails.

#### AWC sites:

In contrast, desktop estimates for new vehicle tracks and walking trails to access each of the <u>proposed AWC</u> <u>nodes</u> was estimated to be a total of approximately **2.7km** of walking trails and **2.4km** of vehicle access tracks (**5.1km** total tracks and trails)

#### **Business Case 2017**

#### Business Case feasibility study and Future Jobs Fund Application

AWC developed their Business Case conducting a number of internal feasibility studies and research into different building procurement options, which saw a refinement and reduction in scope from the RFDP 2017 proposal reducing the building site footprints to **375m2** per site for an overall total of **1125m2** for all three camp sites.

#### **Australian Walking Company**

#### Post-Business Case review 2018

Following additional internal revisions and review of the product itinerary, AWC further minimised the scope of its site impact by reducing the overall itinerary to a 4 day product. This reduction in itinerary scope led to a significant minimisation of the site impacts by:

- I. reducing the number of accommodation sites from three to two
- 2. reducing site footprint from 1125m2 to 750m2

3. reducing walking trails and vehicle tracks to 1.7km and 2.4km respectively, for a total of 4.1km tracks and trails combined

## b. SITE INVESTIGATION PHASE

#### First Detailed site investigation, Feb 2018

#### Referral Agency Site Visit I - Pre-lodgement visit I

This site visit was organised and facilitated by DEW to assist AWC in guiding its site selection process. A number of relevant referral agencies were contacted by DEW to attend, (Country Fire Service, Coast Protection Board, Native Vegetation Council, DEW/SA Parks, NRM-KI, Heritage SA) with DEW, the CFS and NRM KI providing representatives with expertise in fire, bushfire, native vegetation and Park management, for on-site investigations and to provide specialist comment.

The over-arching issue at this meeting was the tension between preservation of the existing landform, vegetation and site features against bushfire and land clearance requirements. AWC indicated to the CFS that it was imperative that land clearance around the campsites and specification of the vehicle access tracks be kept to an absolute minimum, and that it would be pursuing a Performance Solution under the National Construction Code to satisfy its statutory obligations.

During these visits AWC and the referral agencies visited a number of sites, including the Sanderson Bay Mallee Forest site initially identified by DEW. Overwhelming advice from the CFS, Parks and DEW bushfire and vegetation staff suggested that siting camps closer to the coast and in lower, less dense vegetation would provide the best balance for bushfire protection and minimising site and vegetation clearance. The agreed trade-off was that longer vehicle access and walking trails would be required to access these sites, although there were possible solutions for minimising the scale and scope of the roadworks

Three potential site zones for the Sandy Creek/Rocky River area, and four potential site zones for Sanderson Bay were identified for further detailed analysis, refer to **Attachment 3 – Part I - AWC Site Selection Plans** for details. To access these sites ranges of an estimated **1.7km to 3.7km** of new walking trail and between **1.5km and 3km** of vehicle trail (for total of **3.2 – 6.7km** site tracks and trails) were implied.

#### AWC Site Selection Process, March 2018

Map diagrams showing the key sites identified at each location are contained within Attachment 3 – Part I - AWC Site Selection Plans

Following the February site visit AWC conducted a high level comparative site appraisal of each of the 3 Sandy Creek/Rocky River sites and the 4 Sanderson Bay site nodes. A range of quantitative (environmental and statutory compliance) and qualitative (experiential) criteria, developed by AWC, were used to inform the initial site selection process and to critically evaluate each of the sites:

#### Qualitative Factors

Guest-experience factors: views, privacy, guest comfort (noise/wind/amenity), flexibility of use, special site qualities

#### **Australian Walking Company**

#### **Quantitative Factors**

| Compliance factors: | site sensitivity, vegetation clearance, vegetation type, bushfire risk level, flame                   |
|---------------------|---|
|                     | spread level, Coastal protection zoning, social and environmental impact,                             |
|                     | access/escape-fire  |
| Cost factors:       | access, climate, suitability for lightweight construction, topographic/environmental costs, cost-risk |

Each site was assessed and evaluated with suitability weightings and key risks identified. Note that at this stage no external advisers or experts had been engaged to conduct detailed work.

Based off discussions with the attending referral agencies and AWC staff, the two sites chosen as best fit were SITE A at Sandy Creek and SITE B at Sanderson Bay. Key factors influencing the decision for choosing SITE A at Sandy Creek was the balance between minimal vegetation clearance and satisfying CFS concerns, coupled with the high experiential qualities. At this time SITE B at Sanderson Bay was chosen as a potential site via desktop survey, as sites A and C were determined to be too exposed to the public walking trail and/or required crossing the trail. SITE B was nominated as preferred site with the qualifier that it would require further investigation and comment from referral agencies to prove its suitability.

Lengths for the required walking trails and vehicle tracks to service these sites were estimated to be **3.2km** for walking trails and **3km** for vehicle tracks, or **6.2km** combined length.

#### Second Detailed site investigation, June 2018

#### Referral Agency Site Visit 2 - Pre-lodgement visit 2

In June 2018 AWC conducted another site evaluation field trip with CFS, Coast Protection Board, SA Heritage, DEW and SA Parks representatives in attendance. SITE A at Sandy Creek was supported by all attendees as the best compromise between CFS and native vegetation clearance concerns, with Coast Protection Board providing advice for siting off the ridgeline and for mitigating visual impact of the proposed structures. SITE B at Sanderson Bay was visited and deemed unsuitable by CFS, DEW and Parks staff due to the level of site and road clearance that would be required to satisfy bushfire concerns. Other sites were visited, with the SITE C area visited and SITE A zone also given some consideration.

Following this meeting a site choice for Sanderson Bay was left as unresolved until further site visits could be actioned and external experts engaged, as all sites showed complexities.

#### Architect engagement – Detailed site investigation

Further site investigation at SITE A Sandy Creek, saw a site below the headland ridge being selected to provide a good balance between reducing visual impact on beach users, and to provide additional shelter and protection from prevailing weather in an existing dune swale.

Following review of site options at Sanderson Bay, SITE C was chosen as the preferred site as it provided a balance between minimal site clearance, the opportunity to have access to great views and enhanced visitor experience, and also to minimise the extent of walking trail and vehicle access tracks to access the site.

Site C was initially discounted due to the vehicle access trail crossing the main KIWT trail, however it was deemed that this site would provide the shorter vehicle access trail that could be cleverly concealed and screened with branches so as to minimise impact on public users of the existing walking trail (as per existing walks in Tasmania). The complex site topography and patchy vegetation coverage of SITE C was also seen to be advantageous in that there were suitable open spaces to accommodate buildings in a way that concealed them within the overall site area, thus minimising vegetation clearance and visual impact.

#### **Australian Walking Company**

It is also notable that AWC's SITE C is the same site identified in the earlier KIFA 2013 feasibility study as a suitable location for siting the commercial tour operators standing camp at Sanderson Bay.

At this stage tracks and trails estimates had *increased* to a combined total of **7.74km** from previous estimates with the addition of **2.14km** of walking trail at Cape du Couedic.

Building footprints for each site were developed at around **360m**<sup>2</sup> for Sandy Creek, and **420m**<sup>2</sup> for Sanderson Bay, for combined total of **780m**<sup>2</sup> across both sites.

#### Specialist Consultants Engaged, and Pre-lodgement discussions, Aug-Oct 2018

During August 2018, AWC engaged a suite of specialist consultants (in disciplines of planning, bushfire and natural values) to work together with Troppo Architects in researching and developing the appropriate site choices and design responses. AWC's consultant team liaised directly with representatives from each nominated Referral Agency to work collaboratively through the various site issues identified during the prelodgement site visits for the final preparation of the Development Applications.

With the tension between bushfire requirements and degree of required vegetation clearance being the biggest issue, AWC, Troppo and SA Bushfire Solutions worked collaboratively to devise an innovative Performancebased Solution that would allow minimal clearance around only one building (Refuge Pod) at each site rather than clearing around all site infrastructure, as per standard statutory bushfire codes.

Building on the site selection work already carried out by AWC, Troppo commenced a detailed site investigation to consider further site options for each location.

Troppo's detailed site investigation and siting strategy is described in the diagrams contained at **Attachment 3** – **Part 2** – **Troppo site selection diagrams** and in the following paragraphs:

#### TROPPO CAMPSITE LOCATION SELECTION

Alternative sites at both Sanderson Bay and Sandy Creek were considered, including some requiring shorter access tracks.

The drawings detail positives and negatives for all sites. Alternative sites were primarily discarded for reasons of:

- Excessive vegetation clearance
- Lack of compelling outlooks from campsites
- Lack of shelter against weather
- Adequate space to group site components cohesively within the topographical setting
- • Excessive benching (site cut and fill) requirements
- Issues of erosion control
- • Visibility from KIWT and other areas of public visitation

#### VEHICLE ACCESS TRACK DESIGN AND ROUTE SELECTION

The proposed light vehicle access tracks have been carefully sited to utilise existing animal trails and their width kept to 3.15 m, the bare minimum to provide for construction access by ATV quad bike or narrow track tractors towing purpose-built narrow trailers. Tracks will be stabilised by laying down mulched vegetation without the need for compacting imported fill. Trafficked width will be approximately 1.95 m and allowance made for 0.6 m on each side for drainage control. After construction these tracks will be maintained for service provision including food delivery, waste disposal and maintenance. They follow natural contours to minimise erosion.

At Sandy Creek, the route was also selected with a view to minimising impacts on other trail users, and to provide discreet servicing by vehicle without crossing the main trail. Vehicle access was considered to provide better operational access and less general disturbance than using helicopters, without vehicle track. The selected approach will have no impact on public amenity.

At Sanderson Bay, the route initially utilises a disused construction track (pictured below). This track then angles to discreetly cross the walking trail with minimum visual disturbance. Beyond that crossing, the route is again sited to avoid visibility from the walking trail.

#### WALKING TRACK ROUTE SELECTION

Proposed new walking trails have been carefully sited to generally use existing animal trails and their width kept to 0.6 m, the bare minimum to provide for single file walkers. Drones were used to help determine the path requiring the least clearance.

#### **Development Applications submitted to SCAP Nov 2018**

AWC submitted 3 separate Development Applications to the SCAP in November 2018.

Total building footprint for Sandy Creek =  $359m^2$ , and Sanderson Bay =  $423.8m^2$ , with combined total of  $783.5m^2$  across both sites.

At this stage tracks and trails estimates were *increased* again to a combined total of **10.68km** from previous lengths to provide a degree of flexibility in the tracks and trails scope. The main increase was in the walking trails component which had increased to **7.52km**, to provide different options should any of the trails be found to be unviable during future ground-truthing processes.

### DA Referral Responses, Jan-Apr 2019

The Native Vegetation Clearance Application was submitted on 5 March 2019, with Fauna Survey as prepared by EBS Ecology tendered to Native Vegetation Council on 12 April 2019.

The results of the fauna survey demonstrated that sites located closer to the coast provided a lower quality habitat for threatened fauna species than habitat located further away from the coast. Given that a high level of vegetation clearance would be required to site buildings further back from the coast, (and in better quality habitat) provides a level of support to the sites being located closer to the coast. AWC acknowledges that the extent of tracks and trails required to access these sites however does pose some potential issues with habitat fragmentation, which it has addressed through further scope reductions in both vegetation clearance and track and trail scope. The fauna survey also provided further recommendations for reducing scope and scale of walking trails, which AWC is currently considering.

AWC, Troppo and consultant team continued to work together with all Referral Agencies to further refine and reduce the scale and scope of trails and level of native vegetation clearance across each of the sites.

#### Tracks and trails

Removing the **2.14km** of walking trail at Cape du Couedic and by reducing the walking trail at Sandy Creek from **4.3km** to **2.05km** of trail provided a significant reduction in walking trail scope to a total of **3.08km** of walking trail across both sites. Overall combined tracks and trails were thus reduced from **10.68km** to **6.35km**.

#### Native Vegetation Clearance

Total native vegetation clearance across all sites was reduced to **1.7151 hectares** (down from the original **1.8621ha** for Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay combined, or **1.9821 ha** across all three sites).

Attachment 1 AWC KILW Site Selection Timeline chart

| TIMELINE  | 2013                                       | 2015<br>OCTOBER NOVEMBER                                   |               | 2017<br>MARCH         |   |   |            |                         |          |
|---|--|--|---------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------------|----------|
|   | DECEMBER                                   |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| PHASE   | a. PRE-DESIGN                              |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| SUB- PHASE  | Government Feasibility                     | Government Tender - EC                                     | DI part I     |                       |   | Government Tender - EOI part 2              |            |                         |          |
| KEY REPORTS/ MILESTONES                                       | Kangaroo Island Futures                    | Request For Information - Commercial Opportunities on KIWT |               |                       |   | Invitation for Expression of Interest (EOI) |            |                         |          |
|   | Authority Report                           |  |               |                       |   | Government of South Australia - Open tender |            |                         |          |
|   | KIFA Recommendations                       | DEW/Parks offer  |               | AWC Response          |   | DEW/Parks offer                             |            | AWC response            |          |
| ltinerary   | 5 days/ 4 nights                           | open invitation  |               | no specific itinerary |   | 5 days/4 nights                             |            | 5 days/4 nights         |          |
| KI Wilderness Trail usage                                     | full trail <b>67km</b>                     | full trail <b>67 km</b> + extensi                          | on if desired | no length specified   |   | full trail <b>67 km</b>                     |            | full trail <b>67 km</b> |          |
| No. of sites  |  | none specified   |               | none specified        |   |   | 4          |                         | 3        |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   | + Cape du Couedi                            | c cottages | + Cape du Couedic       | cottages |
| Type/style of new accommodation                               | -  |  | -             |                       |   |   | -          | Permanent tents         |          |
| Individual Site footprint (area m2)                           | -  |  | -             |                       |   |   | -          |                         | -        |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| TOTAL All Sites footbrint (area m2)                           |  |  |               |                       |   |   | -          |                         | -        |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Commercial Tour Oberator Cambride locations by Overnight Node |  | none specified   |               | none specified        |   | none specified                              |            | none identified         |          |
| commercial roal operator compare locations by oremigner road. |  |  |               | none specified        |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Node   Snake Lagoon/ Rocky River                              | Rocky River site                           |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| a,  | ,  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Node 2 Cape du Couedic  | Maupertuis Bay - Clifftop Eco-retreat site |  |               |                       | - |   | -          | CDC cottages            |          |
| Node 3 Sanderson Bay  | Dune site (same as AWC SITE C choice       |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   | June 2018)                                 |  | -             |                       | - |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Node 4 Grassdale  | Grassdale Plains site                      |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Additional tracks and trails required                         |  | none specified   |               | none specified        |   | none specified                              |            |                         | n/a      |
| Node 1 Snake Lagoon/ Rocky River                              |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| walking trail   | up to <b>500m</b>                          |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| vehicle track   | up to Ikm OR helicopter                    |  |               |                       | - |   |            |                         |          |
| Node 2 Cabe du Couedic  | · <u> </u>                                 |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   | up to IIm (assuming KIEA site)             |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| waiking trail   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| vehicle trail   | up to IKm OR helicopter                    |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Node 3 Sanderson Bay  |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| walking trail   | up to <b>500m</b>                          |  | -             |                       | - |   |            |                         | -        |
| vehicle track   | up to 500 m <u>OR</u> helicopter           |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Node 4 Grassdale  |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| walking trail   | up to 1.5km                                |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| vehicle track   | up to 500m <u>OR</u> helicopter            |  | -             |                       |   |   | -          |                         | -        |
| TOTAL additional walking trail                                | 3.5 km                                     |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| TOTAL additional vehicle trail                                | 3 km                                       |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| TOTAL walking + vehicle trail                                 | 6.5km                                      |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Native Vegetation Clearance                                   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Nada I. Sandy Graek   |  |  |               |                       | - |   | -          |                         |          |
| Node 1 Salay Creek  |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
| Node 2 Cape au Couedic  | -  |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Node 3 Sanderson Bay  | -  |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| TOTAL NATIVE VEG CLEARANCE                                    | -  |  | -             |                       | - |   | -          |                         | -        |
| Site Selection type + advice                                  |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  | 1  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  | 1  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  |  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |
|   |  | 1  |               |                       |   |   |            |                         |          |

| 2017 (continued)                           |   |                                   | 2018   |  |                                       |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| UNE  |   | SEPTEMBER                         | DECEMBER   | FEBRUARY   | MARCH                                 |  |  |
|  |   | <u>1</u> ^                        | <u> </u>   | B. SITE INVESTIGATION PHASE                      |                                       |  |  |
| Government Tender - EOI part 3             |   | AWC Feasibility Study             | AWC Feasibility Study  | AWC Site Investigation - Part I                  | AWC Site Investigation - Part 2       |  |  |
| Request for Detailed Proposal (RFDP        | ·)  | Business Case                     | Post-Business Case Review  | First Detailed site investigation                | AWC Site Selection process            |  |  |
| Government of South Australia - selected   | tender                                      | + Future Jobs Fund submission     | Internal AWC Review  | AWC and Referral Agencies                        | Internal AWC Review                   |  |  |
| DEW/Parks offer                            | AWC response                                | AWC response                      | AWC response   | AWC response                                     | AWC response                          |  |  |
| 5 days/4 nights                            | 5 days/4 nights                             | 5 days/4 nights                   | 4 days/3 nights  | as per previous                                  | as per previous                       |  |  |
| full trail 67 km                           | full trail 67 km                            | part trail 67 km                  | part trail <b>51 km</b>  |  |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | as per previous                                  | -                                     |  |  |
| 4  | 3   | 3                                 | 2  | as per previous                                  | "                                     |  |  |
| + Cape du Couedic cottages                 | + Cape du Couedic cottages                  | + Cape du Couedic cottages        | + Cape du Couedic cottages   |  |                                       |  |  |
| -  | Permanent tents and hard structures         | Permanent tents + hard structures | Permanent tents + hard structures  | Hard structures                                  | Hard structures                       |  |  |
| -  | 460 m2 per site                             | 375 m2 per site                   | 375 m2 per site  | as per previous                                  | as per previous                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| -  | 1380 m2 all sites                           | 1125 m2 all sites                 | 750 m2 all sites   | as par provinus                                  | п                                     |  |  |
| 2 sizes informally supervised (sizing here | 3 dia anno identificad (during site utris). | 2                                 | 2 site second for the distance of the second s | 2 site zones                                     | 2 sites                               |  |  |
| Sites informally suggested (visited by     | Site zones identified (during site visit):  | S site zones                      | Z site zones (reduced from 3, omitting Grassdale   | z site zones                                     | Z Sites                               |  |  |
| Devi + Avic).                              |   |                                   | site)  |  |                                       |  |  |
| West Bay Road area                         | Sandy Creek/Rocky River site - Zone only    | as per REDP                       | as per REDP  | 3 potential Site zones identified A Rand C       | SITE A selected as preferred site     |  |  |
|  | Sandy Creek Nocky River Size - Zone only    |                                   |  | 5 potential Site zones identined. A, B and C     |                                       |  |  |
| CDC cottages as per AVVC EOI               | CDC cottages as per AVVC EOI                |                                   | CDC cottages as per REDP   | CDC cottages                                     | CDC cottages                          |  |  |
| Sandaran Davisat of Fire Tarillin and a    | Condenses Dev. Zone and a set of Fire       |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| forest                                     | Sanderson Bay - Zone only - east of Fire    |                                   | as per KrDr  | 4 potential Site zones identified: A, B, C and D | SITE B selected as preferred site     |  |  |
| lorest                                     | T di  |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| Grassdala alain                            | Grassdala Sugar Cum area - Zono only        |                                   | -I (deleted)   | n/a  | n/a                                   |  |  |
| Estimato                                   | Grassdale Sugar Guin area - 20ne only       | Estimator                         | Estimato   | Estimator  | Estimato                              |  |  |
| Esumate.                                   | Estimate.                                   | <u>Estimate.</u>                  | Escinate.  | <u>Estimate.</u>                                 | <u>escillate.</u>                     |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  | SITE A                                |  |  |
| up to 1.5km                                | up to <b>1 km</b>                           | up to <b>1 km</b>                 | as per previous  | I - 2.5 km                                       | up to <b>2km</b>                      |  |  |
| up to <b>1km</b>                           | up to 1km                                   | up to <b>1 km</b>                 |  | I - 2 km   | up to <b>2km</b>                      |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| up to Ikm (assuming KIFA site)             | 200m  | 200m                              | 200m   | 200m   | 200m                                  |  |  |
|  |   | -/-                               | ,  | ,  | ,                                     |  |  |
| up to <b>IKIII</b> (assuming KIPA site)    | n/a   | n/a                               | n/a  | n/a  | n/a                                   |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  | SITE B                                |  |  |
| up to <b>500m</b>                          | up to <b>500m</b>                           | up to <b>500m</b>                 | as per previous  | 500m - 1 km                                      | up to I km                            |  |  |
| up to <b>500m</b>                          | up to <b>1 km</b>                           | up to I km                        |  | 500m - 1 km                                      | up to I km                            |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | n/a  | n/a                                   |  |  |
| up to 1.5km                                | up to 1km                                   | up to Ikm                         | deleted  | n/a  | n/a                                   |  |  |
| up to <b>500m</b>                          | up to <b>400m</b>                           | up to <b>400m</b>                 | deleted  | 2/2  | n/2                                   |  |  |
| 4.E. June                                  | 2.7.1                                       | 2.7 line                          | 1.7.1  |  | 2.2 June                              |  |  |
| 4.5 KM                                     | 2.7 Km                                      | 2.7 Km                            | 1.7 Km   | 1.7 km - 3.7 km                                  | 3.2 km                                |  |  |
| 3 km                                       | 2.4 km                                      | 2.4 km                            | 2.4 km   | 1.5 km - 3 km                                    | 3 km                                  |  |  |
| 7.5km                                      | 5.1 km                                      | 5.1 km                            | 4.1 km   | 3.2 km - 6.7 km range                            | 6.2 km                                |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| -  | -   |                                   | -  | -  | -                                     |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  |  |                                       |  |  |
| -  | -   | -                                 | -  | -  | -                                     |  |  |
| -  | -   | -                                 | -  | -  | -                                     |  |  |
| Desktop estimates only                     | Desktop and site visit                      | as per previous                   | as per previous  | First Referral Agency Site Visit                 | Site Appraisal Report April 2018 v1.1 |  |  |
|  | Desktop site selection                      |                                   |  | Site visit - AWC Board + Project Team            | SITE A chosen to address CFS and      |  |  |
|  | 2 KIWT trail site visit                     |                                   |  | with Parks SA ECNIP Management staff             | to address site clearance scope       |  |  |
|  | 3. Advice from Parks SA FCNP                |                                   |  | 2. First Referral Agency Advice & Site visit     | to address site clearance scope       |  |  |
|  | Management staff                            |                                   |  | Present:   |                                       |  |  |
| 1  | i ianagement stan                           |                                   |  | i resene.  |                                       |  |  |
| 1  | 4. No advice from Referral Agencies         |                                   |  | - DEW (Parks)                                    |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | - Country Fire Service                           |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | - NRM KI   |                                       |  |  |
| 1  |   |                                   |  | (Native Veg - declined invitation)               |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | (Heritage SA - unavailable)                      |                                       |  |  |
|  |   |                                   |  | (Coast Protection Board - unavailable)           |                                       |  |  |

| 2018 (continued)   |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| IUNE   | IULY                                   | AUG-OCT AUG - OCT  |   | NOVEMBER                             |  |  |  |
| ,  | c. DESIGN PHASE                        |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| AWC Site Investigation - Part 3                          | AWC Design Commencement                | Design - Consultant Advice Design - Agency Advice        |   | Approvals Phase I - SCAP             |  |  |  |
| Second Detailed site investigation                       | Architect Engagement - Troppo          | Specialist Consultants engaged Pre-lodgement discussions |   | Development Applications submitted   |  |  |  |
| AWC and Referral Agencies                                | Troppo First site visit (17+18th July) |  | Design + preparation of DA submissions      |                                      |  |  |  |
| AWC response   | AWC response                           | AWC response   | AWC response                                | AWC DA submissions                   |  |  |  |
| as per previous  | as per previous                        | as per previous  | as per previous                             | 4 days/ 3 nights                     |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   | part trail <b>5 l km</b>             |  |  |  |
| 11   |  | 8  | 9   | 2 sites (Camps)                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   | + Cape du Couedic Cottages           |  |  |  |
| I found and services and                                 | Hand Toose 1 Loophance                 |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| Hard structures  | 250 m2 Sandy Creak                     | as per previous  | as per previous                             | 250 m2 citre A                       |  |  |  |
| as per previous  | 337 III2 - Sandy Creek                 | as per previous  | as per previous                             | 337 III2 SITE A                      |  |  |  |
|  | 423.8 m2 - Sanderson Bay               | 1  | "   | 423.8 m2 SITE C                      |  |  |  |
|  | 783.5 m2 TOTAL                         | 11   |   | 783.5 m2                             |  |  |  |
| 2 sites - one unresolved                                 | 2 sites                                | 2 sites  | 2 sites                                     | 2 sites                              |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| SITE A (preferred site for minimising building zone veg  | SITE A                                 | SITE A   | SITE A                                      | SITE A                               |  |  |  |
| clearance and CFS clearances)                            |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   | 67.6                                 |  |  |  |
| CDC cottages   | CDC                                    | CDC  | CDC   | CDC                                  |  |  |  |
| Unresolved (SITE B deemed unsuitable due to CFS concerns | SITE C revisited as preferred site     | SITE C selected as preferred site                        | SITE C                                      | SITE C                               |  |  |  |
| and required clearances)                                 |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| n/a  | n/a                                    | n/a  | n/a   | n/a                                  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate:                              | Estimate:  | <u>Calculated distance:</u>                 | Calculated distance:                 |  |  |  |
| SITE A   | SITE A                                 | SITE A - Ridge site                                      | SITE A - Lower dune site chosen             | <u>SITE A</u>                        |  |  |  |
| as per previous  | as per previous                        | as per previous  | 4.3km                                       | 4.3km                                |  |  |  |
| 11   | н                                      | н  | 2.49km                                      | 2.49km                               |  |  |  |
| CDC  | CDC                                    | CDC  | CDC   |                                      |  |  |  |
| 25 per previous  | 2 14km                                 | as per previous  | 2 14 km                                     | 2  4km                               |  |  |  |
| ,  |  | as per previous  | 2.17 KIII                                   | An aviating and ank                  |  |  |  |
| n/a  | n/a                                    | n/a  | n/a   | use existing road only               |  |  |  |
| SITE B   | SITEC                                  | SITEC  | SITEC                                       | <u>SITE C</u>                        |  |  |  |
| site deemed unsuitable - CFS + Veg                       | up to <b>I km</b>                      | as per previous  | 1.08km                                      | 1.08km                               |  |  |  |
| site deemed unsuitable - CFS + Veg                       | up to <b>600 m</b>                     |  | 671m  | 671m                                 |  |  |  |
| n/a  | n/a                                    | n/a  | n/a   | n/a                                  |  |  |  |
| n/a  | n/a                                    | n/a  | n/a   | n/a                                  |  |  |  |
| n/a  | n/a                                    | n/a  | n/a   | n/a                                  |  |  |  |
| upresolved   | 5.14 km                                | 5.14 km  | 7.52 km                                     | 7.52 km                              |  |  |  |
| uprosolved   | 2.6 loss                               | 2.6 km   | 2 16 km                                     | 3 16 km                              |  |  |  |
| unresolved   | 2.0 KIII                               | 2.0 KIII   | 5.10 KIII                                   |                                      |  |  |  |
| unresolved   | 7.74 km                                | 7.74 km  | 10.68 km                                    | 10.68 km                             |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  | -                                      | -  | -   | 1.3325 ha                            |  |  |  |
|  | -                                      | -  | -   | 0.12ha (deleted prior to NVC App.)   |  |  |  |
|  | -                                      | -  | -   | 0.5296 ha                            |  |  |  |
| -  | -                                      | -  | -   | 1.8621 ha (1.9821 ha with CDC trail) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Site A. Sanda Canala                        |                                      |  |  |  |
| Second Referral Agency Site Visit                        | I. Multiple site visits                |  | Site A - Sandy Creek                        |                                      |  |  |  |
| Present:   | 2. Referral Agency engagement          |  | - Lower Dune site at Sandy Creek            |                                      |  |  |  |
| - DEW (Parks)  | - DEW (Parks)                          |  | chosen after consultation with CPB and      |                                      |  |  |  |
| - Country Fire Service                                   | - Country Fire Service                 |  | CFS re: visibility and vegetation clearance |                                      |  |  |  |
| - Coast Protection Board                                 | - Coast Protection Board               |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
| - NRM KI   | - Heritage SA                          |  | Site C - Sanderson Bay                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| - Heritage SA  |  |  | - Sanderson Bay Flora report                |                                      |  |  |  |
| (Native Veg - declined invitation)                       |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |                                      |  |  |  |

# **2019** JANUARY - APRIL

Approvals Phase 2 - NVC + SCAP DA Referral Agency Responses

AWC response

as per previous

as per previous

as per previous

n/a

Revised distance: SITE A

2.05km

2.49km

CAPE du COUEDIC use existing SWER trail

use existing road only

SITE C I.08km

671m

n/a n/a

3.08 km 3.16km

n/a

6.35 km

1.1855 ha

1.7151 ha

DA revisions

CFS - reduced site clearance through increased BAL and Emergency Mngmt

Strategy NVC - tracks and trails reduced

deleted 0.5296 ha

Attachment 2 Troppo Construction and materiality notes

#### **Notes on Construction Approach and Materiality** provided by Troppo Architects **Construction methodology**

The Staging Post will be constructed first to create the construction base (with existing road access). Supplies, building materials and trade vehicles/ personnel will be mustered here and be confined to this area.

The access tracks, boardwalks and site paths are then built second to enable construction of the standing camps. The service point acts as an on-sire holding point. Trafficability around the sites will to be restricted to the paths, boardwalks, built first, so to minimise potential site degradation during the construction process. Site impacts will be managed during construction to ensure minimal revegetation and disturbance is achieved, commencing with site inductions.

An overall approach is to minimise site construction time through a mix of flatpack and portables construction, with portables being employed for wet area/ high fitout components. This will also remove the common construction impacts that are seen on a traditional build

These elements and bulk materials will be transported to each Staging Posts base. From here all building elements (and personnel) will be transferred to site using ATV's, purpose-built trailers and narrow wheel base vehicles to transport each element to site.

For instance, at Sanderson Bay the sleeping pods are designed to be placed on ramped boardwalk frames. Vegetation (and revegetation) will be allowed to grow right up to and under each building. By utilizing the platform and the elevated boardwalks, the units and flatpacks can be systemically winched and railed over the dunes to their final locations thereby reducing any interference with the dune system. This will result in no vehicles being brought past the service point further reducing site disturbance.

The same methodology will be employed at Sandy creek, where by the units will be brought along the access trails and then railed over the existing vegetation to their paired locations.

It is proposed to use a concrete-free footing system (eg. the proprietary 'Surefoot', 'Mega anchor' or similar) across all sites. These footing types generally comprise of a metal plate, with holes of varying size and number, bedded into the ground surface. Small diameter stainless steel steel rods of various lengths are then driven through the holes using a handheld jackhammer. The rods are typically splayed at different angles to provide a firm base and some resistance to lateral forces. The footings are quickly and easily installed, and they may be readily removed in the future, with no excavation or ground works of the dune system needed.

This system is proposed over the traditional concrete footing system due to our imposed access limitations to construction machinery and materials, and the high eco-sensitivity of the project. And reduce site time.

#### **Materiality**

The pods are proposed to be built with structural steel portal frame (finished marine paint system), inserted into these frames will be light weight Australian hardwood and galvanised steel stud infill panels. Aluminium framing alternatives are being investigated

The pods are built with hard-wearing, highly durable materials. Cement sheet cladding, nil finish hardwood weatherboard, Li-ten weathered steel cladding and Colorbond Ultra roofing have been chosen for their environmental fit – and overall low-embodied-energy. The pods are built robustly, of 'real' materials and are designed to age gracefully with no/minimal maintenance over 45 years.

No applied or painted finishes are to be used other than protective coatings to structural steel, with only natural oils used on timber finishes. This low-toxic approach has been adopted so as to prevent any paint or degradable finishes contaminating the site as they age over time.

Any necessary maintenance will closely follow the initially construction methodology with resources brought to the Staging Post, then transferring to the camp's suite of ATVs. Maintenance contractors will then utilise the existing boardwalks and paths to access in and around buildings.

All camp elements can be fully deconstructed and removed on the conclusion of the lease period.

In construction, performance and maintenance actions, camp construction conforms with the best practice ESD (Ecologically Sustainable Design) principles.

## Attachment 3

- Drawings:
  AWC Site Selection plans April 2018
  Troppo Site selection diagrams
  Trail areas at May 2018

Part 1 – AWC Site Selection Plans April 2018





Part 2 – Troppo Site Selection diagrams







SITE PLAN: SITE







ANG 13 FEB 2019 (Rev  $\overline{\mathbf{n}}$ troppo

DETAI

Ш

S

S

Z

Ω

ш

SANDY

◀ Ш

Ζ

4

O

AR











PARAMETERS



50m









250n

Scale 1: 5000







Scale 1: 5000















TOPOGRAPHY: SITING 15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)


SITE PLAN: CONSTRUCTIONS DIAGRAM

15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)

Part 3 – Trail areas at May 2**019** 





Attachment 4 AWC Ecologically Sustainable Design Criteria

# AWC Ecologically Sustainable Design Criteria

# ESD I. PROTECT, PROMOTE + PRESERVE

The design and operation of all walks must protect and preserve the sensitive locations that AWC is privileged to operate in. AWC's goal is to present the majesty of these wild places to the public in a manner that is enjoyable, educational, sustainable and conducive to repeat visitation. Any built interventions must enhance and complement, rather than compete with or compromise, the natural environment.

# ESD 2. EDUCATE + ADVOCATE

AWC through its walks aims to increase awareness of the intrinsic value of wild places, and build greater advocacy amongst its guests and the broader community for the conservation of National Parks, World Heritage Areas and other significant cultural and natural landscapes. AWC sees itself as a strong environmental advocate and its staff play an important role in promoting Environmental Stewardship and leadership through direct action and interpretation delivery.

# **ESD3. CAREFUL SITE SELECTION**

Careful and considered site selection is a fundamental element of AWC's business. Selecting the right site should provide guests with the opportunity to connect with nature in a safe, enjoyable and environmentally sustainable way. Choosing the right site is thus important to achieve the company's cultural, environmental, functional and aesthetic aims.

All site choices are to be determined with guidance from land, geotechnical and natural values surveys, advice from relevant agencies (ie local, state and federal) and in consultation with key stakeholders.

# **ESD4. APPROPRIATE DESIGN**

The AWC philosophy embraces fully the concepts of eco-sensitive and discretely beautiful design tucked into the natural landscape, off-grid and completely self-sufficient with best-practice systems and a tread lightly approach underpinned by a "pack-it-in-pack-it-out" methodology. Use of local knowledge, product and resources during design, construction and operation is vital in creating a product that is successfully integrated with its location. Key directives:

#### I. essential + minimal

All building envelopes must be designed efficiently and in keeping with sustainable design philosophies, to minimise their overall site footprint. Simplicity is a key design directive for our sustainable and remote operations

#### 2. climate

Buildings must be designed to provide <u>modest</u> comfort within the climates in which they are located. Consideration for extreme weather events (snow, rain, storms, extremes of heat, cyclones etc, depending upon location) must be addressed in the design and construction of all of our facilities

#### 3. passive building design principles

Buildings are to be sited to promote **natural daylighting** whilst providing **deep shade** and protection from harsh sunlight and prevailing weather conditions. Buildings must maximise **natural ventilation** via the use of louvres, operable walls and windows, using **insect screens** where required

#### 4. site preservation

Floor levels to be elevated above the ground plane for *minimal site impact*, to mitigate erosion and to maintain *natural drainage* patterns. Duck-boards, rock steps and other trackworking is to be provided on access trails and between buildings to protect the vegetation and soils. Erosion will be mitigated through careful site planning and sensitive landscape works. AWC has also developed successful design strategies to minimise and mitigate its presence in the landscape, including reducing bird-strike, rodent activity and light spills.

#### 5. materials

Materials are not only selected for their beauty and tactile qualities, but for their long-term **robustness**, **durability**, **sustainability** and **embodied energy** properties. Surface reflectance and material toxicity are also to be considered when selecting materials. Low-maintenance natural materials are favoured here.

# 6. low-impact construction techniques

Clever design and the use of **modular** and **prefabricated** construction techniques, prefabricated and **lightweight** componentry allows structures to be assembled efficiently, ensuring minimal site disturbance. Component materials are to be made off-site to reduce on-site construction waste. De-mountability and replaceability of component parts is also a key consideration in AWC's design process.

Contractors and on-site staff are briefed and trained in best-practice biosecurity management and Leave No Trace principles.

#### 7. local knowledge + resources

AWC champion the use of local design and construction knowledge and skills (ie local architect and consultant team, local builder) where possible, and favour the use of local sustainable materials to provide sense of authenticity and connection to place. Ongoing use of local products provides greater connection to place and promotes locally specific crafts and traditions, whilst strengthening relationships with local businesses and supply-chains.

## **ESD 5. SUSTAINABLE OPERATIONS**

#### LEAVE NO TRACE

Environmental Stewardship is a focus of our business across all AWC operations.

AWC staff members are passionate advocates for wild places. AWC Guides encourage guests to actively care for their surroundings, and the company both promotes and practices Leave No Trace minimal impact bushwalking principles both on trail and at the camps. AWC Guides actively explain the building systems and operations to guests, and facilitate discussions regarding sustainability in a confident yet accessible manner.

AWC believes it has a responsibility to instill sustainability concepts not only with it's own staff but also its guests, by educating them and making them aware of how best to care for the 'bush' in a manner conducive to repeat visitation. Under Leave-No-Trace best-practice biosecurity measures are actioned on trail and at camp.

## **ENERGY, WASTE AND WATER**

AWC's proposals are for eco-sensitive camps with best practice systems. Whether camp, hut or lodge, all buildings are to be fully self-sufficient and off-grid, with all systems being managed by the AWC, in accordance with local statutory requirements.

- Energy Power + Lighting, Heating + Cooking Energy sources are fully off-grid and solar powered, with low-energy fixtures, timers and sensor switches employed to maximize efficiency. LPG Gas to be used for hot-water, heating and cooking.
- 2. Waste All waste systems must be designed to provide suitable on-site treatment and/or provide a solution for total removal from site:

Organic waste - fully enclosed composting system, product totally removed from site

**Toilet waste** – using AWC's innovative fly-out pods system or similar approved other, as per its successful remote operations in Tasmania. All toilet waste to be totally removed from each site for treatment at approved local wastewater facility.

**Garbage + recycling** – collection, classification, separation and short-term storage at each site in secure vermin-proof containers, to be removed by AWC staff, and deposited at local waste/recycling facility

Greywater – on site greywater treatment and dispersion system, in accordance with statutory requirements

3. Water - All water for drinking, dish-washing and showering to be rainwater captured via roofs and stored in tanks that are close coupled to their respective use structures.

# **ESD 6. DIVERSITY + CHOICE**

AWC supports considered and limited development within National Parks and reserves. The company supports government initiatives to increase choice and diversity of experience for public and private visitors, where best-practice environmental outcomes can be proven and upheld. People naturally become better and more vocal advocates for wild places through direct experience. The AWC team believe that if sufficiently regulated (by government), and sensitively designed and operated, a range of activities and budgets can be provided through restricted development within National Parks, to the benefit of all.

# **ESD 7. CULTURAL RESPECT**

All AWC walks incorporate aspects of social and cultural interpretation and engagement, and the company is committed to sharing and celebrating cultural diversity with sensitivity and respect. AWC endeavours to provide meaningful engagement opportunities for local and Indigenous people across all of its products, and enthusiastically provides in-kind assistance and support to Traditional Owners in developing complementary eco-tourism products where suitable opportunities arise.

# **ESD 8. STAKEHOLDER + COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

AWC is committed to transparent and consultative process, engaging directly with stakeholders and community. AWC is privileged to develop its walks exclusively within protected public lands, and as such public accountability is a key driver for each development and subsequent ongoing operations.

# **ESD 9. COMMERCIAL SUSTAINABILITY**

In order for its walks to be commercially viable AWC believes it must first ensure that the protection of the natural environment is championed. We conduct regular research to ensure our products appeal to our market and provide the desired economic benefits to our business and the community. All walk proposals are considered individually on their merits, and must meet the commercial sustainability targets. Independently demand assessments are to be carried out prior to committing to any future development.

# ESD 10. CELEBRATE

AWC celebrates diversity of culture, place and experience, the uniqueness of each individual guest and its role in providing memorable and safe forays into nature, to celebrate sense of place and self.

Attachment 5 Geotechnical Report extracts

# Geotechnical report extracts provided by WGA

The following extracts are provided from DRAFT Geotechnical Report June 2018 prepared by WGA.

As the report is currently in DRAFT format, extracts can only be tendered at this time:

#### "Excavations

It is understood that due to the high eco-sensitivity of this project, that no significant excavations (deeper than about 0.5 m) are planned. The minor excavations (<0.5 m depth) that are understood to be required, are for minor levelling of site and levelling of the ground beneath the Micro pile bearing plates.

Where these minor excavations are required in sand soils, they are expected to be easily and quickly undertaken with hand tools. Where limestone is present, a handheld jackhammer is expected to be suitable. Production rates will vary depending upon the strength of the limestone. Table 1 can be used as a guideline to estimate production rates at different sites. A massive of strongly cemented limestone may not be able to be economically excavated with hand tools."

#### "Trafficability

Where exposed the limestone surface may be rough and uneven, which could be problematic for rubber tyred vehicles.

Trafficability across steep and/or sandy sites and access roads is expected to be very poor. As such, a bridging layer of imported quarry material (or crushed site-won limestone) is recommended to improve trafficability. The quarry material may be placed on a high strength geogrid or geofabric to enhance its effectiveness.

It is understood that there is specific concern around ecological damage which could potentially be caused by vehicular traffic to perched groundwater tables that may be within or below limestone outcrops in the low-lying Melaleuca forests inland from the Sanderson Bay site. The route of this proposed track has not been assessed as part of this investigation. It is not possible to judge the thickness, strength and hence stability of the limestone outcrops based on a site walk-over. An extensive and environmentally disruptive investigation (which would likely involve drilling holes in the limestone to check its thickness) would be required to reliably address these issues. Even if such an investigation was undertaken, the risk of a limestone cavity collapse, while maybe reduced, would still exist because of the expected natural variability of limestone, and the limited locations of the boreholes.

A risk based management approach is suggested during construction which includes a careful selection of the proposed route of the access track. Where possible the access track should avoid low lying limestone outcrops in Melaleuca forests. Where this is not possible, the following risk mitigation strategies could be employed:

• Bog mats and/or a gravel bridging layer should be used to spread and reduce vehicular wheel-loadings. Increasing the thickness of the bridging layer will reduce the load on the subgrade;

• Axle loadings and frequencies should be minimised as far as practical;

• Regular inspections of the track condition should be made. If damage has occurred, the contractor should be prepared to stop trafficking the damaged area and re-asses the route and/or thickness of the bridging layer; & • Contractor remediates any damaged areas.

It is important to note that the Sand Dune sites are also expected be easily damaged by regular trafficking of personnel. This is especially so where steep slopes are present, and/or the existing vegetation is sparse. It is highly recommended that bog mats and/or the proposed permanent decking between structures are utilised on site for the trafficking of personnel from the very beginning of the construction works. Paths should be delineated by flagging and signage to ensure personnel stay on the allocated paths.

15 WGA Kangaroo Island, SA Project No. WGA190028 Doc No. WGA190028-RP-GE-0001 Rev. B



# Australian Walking Company

Wilderness Trail Accommodation – Sandy Creek

# Flinders Chase National Park – CR 6716/336

520/L006/18

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | PAGE<br>NO |  |  |  |
|---|------------|--|--|--|
| AGENDA REPORT   |            |  |  |  |
| ATTACHMENTS   |            |  |  |  |
| 1: PLANS (site, elevations and materials)                         |            |  |  |  |
| 2: APPLICATION DOCUMENTS  |            |  |  |  |
| a. Application form   | 67         |  |  |  |
| b. Certificate of Title   | 68-72      |  |  |  |
| c. Planning Report - Fyfe   | 73-113     |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>d. Design Statement – Troppo</li> </ul>                  | 114-116    |  |  |  |
| e. Bushfire Assessment – SA Bushfire Solutions                    | 117-136    |  |  |  |
| f. Letter of support from DEW                                     | 137        |  |  |  |
| 3: AGENCY COMMENTS  |            |  |  |  |
| a. Referral comment – CFS   | 138-143    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Referral comment – Coast Protection</li> </ul>           | 144-152    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>c. Referral comment – KI NRMB (non statutory)</li> </ul> | 153-154    |  |  |  |
| d. Referral comment – NVC (non statutory)                         | 155-157    |  |  |  |
| 4: COUNCIL COMMENTS – Kangaroo Island Council                     | 158-159    |  |  |  |
| 5: RESPONSE TO REFERRALS – Fyfe                                   |            |  |  |  |
| 6: DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROVISIONS                                    |            |  |  |  |
| a. Maps   |            |  |  |  |
| b. Relevant Policies  |            |  |  |  |



Montage showing aerial image of Sandy Creek development site with proposed buildings superimposed



#### **OVERVIEW**

| Application No      | 520/L006/18   |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Unique ID/KNET ID   | 2018/22353/01 ID 3697                                 |  |  |
| Applicant           | Australian Walking Company C/- Fyfe                   |  |  |
| Proposal            | Wilderness Trail Accommodation – Sandy Creek          |  |  |
| Subject Land        | Flinders Chase National Park – CR 6716/336            |  |  |
| Zone/Policy Area    | Conservation Zone                                     |  |  |
| Relevant Authority  | SCAP – Development Regulations. Sch 10, 18 – Kangaroo |  |  |
|                     | Island  |  |  |
| Lodgement Date      | 19/11/2018  |  |  |
| Council             | Kangaroo Island Council                               |  |  |
| Development Plan    | Kangaroo Island Council                               |  |  |
|                     | Consolidated – 17 September 2015                      |  |  |
| Type of Development | Merit   |  |  |
| Public Notification | Category 1  |  |  |
| Representations     | N/A   |  |  |
| Referral Agencies   | CPB, KI NRMB, CFS, NVC                                |  |  |
| Report Author       | Jeremy Wood   |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATION      | Development Plan Consent subject to conditions        |  |  |

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This application is one of three development applications lodged for tourist accommodation within the Flinders Chase National Park, in association with the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT).

The applicant, Australian Walking Company (AWC), seeks development plan consent for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails. This will provide accommodation for the first night of the walking experience.

The cottages are currently utilised for tourist accommodation and have been for several decades. The upgraded cottages will provide the second nights' accommodation for walkers taking part in a three day guided tour through the National Park, with the AWC's vision being to provide 3 nights and 3 distinct memories, in which architecture and site-planning marries with Place.

The South Australian Tourism Commission (SATC) has identified a multi-day walking trail as critical for the development of the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and to compete with nature-based destinations worldwide. The 61 kilometre Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) has since been developed and to complement and gain leverage from this initiative, the SATC, the Department of Environment and Water (DEW) and National Parks SA are seeking to improve the range of accommodation options and guided walking experiences along the trail.

The applicant has advised that to facilitate this, "an opportunity was presented to the private sector by DEW and SATC to develop exclusive, eco-sensitive accommodation and tours to tourists and an expression of interest process occurred. At the conclusion of this process, the AWC was selected as the preferred commercial tour operator."

The application is a merit, Category 1 form of development and was subject to referrals to Heritage SA, the Coast Protection Board, the Country Fire Service, the Kangaroo Island Natural Resource Management Board, and the Kangaroo Island Council.

The proposal has been assessed and is considered worthy of development plan consent, subject to conditions.



## ASSESSMENT REPORT

#### 1. BACKGROUND

## 1.1 Strategic Context

In 2014 considerable work was undertaken strategically by the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure, including drafting an Addendum to be read in conjunction with the Kangaroo Island Plan, January 2011. The addendum specifically replaced certain strategic land use directions to better align with priorities of the Kangaroo Island Futures Authority (KIFA) to provide an overarching framework for economic sustainability. The changes were informed by extensive consultation and significant research commissioned by the KIFA.

The Kangaroo Island Structure Plan provides the detail to inform future development opportunities on Kangaroo Island. It also summarises the situation and trends for the Island at 2013. The Structure Plan identifies opportunities for economic development, specifically in relation to tourism and agricultural diversification and value adding. It provides the framework for a sustainable economic future where future development activities retain economic benefits on the Island, balanced with the protection of the Island's natural resources.

A Sustainable Futures Development Plan Amendment (DPA) was prepared concurrently to help implement the changes at the local level, through zoning and policies that guide new development and infrastructure proposals. The DPA was endorsed and consolidated into the Development Plan for Kangaroo Island 20 February 2014. This land use policy position remains current.

Together, the changes identified in these three documents provide the land use framework to facilitate improved community resilience and economic longevity of Kangaroo Island.

#### 1.2 Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail

The 61 kilometre Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) has been developed and to complement and gain leverage from this initiative, the SATC, the Department of Environment and Water (DEW) and National Parks SA are seeking to improve the range of accommodation options and guided walking experiences along the trail.

People wishing to walk the KIWT currently have several options available to them:

- a self-guided walk using the campgrounds provided (carrying own equipment)
  a self-guided walk using the campgrounds provided and a commercial operator
- to transport personal equipment from one campground to the next
- engage a commercial tourism operator and either use the campgrounds provided or stay in private accommodation off the trail (see Figure 1)

A booking system regulates the number of walkers departing each day. This is to avoid overcrowding, to preserve the wilderness experience for everyone, to reduce environmental impact and to ensure sustainable management of the track and campground facilities.



SCAP Agenda Item 2.2.3 23 May 2019



Figure 1 - KIWT Route & Current Accommodation (campsites)

#### 1.3 National Parks Management Plan

The subject site is located within a National Park and as such any decision to develop within the Park requires the consent of the custodian of the land, DEW. Key guidance for development within National Parks is often provided by way of Management Plans.

An amendment to the Flinders Chase National Park, Kelly Hill Conservation Park, Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protection Area and Cape Bouguer Wilderness Protection Area Management Plan (Management Plan) was adopted in 2017 to provide for small scale, ecologically sensitive accommodation within minor development zones and lists the KIWT as a development zone. The location of small scale, low-impact eco huts (or similar) is not restricted to the existing camping nodes within development zones but can be placed anywhere along the trail.

# 1.4 DEW letter of support

The applicant has provided as part of the application package a letter from the Chief Executive of DEW the proposal aligns with the intent of the Park Management Plan which facilitates the development of small scale ecologically sensitive accommodation for trail walkers.

# 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

Application details are contained in ATTACHMENT 1 & 2.

This application is for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails.

The accommodation forms part of a four day and three night fully guided small group bushwalking tour for fourteen guests. Each group will be greeted by the guides in Kingscote where they will be transported via private bus to a drop-off point at the beginning of the walking trail. The walks will typically operate all year round, and when in full operation, will run daily.

The applicant advises that the premise for the site organisation is based entirely on retention of site landscape values.



Site interventions are positioned to achieve shelter, finding subtle folds in the landscape, whilst connecting with views and engaging with the heart of the site.

The stony hilltop of a spur that runs northward back to West bay road offers a backdrop to development from both within the site and for any distant viewing form the west.

Details related to the overall operation are contained in full in ATTACHMENT 3.

#### 2.1 Construction

The applicant advises that a whole of process approach has been taken to the planning of the development including the construction phase. Construction will use the same service access track and paths as for operation.

The Staging Post will be constructed first to create a construction base (with existing road access). The proposed access track, Service Point, and site paths become the next elements to facilitate creation of the camps, whilst minimising site construction impact.

An overall approach is to minimise site construction time through a mix of flatpack and portables construction, with portables being employed for wet area/ high fitout components.

Footings will be concrete free and comprise 'anchors' that are unobtrusive and which can be installed with very limited impact on the ground

#### 2.2 Physical Elements

#### <u>Longhouse</u>

This the communal space, and, for walkers, the site's arrival and departure point. It is designed to accommodate 14 guests and 2 guides for dining and socialising. It is fringed by verandahs with sitting spaces that enable people to be together or apart. The fireplace is a focal element.

They include linen store and an optional guide/ staff sleeping place.

It is sited to get the view over the sea, cliff-line, deep beach and creek-edging sand hill.

#### Sleeping Pods

Sleeping pods are designed to accommodate 2 guests in either twin or double arrangement. Their fitout is minimal, and bathing occurs in a screened courtyard.

#### <u>Guide Pod</u>

The Guide Pod accommodates 2 guides, and is sized and detailed to operate as a site fire refuge.

#### <u>Lookouts</u>

These are platforms on vegetated dune high points adjacent the walk. They sit beneath the vegetation level, with seats and approach boardwalks. A primary 'sunset lookout', Lookout 1 includes provision for stowable wind screens.

#### Connecting Paths

Earthen paths follow site levels for minimum cut, connecting sleeping, communal spaces and natural site features.



#### Service Point and Access Track

Site vehicular access is only by a light vehicle – such as a quad – enabling reduced road width and lighter road engineering – for reduced environmental impact. The Service Point marks the end of the vehicular access track and, in addition to being a quad park, accommodates gas bottles, waste management and site maintenance stores. It also delivers charging of backup batteries for each site element's autonomous power supply.

It is sited beyond the guest experience. Water storage tanks are sited here.

#### Staging Post

Commercial access for suppliers and maintenance by usual vehicles is at a 'Staging Post' adjacent West Bay Road. The site affords stores for food, back-up supply for gas and batteries, cleaning and cyclical maintenance, as well back-up water supply is held. It is also a staging point for transfer of guest bags from the site quad to a van for shifting to the next site; and for waste management.

The quad and trailers, used for diverse purposes, are stored here between the camp's use.

#### 2.3 Architecture and fitout

The applicant describes the proposed architectural experience to like opening an oyster: raw and crusted by the environment externally, polished luxury within.

In both Longhouse and Sleeping and Guide Pods, roofs shade wall lines below, turning away from the north and west (the direction of the beach). Longhouse verandahs are devised to effectively sunshade in summer, incorporating blinds for low angle sun.

Wall-lines widely open to join to views and outdoor areas.

# 2.4 Materials

All structures are lightweight framed, and clad in natural materials, to soften in time, generally without applied finish.

Timber decking, steps, seats, verandah framing and soffit linings/ battens are the structure's leading edges.

Wall-lines are trimmed by timber joinery to broad-opening doors, shutters and windows.

Only steel is painted – to a deep metal grey.

At Sandy Creek colour tones draw on the deeper colour of surface stone and the shaded of heath-covered ground.

A full suite of materials and colours for each structure or element is detailed on the plans contained within ATTACHMENT 1 & 2.

#### 2.5 Bushfire

The facility is a supervised site with 2 (minimum) trained guides, operations protocols, and 24/7 communications.

A compliant bushfire response strategy is outlined in the report accompanying the application, prepared by Bushfire Solutions.



The Guide Pod is proposed to be constructed to meet the specified BAL assessment, with alternative egress to the nearby beach and sheltering cliffs.

Other refinements will be included following detailed feedback from the Country Fire Service.

Other assets are proposed not to be protected.

#### 2.6 Servicing

The approach to servicing responds to AWC proposed method of site operations (within the greater context of operating all of AWC's Walk sites), and guests required levels of utility and comfort.

Solutions are devised from pragmatic, durable and ethical standpoints. Site logistics hardware is to be provided for guests baggage, food and linen supply, waste removal, fire and emergency access/ evacuation.

#### Power

Solar power systems are used for all site elements. Each site element stands alone, but back-up batteries are stored for change-over when necessary.

#### Gas

Gas will be reticulated to the Longhouse from bottles at the service point. Gas bottles for hot water service will be located at each pair of Sleeping Pods.

#### Water

A centralised harvesting, storage and reticulation system will be based at the Service Point. 90,000 litres storage, with detailed water conservation techniques is proposed.

At the Camp site, water is to be harvested from the Longhouse roof only. Roofs fall to trafficable gutters at the wall-line, rather than falling to the ground from roof perimeters. Downpipes connect to buffer/ storage tanks beneath the Longhouse floor, and then to the primary Service Point storage tanks.

Roofwater run-off and system overflows take advantage of the alluvial ground conditions. Sleeping and Guide Pods roofs fall to drainage swales/shallow soakage pits at the up-hill line of pod benchings, finished with site stone from the benching cut.

Buffer and storage tank overflows are directed to approx. 1m x 1m x 1m deep, geotextile fabric lined, select local stone filled soakage pits, with select stone finish to absorb water impact.

Staging Post roofwater is collected and directed by downpipe to a back-up rainwater tank for Camp use. Storage tank overflow is as above.

#### <u>Waste</u>

A closed wasted system is proposed, utilising natural site falls. Individual bathrooms and the Longhouse kitchen will drain to a 4,000 litre in-ground waste treatment tank. Waste will be broken down by worms to minimise waste output to a 3,200 litre in-ground holding tank for pump-out. The pump-out waste water, though 'clean', will be removed by trailer to a waste storage tank at the Staging Post.

Pump-out cycles are proposed to be: monthly at the site, and annually at the Staging Post.



#### Rubbish and recyclables

A separated waste management system will integrate into the Longhouse kitchen, Service Point and Staging Post.

#### 2.7 Changes as a result of feedback through agency referral

Following from the agency referral process the applicant made changes to the application details.

The changes are limited to the relocation of one 'pod' (the guide pod/refuge building) at each site, the installation of a 22,000 litre tank for firefighting purposes and a Vegetation Management Zone established around the guide pods only.

The applicant advises these changes are a result of recommendations made by SA Bushfire Solutions and the SA CFS and seek to move the pod away from elevated fuel structures. More specifically, if they were retained in the same location, due to the density of vegetation, substantial clearance would have been required for bushfire safety when compared to the revised locations, which have sparser vegetation.

These changes will assist to address the concerns expressed by CPB with respect to visibility and hence potential visual impact at Sandy Creek from the adjacent beach.

AWC are seeking to minimise vegetation clearance and are not seeking to protect the proposed accommodation pods or longhouse in the event of a bushfire. However, one building (the guide pod) will be protected as a refuge if guests and staff cannot be safely evacuated.

In summary, Fyfe on behalf of the applicant, is of the opinion that the proposed development has been designed in a matter that has sought to balance the competing interests of bushfire safety and minimising impact on the existing flora and fauna whilst delivering the priorities identified by the South Australian Tourism Commission's Regional Visitor Strategy and Nature Based Tourism Strategy. Fyfe are also of the opinion, the proposed development has suitably balanced these expectations and will provide a much needed exclusive bushwalking and accommodation draw card that will showcase the pristine natural and coastal environment of Kangaroo Island.

# 3. SITE AND LOCALITY

#### 3.1 Site Description

The accommodation is to be located within the Flinders Chase National Park. The site of the development is situated approximately 200m to the east of the Sandy Creek inlet from Maupertius Bay. More specifically the accommodation will be positioned such that the stony hilltop of a spur that runs northward back to West bay road offers a backdrop to development from both within the site and for any distant viewing from the west.

The land undulates considerably and the site of the development is characterised by two crests with a mild, central depression tapering down to the cliff edge to the south west. There is a subtle fold in the land which is virtually parallel to the coast line and Sandy Creek. Sandy Creek is to the north west of the development site and the land falls down towards this creek and the coast line to the south and west.

Access is gained by foot from a trail that leads in from a very discrete parking area almost a kilometre away. The applicant has identified that native vegetation extends over most of the site and features Melaleuca and coastal 'shrubland' with mid open Mallee woodland further from the coast (as identified by the National Vegetation Information System).



The subject land is formally described as follows:

| Lot No | Plan     | Street                | Suburb         | Title Ref.  |
|--------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Q 51   | DP 38340 | Off West Bay Road via | Flinders Chase | CR 6176/336 |
|        |          | Sandy Creek Hike Road |                |             |

#### 3.2 Locality

The locality is typical of a wilderness area with immense areas of untouched vegetation, interrupted only by recreational walking trails, fire access tracks and the rugged coast line.

West Bay Road is situated more than 1 kilometre to the north of the proposed development and Snake Lagoon Road is more than 2 kilometres to the east. Both roads are unsealed local roads.

The nearest built form is located over 2 kilometres from the site, at Snake Lagoon and the Cup Gum Campground.



Figure 2 – Site and Location Map – Flinders Chase National Park - Source Fyfe



#### 4. COUNCIL COMMENTS or TECHNICAL ADVICE

#### 4.1 Kangaroo Island Council

Council has reviewed the application detail against relevant provisions of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan (consolidated 17 September 2015) and consider the proposed development to be in reasonable accord with the Plans intent, including the zone objectives, desired character and envisaged forms of development for the Conservation zone.

Council has considered the development location within the Conservation zone at Sandy Creek, affording direct and uninterrupted coastal views and being situated in remote, but consolidated coastal areas which should not present a high risk of coastal or inland erosive processes upon the landscape and development site.

The development maintains a low-scale across the site with the individual and small accommodation pods. The tourist accommodation types do not appear to exceed the 6.5metres height and remain unobtrusive within the landscape, which is considered essential in this environment.

The accommodation types are considered to have a high level of aesthetic merit which offer a unique tourist experience. In addition to the accommodation on the site, the development also offers experiences within the surrounding wilderness in close proximity to the proposed accommodation and communal hosting buildings.

In summary, Council advises the proposal represents an exciting opportunity for tourism growth and diversity on Kangaroo Island. The development's unique tourist accommodation and experience is well aligned with the Kangaroo Island Development Plans intent to encourage tourism / tourist accommodation development within the Conservation zone.

Council accordingly advises that it has no objection to the proposal and supports the approval of the proposed development.

#### 5. REFERRAL BODY COMMENTS

Referral responses are contained in ATTACHMENT 3.

#### 5.1 Coast Protection Board (statutory referral)

#### Coast Protection Board Policy 1.6:

The Coast Protection Board may support development, including tourist accommodation or that which has a significant public or environmental benefit, in coastal areas outside of urban areas provided:

• It is sited and designed in a manner that is subservient to important natural values within the coastal environment;

• It is not subject to unaddressed coastal hazards;

• Adverse impacts on natural features, landscapes, habitats, threatened species and cultural assets are avoided or minimised; and

• It will not significantly impact on the amenity of scenic coastal vistas.

The Coast Protection Board understands that DEW, as custodian of the National Park, will ensure impacts on coastal biodiversity are minimised, review the adequacy of the pending flora and fauna assessment; determine if there are any site and design implications; and guide the preparation of any associated environmental management plans. Accordingly, the Board will not request further information nor comment on coastal biodiversity impacts associated with this application.





The Board recognises that there will be a visual impact on a stretch of coast with significant scenic amenity value. Whether nature based tourism facilities at this specific location is compatible with existing scenic amenity values is a matter the State Commission Assessment Panel should consider in consultation with DEW as custodian of the National Park.

The Coast Protection Board advises that should the application be approved, Conditions and Notes should be applied to any planning approval:

# 5.2 Kangaroo Island Natural Resource Management (NRM) Board (non statutory referral)

The Board, in providing comment on the proposed development, took into consideration both the objectives of the Natural Resources Management Act 2004 and the Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Plan 2017-2027 objectives and strategies.

The Board is of the view that the proposed development is not in the spirit of the original eco-sensitive accommodation concepts that were envisaged when the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail was first proposed in 2011. The concept was for the 'fixed' accommodation to be located in the vicinity of the camp sites but separate enough for the experience to be apart and unique.

The Board believes the proposed footprint of the accommodation pods, associated buildings, and additional walking and access trails, at both Sandy Creek (520/L006/18) and Sanderson Bay (520/L007/18), and the increased human traffic, will have a negative impact on the fragile coastal habitat of both sites. The Board believes the proposed developments should be located closer to the existing walking trail and camp sites. That would reduce the need for extensive new trails and access tracks to support the development, which would cause more fragmentation of the natural systems in Flinders Chase National Park.

The Board also wants to convey the opposition to the proposals from Friends of Parks Kangaroo Island Western Districts, Friends of Dudley Peninsula Parks, and Eco-Action, all local conservation groups.

# 5.3 South Australian Country Fire Service (SA CFS) (statutory referral)

The proposed development is located within an area that is categorised as a HIGH Bushfire Protection Area in the Council Development Plan and therefore required a referral to the SA CFS.

Chronology of events:

- Referral to SA CFS November 2018
- SA CFS referral response received January 2019 (Refer ATTACHMENT 4)
- Applicant (Fyfe) response to issues raised March 2019 (Refer ATTACHMENT 6)
- SA CFS second referral response received April 2019 (Refer ATTACHMENT 4)

The applicant has provided a response to the initial SA CFS referral response dated 17 January 2019, in which a number of concerns were raised. SA CFS has reviewed the applicants second submission (March 2019) and is satisfied that the information provided has addressed the concerns raised in January.

The SA CFS has provided the following advice:

• response rates in this area may be extended in excess of 45 mins once mobile.



- There is a lack of reticulated water in the area, and reliable communication networks to facilitate early warning and evacuation of participants on the trail and in residence within the proposed accommodation.
- Kangaroo Island and in particular Flinders Chase National Park has a history of large, and depending on the weather and environmental conditions, uncontrollable bush fires.
- Specific commentary has been provided in relation to the potential impacts associated with siting, access, water supply and vegetation.
- The siting proposed for tourist accommodation, presents an extreme risk, due to the proximity to nearby safer places, lack of safe access and hazardous vegetation.
- SA CFS acknowledges the application has amended the siting 10 metres uphill to the south east, away from existing elevated fuel structures. However the overall risk remains and will require mitigation measures to be put in place.
- SA CFS understands the importance of development of the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and finding balance between ecotourism and meeting the bushfire provisions. Consideration must be given to the essential bushfire provisions in order to protect the life of potential occupants, and firefighting personnel in the event of a bushfire.

A summary of the outcomes negotiated and proposed by the AWC and accepted by SA CFS is as follows:

- Siting away from existing elevated fuel structures.
- A dedicated fire fighting water supply, pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Minister's Specification SA78 will be provided.
- A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the refuge building.
- Design and Construction of the proposed refuge building in accordance with Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commissioner Victoria (see Appendix A); and the NCC Part 3.7 "FIRE SAFETY" Australian Standard TM3959 (AS3959) "Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas".
- The applicant & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the extreme risk associated this remote location presents, incorporating the following:
  - Emergency communication
  - Evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced
  - Emergency response training & regular drills
  - o Operating hours and restrictions on days of extreme weather or bushfire events

These outcomes have been adopted as proposed conditions of planning consent to ensure compliance with meeting the bushfire provisions.

# 5.4 Native Vegetation Council

Although there is no statutory referral required, the application was referred to the NVC to assist in appreciating the potential impact of the proposal on flora and fauna.

Chronology of events:



- Referral to NVB November 2018
- NVB referral response received January 2019 (Refer ATTACHMENT 4)
- Applicant (Fyfe) response to issues raised March 2019 (Refer ATTACHMENT 6)
- NVC considered NV Clearance application May 2019 (on going)

Based on departmental mapping a number of vegetation communities may be impacted by the proposal.

The total footprint of the development at Sandy Creek is approximately 0.5 hectare.

The Native Vegetation Council (NVC) is not generally supportive of proposals that fragment undisturbed remnant vegetation, as this proposal appears to. However if approved under the Development Act 1993 there are pathways to approval under Native Vegetation Regulations 2017 that may accommodate the proposal, namely regulation 12(33) - New dwelling or building. It is recognised that the applicant has attempted to minimise impacts on vegetation however the NVB remains concerned that the proposal does not fully demonstrate how this has been addressed or that other options may be available that would result in less impact.

Areas of concern with the proposal are:

- The distance the site is from KIWT and existing vehicle access and the resultant amount of track development required to connect site to KIWT and proposed staging post. It is believed overall clearance could be reduced if sited closer to KIWT and existing vehicle access tracks.
- Although the building footprints are defined, the total construction footprint is not defined.
- Clearance that may be required for construction vehicle movement, within and to and from the site is not clear.
- While proposed clearance for fire protection is limited to one building, possible additional vegetation clearance for bushfire protection may be necessary as CFS bushfire requirements are yet to be determined.
- Destabilisation and erosion of the site may increase with the removal of vegetation. The proponent should also ensure that the management of the site during construction and the facilities operation minimises disturbance to the surrounding area so as to retain sufficient cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of erosion.
- Changes in surface water flow due to altered surfaces and rain water overflow that may impact (beneficially or negatively) surrounding native vegetation.
- Contingency to manage waste water (grey and black) leakage/spills so as to avoid or minimise site impacts.
- Incorporate Phytophthora cinnamomi (Dieback) and weed management including hygiene procedures into construction and operational plans.

For information, the applicant lodged an application in November to clear Native Vegetation. The matter was considered by the Native Vegetation Council on 1 May 2019. Further justification and supporting information is required from the applicant before the NV Council can arrive at a decision. The next available meeting is scheduled for July 2019.



## 6. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

The application is a Category 1 development pursuant to the Kangaroo Island Development Plan.

The Procedural Matters contained within the Zone advise that the following forms of development (except where the development is non-complying) are designated as Category 1:

Tourist accommodation setback a minimum of 100 metres from land within an adjoining allotment used for farming or horticulture.

This has been achieved with the subject site located well within the National Park, and located over 13km from land zoned for primary production. Accordingly no statutory public notification was required.

#### 7. POLICY OVERVIEW

The subject site is within the Conservation Zone as described within the Kangaroo Island Development Plan Consolidated 17 September 2015.

The Development Plan provides guidance with respect to the importance of Kangaroo Island within the State Strategic Setting as follows:

#### Kangaroo Island Planning and Development Area

Kangaroo Island is one of Australia's largest off-shore islands. Due to its relative isolation from the rest of the State it faces unique economic, environmental and social circumstances, challenges and opportunities.

#### Economic Activity

Traditionally, the Island's economy has been based on the production of wool, cereals and some beef cattle, while industries that are growing in importance are tourism, aquaculture and forestry. The creation of economic initiatives and employment opportunities, combined with appropriate land use allocation, is sought to establish a robust and sustainable economic climate that contributes to the wellbeing of the local community.

#### Tourism

Tourism has shown growth over recent years and it is anticipated that tourism numbers will increase in the future. It is of extreme importance that Kangaroo Island Tourism is managed in a manner that ensures that the experiences of visitors continue to match their Kangaroo Island expectations and perceptions.

Tourism to Kangaroo Island has historically been largely dependent on the natural resources of the Island and people's perception of the quality of these resources. The concept of a 'clean and green' image for the Island is a fundamental component of tourism and other industries, and its continuing success will be dependent on a well-managed natural environment.

A range of sustainable tourism facilities, accommodation and products must be developed to suit a range of visitor budgets and experiences. However, tourism development must also consider the impact of increasing numbers on the natural environment so as not to diminish the very reason that attracts so many visitors to the Island in the first instance.

With the international growth in the nature-based tourism market, Kangaroo Island is well placed and has the potential to be one of Australia's leading eco-tourism destinations.



It is expected that the Island will continue to develop as a pre-eminent sustainable, naturebased tourism destination, but there is also a need to provide opportunities in other tourism markets around the themes of outdoor adventure and leisure activities, the coast, niche food and wine products, heritage and culture. These markets should add depth to the Island's appeal as a visitor destination and encourage longer stays.

#### Environment and Resources

The environment of Kangaroo Island is characterised by extensive areas of National Park and Conservation Parks, accounting for nearly 30 per cent of the Island. Kangaroo Island has:

- spectacular coastal features
- clean beaches
- freshwater streams
- unspoilt natural settings
- a small resident population
- a diversity of native fauna and flora (including rare and endangered species)
- a rare seal colony
- no rabbits or foxes; and is relatively pollution free with contamination free conditions.

The Island has a visually appealing landscape with a mix of farm pasture and natural vegetation.

Kangaroo Island offers an unspoilt Australian nature, wildlife and rural experience with the distinctive difference of an island setting. Opportunities to see Australian wildlife (including rare species) in natural habitats, the spectacular coastlines, bush landscapes and the mystique of the Island's isolation, small population and heritage, make Kangaroo Island a compelling travel destination for local, national and international visitors.

The ongoing management of the environment is required to ensure the protection of the Island's unique natural qualities and to maintain its reputation as a specialist tourist destination of national and world wide significance.

Relevant planning policies (Zone and Council Wide) are contained in the ATTACHMENTS, and are summarised below.

#### 7.1 Conservation Zone

The Conservation Zone contains areas of National, Conservation and Marine Parks and Wilderness Protection Areas, to provide representative samples of the countryside and shoreline with the objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment and natural ecological processes for their historic, scientific, landscape, faunal habitat, biodiversity and cultural values.

The primary Objectives for the Zone advise:

Conservation Zone Objective 1: The conservation and enhancement of the natural environment and natural ecological processes for their historic, scientific, landscape, faunal habitat, biodiversity and cultural values.

Conservation Zone Objective 2: Provision of opportunities for the public to experience and appreciate the significance of the native vegetation and original remnant natural habitat of the area through low impact recreational activities and interpretive facilities.

The Desired Character stated for the Conservation Zone envisages facilities for the use of visitors including picnic areas, shelters, huts / bothies, camp sites, toilets, and similar public amenities as well as various forms of low-key, **short stay tourist accommodation such as semi-permanent tents and lodges are anticipated** 



provided they are appropriately sited and designed in a manner that is subservient to the natural and coastal environment and adverse impact on natural features, landscapes, habitats and cultural assets is minimised (the author's emphasis).

Opportunities for the public to experience and appreciate the significance of the native vegetation and original remnant natural habitat of the area through low-impact recreational activities and interpretive facilities are provided.

The siting of tourism development, including any associated access driveways and ancillary structures, on cleared or degraded areas is preferred. Development should be located away from fragile coastal environments and significant habitat or breeding grounds.

There is some land on Kangaroo Island where the flora and fauna have developed naturally and are still not noticeably affected by human intervention. These areas will be kept free of artificial improvements so that visitors may experience a completely natural environment. Such areas will remain as wilderness areas in order to preserve their special character.

Conservation Zone PDC 1: Specific to this application, the following forms of development are envisaged in the zone:

- directional, identification and/or interpretative advertisements and/or advertising hoardings for conservation management and tourist information purposes
- facilities associated with the interpretation and appreciation of natural and cultural heritage such as public amenities, camping grounds, remote shelters, huts / bothies
- tourist accommodation.

#### 7.2 Council Wide

Relevant Council Wide policy provides guidance regarding appropriate land use, design and built form, environmental, waste, access and safety, conservation, native vegetation, and bushfire considerations among others.

New buildings should have access to natural light, provide energy efficiencies and minimise visual bulk through architectural composition and judicious use of materials. They should also be designed to reduce the impact of bushfire risk through design and critical siting. Emphasis is placed on minimising impacts of new development on the loss and disturbance of native flora and fauna and the developments compatibility with the environment in which it sits and preservation of high landscape and amenity value.

#### 8. PLANNING ASSESSMENT

The application has been assessed against the relevant provisions of the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan – Consolidated 17 September 2015.

No assessment has been made against the Management Plan as that document is administered by the DEW and whilst important for the management of the Park, is not recognised for the purposes of determining land use suitability under the *Development Act*, *1993*. Similarly, whilst attention is directed to the matter of impact on native vegetation a separate and independent assessment process will be undertaken under the *Native Vegetation Act 1991*.



#### 8.1 Context

Development should continue to be limited in natural coastal, marine and estuarine areas in areas of high conservation landscape or environmental, significance to ensure that the environmental values of the island are preserved and enhanced.

However, to meet an increasing international demand for special tourism experiences that KI is well placed to provide, there needs to be a recognition that in limited circumstances tourism development should be allowed if it meets environmental, social and amenity criteria and is consistent with the strategic intent of the key state and federal tourism policies and plans.

To meet a range of accommodation and tourism experience needs, land use and development policy is required to accept well designed accommodation for tourism that does not detract from scenic and landscape value of a location. In addition, it should envisage a limited number of accommodation styles of excellence in scenic and landscape areas, located and designed such that scale, height, design and siting is respectful of and does not detract from views of the rural, natural or wilderness landscape, of the ocean and coastline, or the elements of the natural landscape eg native vegetation cover, coastal features, animal habitat.

The development plan provides a basis for tourism accommodation of varying intensities to be considered in coastal and rural areas and areas of high conservation significance provided it meets criteria designed to minimise visual and amenity impact and interface issues with activities on adjacent land, and avoids environmental impacts. Matters such as vegetation clearance and coastal protection are still integral considerations to site suitability Importantly the development plan has established a clear hierarchy of environmental areas to be protected from or used for such development.

#### The Proposal

To restate, a multi day walking trail was identified by SATC for the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and as a result the 61 kilometre Kangaroo Wilderness Trail was developed. In support and ancillary to the trail exclusive eco-sensitive accommodation and tours to tourists was proposed. The Australian Walking Company (AWC) was the commercial operator chosen by DEW and SATC to develop the accommodation and bush walking experience. The focus being on the promotion of nature-based tourism opportunities in SA's National Parks to increase tourism and provide for a range of ecosensitive accommodation styles.

#### 8.2 Land Use and Character

In terms of land use, the application before the Panel is for one style of tourist accommodation, which will cater for a relatively broad spectrum of tourists. The accommodation proposed through this application are intended to improve the current 'offer' at along the trail, at Sandy Creek.

PDC 1 for the zone contemplates facilities associated with the interpretation and appreciation of natural and cultural heritage such as public amenities, camping grounds, remote shelters, huts / bothies. In addition, the zone separately identifies tourist accommodation as an envisaged use. The zone is silent on what form of tourist accommodation is contemplated.

PDC 4 for the zone provides guidance with respect to form and character by stating development should be undertaken in a manner which minimises the effect on natural landscape features, flora and fauna and their habitat corridors, land adjoining water, scenic routes or scenically attractive areas.



The proposed accommodation is small in scale and comprises a series of eco 'pods' with access tracks to ensure that the development is passive to the natural and coastal environment.. This in turn assists to minimise or mitigate potential adverse impacts on the landscape and natural habitats. It is noted the site is located within a National Park but not within a Wilderness Protection Area.

Council Wide provisions within the Development Plan call for environmentally sustainable and innovative tourism development (Objective 1), tourism development that protects areas of exceptional natural value, allows for appropriate levels of visitation, and demonstrates a high quality environmental analysis and design response which enhances environmental values (Objective 4), increased opportunities for visitors to stay overnight (Objective 7) and tourism development having a functional or locational link with its natural, cultural or historical setting (PDC 1). The proposal under consideration demonstrates these qualities.

In assessing the land use and character elements, it is considered tourist accommodation is clearly sought within the zone and the proposed development will broadly provide a form of tourism development that is encouraged.

#### 8.3 Tourism Development

The Development Plan seeks to ensure that tourist accommodation outside of townships cannot be readily converted into dwellings (Tourism Development PDC 12 & 13). It is considered that the proposed development is entirely consistent with this due to the remote location, relatively close grouping of the proposed accommodation units, shared facilities and limited internal space of each units, which is not conducive to permanent residency.

#### 8.4 Design and Appearance

The site does not have a direct road frontage and therefore setback and siting is less relevant in that respect. However the important aspect is potential for visual impact. The applicant maintains the ...design of the accommodation seeks not to draw attention but rather to blend into the landscape to maintain privacy of guests, out of view from the public walking the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail.

PDC 5 for the zone goes further by detailing measures to avoid impacting detrimentally on the natural environment, processes and/or conservation qualities of land in the zone. This includes earthworks, vehicle access, vegetation removal, siting and materiality.

The proposal before the Panel has been designed by Troppo Architects, who have an enviable reputation for their sustainable architecture practices and respect for the environment.

The design response sees, what Troppo describe as 'site interventions' being positioned to achieve shelter, finding subtle folds in the landscape, whilst connecting with views and engaging with the essence of the site. To arrive a point where the architects believe they have identified the optimum site from perspective of only having a 'light touch' on the land has taken considerable ground truthing. This process has included using contour and height datum, view-shed exercises, analysis of ground conditions, topography, climatic cues and imagining/creating the walker experience at the site.

There are necessary areas within the development site that functionally require a larger footprint, such as the service point and staging post. The management of those areas with respect to their impact on the environment, particularly removal of vegetation, will be subject to closer scrutiny by the Native Vegetation Council.



Traditional foundation systems have been replaced by an anchoring style system, negating the need to excavate.

Earthen paths follow site levels for minimum cut, connecting sleeping, communal spaces and natural site features. Site vehicular access is only by a light vehicle such as a quad bike, aiding road/access widths to be lessened and requiring less intrusive construction and therefore environmental impact.

The materiality and colour palette at Sandy Creek is drawn from the natural elements and tones from the deeper colour of surface stone and the shaded of heath-covered ground.

All structures are lightweight framed, and clad in natural materials, to age and soften in time, generally without applied finish. Only steel is painted to a deep metal grey.

In considering the design and appearance elements it is considered the proposal has sufficiently:

(a) minimised the extent of earthworks

(b) minimised the extent of vehicle access servicing the development

(c) indicted an intent to minimise the extent of local indigenous vegetation removal, subject to separate assessment by NVC

(d) been sited in an unobtrusive manner below hilltops or prominent ridgelines

(e) negated the need to screen the visual impact by planting locally indigenous species (f) incorporated external low reflective materials and finishes that will minimise glare and blend in with the features of the landscape.

Design and Appearance Objective 1 will be met with the development being of a high architectural standard that responds to and reinforces positive aspects of the local environment.

Additionally the external walls and roofs of the buildings do not incorporate highly reflective materials, which will avoid glare, thereby achieving Design and Appearance PDC 7.

#### 8.5 Environmental

The proposed development is to be located over 100 metres from the adjacent watercourse save for the end of the proposed beach access track. The location of this is approximately 50 metres from the watercourse.

With this separation distance, the proposed development will not vary the watercourse in accordance with Natural Resources PDC 17. The proposed development also achieves the 20 metre and 50 metre separation distances identified in Natural Resources PDCs 21 and 25 respectively.

Waste water will be stored and treated off-site ensuring that this does not impact Sandy Creek.

#### 8.6 Bushfire

SA CFS advise a widened access with reduced fuel loads on either side will provide greater protection during evacuation before and after the fire front. Clearance of native vegetation would be significant however, and constructing an all-weather surface will be problematic; therefore given the specific risk associated with the site access and proximity to nearby roads for effective evacuation the applicant and operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that clearly identifies evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access



proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced. The applicant welcomes this approach.

The applicant advises that this is supervised site with 2 (minimum) trained guides, operations protocols, and 24/7 communications. Further, a compliant bushfire response strategy is outlined in Bushfire Solutions report. The Guide Pod is proposed to be constructed to meet the specified BAL assessment, with alternative egress to the nearby beach and sheltering cliffs.

Other assets are proposed not to be protected.

The proposal seeks to limit vegetation removal to building footprints, access trails and hazardous vegetation will be reduced around the guide pod for bushfire safety, comprising 2 metres of clearance and ensuring that areas within 20 metres of the refuge have no more than 50% vegetation cover - subject to NVC approval.

The following summary of outcomes negotiated and proposed by AWC are accepted by SA CFS as follows:

• A dedicated fire fighting water supply, pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Ministers Specification SA78.

• A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the building.

• The applicant & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the extreme risk associated this remote location presents, incorporating the following:

Emergency Communication

• Evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced

• Emergency response training & regular drills

• Operating hours and restrictions on days of extreme weather or bushfire events Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.4.1 prescribes the mandatory provision of a dedicated and accessible water supply to be made available at all times for fire-fighting.

Accordingly it is considered that Development Plan Objectives and Principles of Development Control for Hazards (Bushfire) will be met:

Objectives: 1, 2, 3, and 8

Principles of Development Control: 1 (a), 1 (b), 2, 6, 7, 8 (a-e), 9 (a-c), and 11.

#### 8.7 Access and Safety

The proposed development is distinctive in that guest access will be via walking trails. No public vehicle access is needed to the site.

The applicant advises that vehicle access will be limited to one vehicle to the Staging Post adjacent West Bay Road with a light vehicle (quad) track extending from the Staging Post to the Service Point and guide accommodation. In total, this access will be some 1.7 kilometres long.

One car park is proposed at the Staging Post for this vehicle with the light vehicle being stored and parked within either the Staging Post or Service Point buildings.

Construction activity will use the same service access track and paths as for operation.

The distinct nature of this proposal reduces the usual emphasis on transportation and access requirements. The assessment of access identifies that the development will not have direct access from an all weather public road.



Transportation and Access PDC 21 is therefore not achieved. This is not considered fatal to the application as an alternative form of pre-arranged access/transportation is provided and controlled by the Operator.

The proposals limited need for vehicular traffic does however avoid impacts on adjoining roads and will not create traffic of any noticeable volume. Transportation and Access PDC 22 is satisfied.

Transportation and Access PDC 27 gives guidance over the design and construction of driveways, access tracks and parking areas. Access tracks have been designed to follow the contours of the land will be in an unobtrusive location as envisaged by Tourism Development PDC 20 and Sloping Land PDC 1.

#### 8.8 Coastal/Scenic Amenity

Appreciably coastal scenic amenity is key to the 'brand' of Kangaroo Island. The desire for increased nature based tourism experiences must be balanced with protection of coastal environments of high scenic value, and ensuring that development does not detract from the aesthetic appearance of the coast.

Part of the intelligence gathered when assessing this application has come from the teachings of the Coast Protection Board, who arguably are the preeminent body capable of making independent assessments of this nature. Of note, the Board has clear Policy in this regard and the following two Policies are useful in assisting an assessment of visual impact, particularly on coastal scenic amenity.

Coast Protection Board Policy 1.4(e): "The Board will seek to ensure that the siting and design of development on the coast minimises its impact on the environment, heritage and visual amenity of the coast."

#### Coast Protection Board Policy 5.2 (a): The Board opposes development that has significant visual impact on coastlines with significant landscape value [in doing so the Board will have regard to both the visual impact from the land and from the sea].

Feedback from the Coast Protection Board confirms the subject land is considered to have significant landscape value, "...being a dramatic stretch of coastline free of development in Flinders Chase National Park. This value underpins the tourism economy and attraction to Flinders Chase National Park.

A report produced for the Coastal Protection Branch of DEH, 'Coastal Viewscapes of South Australia' by Dr Andrew Lothian in 2005, measured and mapped the scenic quality of the South Australian coastline. This project intended to assist in the development of planning policy and the assessment of development applications, and it identified this area as having high scenic quality, with a value of 7.0 to 7.9 (range between low 3.0 to 3.9 and high 8.0 to 8.9)."

The referral response provides an insightful and balanced perspective, that the proposed development is "...sited below the headland ridgeline and will, to an extent, blend with the landscape via appropriate design, materials and colour selections. Nonetheless, the development will be visible from Maupertuis Bay and the Sandy Creek beach, which is where the publically accessible Sandy Creek Walking Trail ends, currently valued for its remoteness and wilderness experience. Further, the low lying vegetation is unlikely to provide much screening."





The Board also advises that it recognises that there will be a visual impact on a stretch of coast with significant scenic amenity value but stops short of venturing an opinion on whether nature based tourism facilities at this specific location is compatible with existing scenic amenity values.

Coastal Areas PDC 1 is achieved in that the development is compatible with the coastal environment in terms of built-form, appearance and landscaping including the use of walls and low pitched roofs of non-reflective texture and natural earth colours.

Siting and Visibility PDC 5 is also achieved with the nature of external surface materials of buildings being such that they will blend and not detract from the visual character and amenity of the landscape.

#### 8.9 Access and Parking

Given the nature of the proposal, there will not be general public access to the accommodation pods. Patrons will arrive typically on foot as part of an organised experience.

The proposal is considered to broadly satisfy the relevant provisions of the Development Plan with respect to access and parking, particularly Transport and Access Objective 2 and PDCs 21 and 27.

#### 8.10 Environmental Factors

#### 8.10.1 Waste Management

Effluent disposal systems should be designed to minimise impact to this surrounding environment and any systems incorporating septic tanks, soakage trenches or similar must be located not less than 100 metres to the mean high water mark.

A closed waste water system is proposed, utilising natural site falls. Individual bathrooms and the Longhouse kitchen will drain to a 4,000 litre in-ground waste treatment tank. Waste will be broken down by worms to minimise waste output to a 3,200 litre in-ground holding tank for pump-out. The pump-out waste water, though 'clean', will be removed by trailer to a waste storage tank at the Staging Post. Pump-out cycles are proposed to be monthly at the site, and annually at the Staging Post.

A waste water application will be lodged with the Kangaroo Island Council for approval. The detailed design of the system will become apparent at that stage.

Rubbish and recyclables will be accommodated via a separated waste management system integrated into the Longhouse kitchen, Service Point and Staging Post.

It is considered the development includes sufficient mechanisms and processes to address the treatment and management of solid and liquid waste, to prevent undesired impacts on the environment.

Waste Objectives: 1 and 2 are satisfied in this regard as are Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16

#### 8.10.2 Energy Efficiency

Electricity is to be made available via solar panels installed on the proposed buildings with back-up batteries stored on site if needed.



Efficient solar access to buildings and open space can be gained all year around, the buildings are sited and designed to ensure adequate natural light and winter sunlight is available to the main activity areas of adjacent buildings, and the designs minimise consumption of non-renewable energy; as called for by Energy Efficiency Principles of Development Control: 1, 3, 4 and 5.

Tourism Development PDC 18 calls for tourism development, particularly in remote areas to be designed to minimise energy and water demands and incorporate alternative, sustainable technologies that use renewable energy sources and/or treat and reuse stormwater and wastewater to minimise reliance on mains services. The proposal will achieve the intent of this provision.

#### 8.10.3 Stormwater

A centralised harvesting, storage and reticulation system is proposed to be based at the Service Point. The system will comprise 90,000 litres storage, with detailed water conservation techniques. The applicant advises water will be harvested from the Longhouse building only.

Stormwater from the Sleeping and Guide Pods roofs will fall to drainage swales or shallow soakage pits adjacent the pods. Buffer and storage tank overflows are directed to soakage pits lined with geotextile fabric and filled with stone to absorb water impact.

It is considered that this is an appropriate response in the within the setting to manage disposal and potential erosion or scouring.

#### 8.10.4 Hazards

The development plan identifies guidance for considering the tension between land use and risk minimisation from natural hazards.

Appreciably one way to protect or maintain the natural environment and systems is by limiting development in areas susceptible to natural hazard risk. The Flinders Chase National Park by its very nature is a high risk area for bushfire, combined with a rugged coastal environment.

The development plan suggests one way to minimise risk is to have development located away from areas that are vulnerable to the risk of natural hazards. To ease that tension development should be adequately and effectively protected from the risk. Hazards Objectives 1 & 2 speak to this tension and balance.

Bushfire presents as a key hazard risk and like in other parts of the state designated 'high bushfire risk', suitable plans and strategies to deal with that risk can be developed (as detailed within 8.7).

Accordingly, it is considered that Objectives: 1, 2, and 3 and Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 are pertinent in this assessment, with sufficient detail provided by the applicant to confirm compliance.

The Coast Protection Board in its referral response advised it will seek to minimise the exposure of new development to risk of damage from coastal sand drift hazard risks (Board Policy 1.4 b). The Board identified that in its opinion the proposed development is likely to be at risk of sand drift hazard, particularly accommodation pod number 7 to the north.

SCAP Agenda Item 2.2.3 23 May 2019



CPB has recommended that the applicant engage a suitably experienced expert to assess the sand drift hazard, to ensure they fully understand the likely risk and potential impact of sand drift in this location and to provide mitigation measures that could be implemented to minimise the risk and specify rehabilitation measures that could be undertaken should instability of the sand dune occur.

In response the applicant has advised...." The CPB note that the proposed development site is not at risk of coastal flooding or erosion but that the site may be susceptible to sand drift hazard, particular at pod 7 to the north. No further sand drift hazard assessment has been undertaken. However, the design and placement of the buildings has sought to minimise cut and fill buy using lightweight structures that will be placed on the land and will not require extensive amounts of earthworks or benching. In addition, clearance of vegetation has been avoided where possible with all areas other than walking trails, building footprints and the clearance area surrounding the guide pod being revegetated to minimise the opportunity for sand drift hazard. Notwithstanding this, AWC accept that there is the potential for sand drift hazard and will monitor, manage and mitigate sand drift where possible."

Should the surrounding dune become unstable and start to drift this is likely to impact on the adjacent vegetation and habitat as well as the proposed infrastructure, therefore it is important that the instability is managed as soon as possible.

#### 9. CONCLUSION

To meet a range of accommodation and tourism experience needs, land use and development policy has been established for Kangaroo Island that seeks well designed accommodation for tourists that does not detract from scenic and landscape value of a location.

In addition, the Conservation Zone provides for and encourages tourist accommodation of varying styles in scenic and landscape areas, located and designed such that scale, height, design and siting is respectful of and does not detract from views of the rural, natural or wilderness landscape, of the ocean and coastline, or the elements of the natural landscape eg native vegetation cover, coastal features, animal habitat.

The applicant has given due regard to refining their proposal to mitigate risk from bushfire hazards, to the satisfaction of the SA CFS, with the SA CFS advising that it has no objection to the proposal subject to conditions. The clearance of native vegetation is being considered through a separate application to the NVC. Kangaroo Island Council is supportive of the application, describing it as 'an exciting opportunity for tourism growth and diversity on Kangaroo Island'.

On balance it is considered that the proposed development warrants planning consent as it has been sensitively designed, will provide a wider range of tourist accommodation options on the Island, and is generally consistent with the relevant provisions of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan.

#### 10. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the State Commission Assessment Panel:

1) RESOLVE that the proposed development is NOT seriously at variance with the policies in the Development Plan.



- 2) RESOLVE that the State Commission Assessment Panel is satisfied that the proposal generally accords with the related Objectives and Principles of Development Control of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan.
- 3) RESOLVE to grant Development Plan Consent to the proposal by Australian Walking Company for tourist accommodation at Sandy Creek subject to the following conditions of consent.

#### PLANNING CONDITIONS

1. The development granted Development Plan Consent shall be undertaken and completed in accordance with the stamped plans and documentation, except where varied by conditions below (if any).

Reason: to ensure the development is undertaken with its approved plans.

2. All trade waste and other rubbish shall be stored in covered containers prior to removal and shall be kept screened from public view.

Reason: To avoid impact on the surrounding natural environment.

3. All external finishes shall have surfaces which are of a low light reflective nature and be of muted natural colours.

Reason: To avoid impact on the surrounding natural environment.

#### Coast Protection Board (recommended conditions)

- 4. The applicant shall ensure that the ongoing management of the site and visitor control measures minimise disturbance to the surrounding area to retain a high cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of sand drift.
- 5. The proposed development shall not result in any scouring, erosion or marine sedimentation impacts.
- 6. Effluent disposal systems shall be designed to minimise impact to the surrounding environment and any system incorporating septic tanks, soakage trenches or similar must be located not less than 100 metres to the mean high water mark.

*Reason: to ensure appropriate safety requirements and hazard reduction practices are adopted during the construction and operational phases of the development.* 

#### SA CFS (directed conditions)

- 7. The applicant & operators shall develop an Operational Management Plan which will ensure that staff and guests alike are not placed at any unnecessary risk through restricting operations and evacuating from the site prior to elevated fire conditions.
- Design and Construction of the proposed refuge building shall be in accordance with Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commissioner Victoria (see Appendix A); and the NCC Part 3.7 "FIRE SAFETY" Australian Standard TM3959 (AS3959) "Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas".
- 9. Siting (of structures) shall be away from existing elevated fuel structures.



10. Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.4.1 prescribes the mandatory provision of a dedicated and accessible water supply to be made available at all times for fire-fighting.

Ministers Specification SA78 provides the technical details of the dedicated water supply for bushfire fighting for the bushfire zone. The dedicated bushfire fighting water supply shall also incorporate the installation of a pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Minister's Specification SA78 as follows:

- A minimum supply of 22,000 litres of water shall be available at all times for bushfire fighting purposes.
- The water storage facility (and any support structure) shall be constructed of non-combustible material.
- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply shall be pressurised by a pump that has i. A minimum inlet diameter of 38mm, AND

ii. Is powered by a petrol or diesel engine with a power rating of at least 3.7kW (5hp), OR

iii. A pumping system that operates independently of mains electricity and is capable of pressurising the water for fire-fighting purposes.

- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply pump shall be located at or adjacent to the habitable building to ensure occupants safety when operating the pump during a bushfire. An 'Operations Instruction Procedure' shall be located with the pump control panel.
- The fire-fighting pump and any flexible connections to the water supply shall be protected by a non-combustible cover that allows adequate air ventilation for efficient pump operation.
- All bushfire fighting water pipes and connections between the water storage facility and a pump shall be no smaller in diameter than the diameter of the pump inlet.
- All non-metal water supply pipes for bushfire fighting purposes (other than flexible connections and hoses for fire-fighting) shall be buried below ground to a minimum depth of 300mm with no non-metal parts above ground level.
- A fire-fighting hose (or hoses) shall be located so that all parts of the building are within reach of the nozzle end of the hose and if more than one hose is required they should be positioned to provide maximum coverage of the building and surrounds (i.e. at opposite ends of the habitable building).
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be capable of withstanding the pressures of the supplied water.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be of reinforced construction manufactured in accordance with AS 2620 or AS 1221.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have a minimum nominal internal diameter of 18mm and a maximum length of 36 metres.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have an adjustable metal nozzle, or an adjustable PVC nozzle manufactured in accordance with AS 1221..
- 11. Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.5 mandates that landscaping shall include Bushfire Protection features that will prevent or inhibit the spread of bushfire and minimise the risk to life and/or damage to buildings and property.
  - A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the refuge building as follows:
  - The number of trees and understorey plants existing and to be established within the VMZ shall be reduced and maintained such that when considered overall a maximum coverage of 50% is attained, and so that the leaf area of shrubs is not continuous. Careful selection of the vegetation will permit the 'clumping' of shrubs where desirable, for diversity, and privacy and yet achieve the 'overall maximum coverage of 50%'.



- No understorey vegetation within 2 metre of the habitable building (understorey is defined as plants and bushes up to 2 metres in height).
- The VMZ shall be maintained to be free of accumulated dead vegetation.
- 12. The applicant & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the extreme risk associated this remote location presents, incorporating the following:
  - Emergency Communication
  - Evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced
  - Emergency response training & regular drills
  - Operating hours and restrictions on days of extreme weather or bushfire events

Reason: to ensure appropriate safety requirements and hazard reduction practices are adopted during the construction and operational phases of the development.

#### **ADVISORY NOTES**

Environment and Water.

- a. This Development Plan Consent will expire after 12 months from the date of this Notification, unless final Development Approval from Council has been received within that period or this Consent has been extended by the State Commission Assessment Panel.
- b. The applicant is also advised that any act or work authorised or required by this Notification must be substantially commenced within 1 year of the final Development Approval issued by Council and substantially completed within 3 years of the date of final Development Approval issued by Council, unless that Development Approval is extended by the Council.
- c. The applicant has a right of appeal against the conditions which have been imposed on this Development Plan Consent. Such an appeal must be lodged at the Environment, Resources and Development Court within two months from the day of receiving this notice or such longer time as the Court may allow. The applicant is asked to contact the Court if wishing to appeal. The Court is located in the Sir Samuel Way Building, Victoria Square, Adelaide, (telephone number 8204 0289).
- d. The applicant is advised of the following requirements of the Heritage Places Act 1993.
  (a) If an archaeological artefact believed to be of heritage significance is encountered during excavation works, disturbance in the vicinity shall cease and the SA Heritage Council shall be notified.
  (b) Where it is known in advance (or there is reasonable cause to suspect) that significant archaeological artefacts may be encountered, a permit is required prior to commencing excavation works. For further information, contact the Department for
- e. The applicant is advised of the following requirements of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988.
  - (a) If Aboriginal sites, objects or remains are discovered during excavation works, the Aboriginal Heritage Branch of the Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation Division of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet (as delegate of the Minister) should be notified under Section 20 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988.
- f. The applicant is advised that any native vegetation on the site is protected under the Native Vegetation Act 1991 and Native Vegetation Regulations 2017. Prior to any clearance being undertaken (e.g. for trail networks and "look out" platforms), the applicant should seek Native Vegetation Council approval to do so.


- g. Compliance with the fire protection requirements is not a guarantee the habitable building will not burn, but its intent is to provide a 'measure of protection' from the approach, impact and passing of a bushfire.
- h. The proposed development may be subject to sand drift hazard risk, particularly accommodation pod number 7 to the north. It is recommended that the applicant engage a suitably experienced expert to undertake a site specific sand drift hazard assessment and identify any mitigation measures that should be undertaken.

many

Jeremy Wood TEAM LEADER, DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, TRANSPORT and INFRASTRUCTURE



## **Development Plan Provisions**

The subject site is within the Conservation Zone as described within the Kangaroo Island Development Plan Consolidated – 17 September 2015.

### **Tourism Development**





**Zone Section Conservation Zone** Objectives: 1, 2 and 4 Principles of Development Control: 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 General/Council Wide Section **Coastal Areas** Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 5 and 6 **Design and Appearance** Objectives: 1 and 2 Principles of Development Control: 1, 3,7 and 17 Energy Efficiency Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 Hazards Objectives: 1, 2, 3 and 4 Principles for Development Control: 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 Heritage Places Objectives: 1, 2 and 3 Principles of Development Control: 2, 3 and 4 Natural Resources Objectives: 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 13 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 **Open Space and Recreation** Objectives: 3 Principles of Development Control: 2 and 15 Siting and Visibility Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 4, 5 and 7 Sloping Land Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 **Tourism Development** Objectives: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 19 and 20 **Transportation and Access** Objective: 2 Principles of Development Control: 21, 22, 23, 27, 28 and 29 Waste Objectives: 1 and 2 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16

| DRAWING  | DATE     | CURRENT ISSUE |
|--|----------|---------------|
| DRAWING SCHEDULE                                 | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| LOCALITY PLAN                                    | 02.11.18 |               |
| SITE PLAN MAP L                                  | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| VEHICULAR ACCESS TRACK                           | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN MAP M                                  | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN MAP S                                  | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| TOPOGRAPHY                                       | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SUND, WIND + VIEWS                               | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| HYDRAULICS: SUPPLY                               | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| HYDRAULICS: WASTE                                | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN  | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN: SURVEY                                | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN: NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARANCE           | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| AERIAL VIEW                                      | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| AERIAL VIEW 2                                    | 19.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| VIEWS FROM BEACH: LONGHOUSE                      | 02.11.18 | Rev 2         |
| VIEWS FROM BEACH: POD 4                          | 02.11.18 | Rev 2         |
| LONGHOUSE: PLAN + SECTIONS                       | 02.11.18 |               |
| LONGHOUSE: EXTERIOR VIEWS                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| LONGHOUSE: INTERIOR VIEWS                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING POD PAIR                                | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING POD PAIR: VEGETATION CLEARANCE          | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| SLEEPING PODS: PLANS + SECTION                   | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING PODS: VIEWS                             | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| GUIDE POD (REFUGE)                               | 02.11.18 |               |
| SERVICE POINT                                    | 02.11.18 |               |
| LOOKOUT  | 02.11.18 |               |
| STAGING POST                                     | 02.11.18 |               |
| PATHS AND TRACKS: EARTHEN                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| PATHS AND TRACKS: BOARDWALKS                     | 02.11.18 |               |
| SITE PHOTOS                                      | 02.11.18 |               |
| ARCHITECTURAL TEXTURES + VIBES                   | 02.11.18 |               |
| INTERNAL FINISHES + LITTLE THINGS: LONGHOUSE     | 02.11.18 |               |
| INTERNAL FINISHES + LITTLE THINGS: SLEEPING PODS | 02.11.18 |               |











LOCALITY PLAN 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)



















Scale 1: 5000

troppo

AN MAP M

SITE PL/ 15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)



**KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** SITE PLAN MAP S 15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)



troppo



Scale 1: 1000

SUN, WIND & VIEWS







Scale 1: 1000





0 10m 20m 50m Scale 1 1000



troppo

HYDRAULICS: WASTE 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)











KANGAROO ISLAND WALK SANDY CF AERIAL VIEW 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)





AERIAL VIEW









Scale 1: 5000

troppo

















SLEEPING PODS: VEGETATION CLEARANCE SANDY CREEK **KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** 

troppo

//////// Cleared area

TYPICAL POD PAIR: NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARANCE

Scale 1: 100





SECTION B

SECTION A



WALLS: EXT LININGS: Compressed sheet/ eco-ply, natural/ stained to dark tones Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Tb^qeboqbulK^qrof^i)kfläkfpe)/k^qrofiividobvba Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

MATERIAL SCHEDULE

ROOFING: Li-len weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb >jmbifqbi]Tikabodi^p[D@\$)(dbi\*1/qbalia\_obdi^pp))f\*bi\*ib\*o Bush timber battens from road clearing, naturally greyed

PATHS: Compacted sifted stone/ gravels/ sand from siteworks including moläifkdicloiqo^hp)im^qep Select site stone edges, erosion controls where required

SCREENS/ SOFFITS: Li-len weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb >jmbifqb]Tikabodi\*pD@\$Jidbi\*1^qpaia\_obdi\*pp);fb/ib\*o Laser-cut plywood, dark stain Bush timber battens from road clearing, naturally greyed

WINDOWS/ DOORS: Pbib'q>rpqo/tf\*(ke'oallaico\*jbp))"ib\*oilfiäkfpe Mtockg/k\*bid\*pp)>jmbifqb]Tikabodi\*pD@\$)dbi\*l\*qbatā\_obdi\*pp)fb"ib\*o Polished Australian hardwood/ bush timber door furniture

DECKING, SEATS, STEPS, BOARD WALKS, INC FRAMING: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed FRP mesh, black



EXTERNAL ELEVATIONS/VIEWS

# SANDY CREEK WALK AND ဟ POD S SLEEPING NGAROO 02 NOV 2018 X



0 1m 2m Scale 1: 100



#### GROUND FLOOR PLAN







### MATERIAL SCHEDULE

EXPOSED FRAMING: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed Pqbbi)um^fkquäkfpeuqlia^ohiuqlkbp Li-ten weathered (raw) steel

WALLS: EXT LININGS: Compressed sheet, natural/ stained to dark tones Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

ROOFING: Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

SCREENS: Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb WINDOWS/ DOORS: Pbib`qi>rpqo^if^kie^oatllaico^jbp)i`ib^oilfiläkfpe Li-ten weathered (raw) steel PERFORMANCE GLASS Crimsafe black stainless steel screens Polished Australian hardwood/ bush timber door furniture

STEPS: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed FRP mesh, black

PATHS: Compacted sifted stone/ gravels/ sand from siteworks including moläifkdlcloloqo^hp)lm^qep Select site stone edges, erosion controls where required





**EXTERNAL ELEVATIONS/VIEWS** 



SANDY CREEK

(REFUGE)

РО

AND WALK

S







SINGLE POD

INTERNAL









ALAM MANA











- RWT RAIN WATER TANK

ST

- G GAS

SITE MAINTENANCE STORES









RWT

RWT

RWT

()

BUGGY + TRAILER

PARKING SPACE

BIN BIN BATTERY STORE

ST

ST

BIN

FLOOR PLAN

BENCH TO SUT MATURAL SITE LEVELS; LAY SITE STONE TO PROTECT BATTERS / CUTS (MAX 450 CUT / FILL). COMPACTED SIFTED SITE SAND / BROKEN STONE, MALTHOID SHEET BASE.

COMPACTED SIFTED SITE SAND / BROKEN STONE

18x PV PANELS OVER







KANGAROO ISLAND WALK SANDY CREEK LOOKOUTS 02 NOV 2018



WEST BAY ROAD



SANDY CREEK **KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** POST STAGING I D2 NOV 2018









**KANGAROO ISLAND WALK PATHS AND TRACKS** BOARDWALKS 02 NOV 2018





Views from Longhouse



Longhouse site









Views from Lookout 2
















|                                 | DEVELOPMENT  | APPLICA1   | TION F                    | ORM           |                       |             |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| PLEASE USE BLOO                 | CK LETTERS   | FOR OFFICE US  | SE                        |               |                       |             |
| COUNCIL:                        | Kangaroo Island Council Development No:                      |  |                           |               |                       |             |
| APPLICANT:                      | Australian Walking Company C/- Fyfe Previous Development No: |  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Destal Address:                 | GPO Box 2450   | Assessment No:   |                           |               |                       |             |
| Adelaide, SA, 5001              |  |  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Owner:                          | DEW as custodian for the Crown                               |  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Postal Address                  | GPO Box 1047   |  | Complying Application     |               | n forwarded to DA     |             |
| Adelaide, SA, 500               | 01   | Non Comply   | Non Complying             |               | Commission/Council on |             |
| BUILDER: TBA                    |  | □ Notification   | Cat 2                     | / /           |                       |             |
|                                 |  | Notification   | Cat 3                     | Decision:     |                       |             |
| Postal Address: _               | Α  | Referrals/Co   | oncurrences               | Туре:         |                       |             |
|                                 |  |  | sion                      |               |                       |             |
|                                 | Licence No. TBA  |  |                           | - 310.        | . ,                   |             |
| CONTACT PERSO                   | N FOR FURTHER INFORMATION                                    |  | Decision                  | Fees          | Receipt No            | Date        |
|                                 |  |  | required                  |               |                       |             |
| Name: Michael O                 | sborn  | Planning:  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Telephone: 0408 8               | 808 143 [work] <u>8407 9448 [Ah]</u>                         | Building:  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Fax:                            | [work] [Ah]  | Additional:  |                           |               |                       |             |
|                                 | icant  | Dovelonment  |                           |               |                       |             |
| EXISTING USE:                   |  | Approval   |                           |               |                       |             |
| DESCRIPTION OF                  | Tourist accomr<br>PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: and ancillary w      | modation comprising eigle<br>ater tanks and walking to | ht accommodation<br>rails | pods, longhou | se, two lookouts, a s | ervices bui |
| LOCATION OF PRO                 | OPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Sandy Creek, F                           | linders Chase Nati                                     | ional Park, Kar           | ngaroo Islar  | nd                    |             |
| House No:                       | Lot No: 51 Street: South Coast                               | Road To  | own/Suburb: _             | -linders Cl   | nase                  |             |
| Section No [full/part]          | ] Hundred: OH (Kingsco                                       | ote) Vo  | olume: <u>6176</u>        |               | Folio: <u>336</u>     |             |
| Section No [full/part] Hundred: |  |  | olume:                    |               | Folio:                |             |
| LAND DIVISION:                  |  |  |                           |               |                       |             |
| Site Area [m <sup>2</sup> ]     | Reserve Area [m <sup>2</sup> ]                               | N  | No of existing a          | llotments _   |                       |             |
| Number of additiona             | al allotments [excluding road and reserve]: _                | L  | _ease:                    | YES           | з 🗖 на                |             |
| BUILDING RULES                  | CLASSIFICATION SOUGHT: 1b and 10                             | F  | Present classifi          | cation: _     |                       |             |
| f Class 5,6,78 or 9             | classification is sought, state the proposed r               | number of employee                                     | es: Ma                    | lle:          | Female:               |             |
| f Class 9a classifica           | ation is sought, state the number o persons t                | for whom accommo                                       | odation is provi          | ded: -        |                       |             |
| If Class 9b classifica          | ation is sought, state the proposed number of                | of occupants of the                                    | various spaces            | s at the prei | mises: -              |             |
| DOES EITHER SCH                 | EDULE 21 OR 22 OF THE DEVELOPMEN                             | IT REGULATIONS   | 2008 APPLY                | ? YES         |                       |             |
| HAS THE CONSTR                  | UCTION INDUSTRY TRAINING FUND AC                             | T 2008 LEVY BEE  | N PAID?                   | YES           |                       |             |
| DEVELOPMENT C                   | <b>OST</b> [do not include any fit-out costs]:               | \$ <u>1,100,000.00</u>                                 | •                         |               |                       |             |
| Lacknowledge that               | copies of this application and supporting do                 | cumentation may b                                      | e provided to i           | nterested p   | ersons in accord      | dance wi    |

the Development Regulations 2008.

SIGNATURE:

\_ Dated: / /



Product Date/Time Customer Reference Order ID Cost Register Search (CR 6176/336) 10/10/2018 09:55AM 65248 20181010001789 \$40.15

This Crown Record Register Search is a true and correct extract of the Register of Crown Records maintained by the Registrar-General. Crown Land is administered pursuant to the Crown Land Management Act 2009 by the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources.

# Crown Record - Volume 6176 Folio 336

24/06/2016

| Parent Title(s) | CR 5778/21 |
|-----------------|------------|
|                 |            |

Creating Dealing(s) TG 12509743

Title Issued

Edition 1 Edition Issued

24/06/2016

# Estate Type

CROWN LAND (ALIENATED)

# Owner

THE CROWN

# Custodian

MINISTER FOR SUSTAINABILITY, ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION OF ADELAIDE SA 5000

# **Description of Land**

SECTION 18 HUNDRED OF BORDA IN THE AREA NAMED CAPE BORDA

SECTIONS 950 AND 951 HUNDRED OF CASUARINA ISLETS

SECTIONS 42, 43, 44 AND 45 HUNDRED OF GOSSE IN THE AREA NAMED GOSSE

SECTION 21 HUNDRED OF MCDONALD IN THE AREA NAMED GOSSE

SECTION 42 HUNDRED OF RITCHIE IN THE AREA NAMED KARATTA

SECTIONS 43, 44 AND 45 HUNDRED OF RITCHIE IN THE AREA NAMED GOSSE

ALLOTMENTS 1, 2 AND 3 DEPOSITED PLAN 27908 IN THE AREA NAMED FLINDERS CHASE OUT OF HUNDREDS (KINGSCOTE) AND HUNDRED OF MCDONALD

ALLOTMENT 51 DEPOSITED PLAN 38340 IN THE AREA NAMED FLINDERS CHASE OUT OF HUNDREDS (KINGSCOTE) AND HUNDRED OF MCDONALD

ALLOTMENT COMPRISING PIECES 53, 54 AND 55 DEPOSITED PLAN 38340 IN THE AREA NAMED FLINDERS CHASE OUT OF HUNDREDS (KINGSCOTE) AND HUNDRED OF MCDONALD

ALLOTMENT 1 FILED PLAN 31869 IN THE AREA NAMED FLINDERS CHASE HUNDRED OF MCDONALD

Land Services

Copyright Privacy Disclaimer: www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showCopyright www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showPrivacyStatement www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showDisclaimer



Register Search (CR 6176/336) 10/10/2018 09:55AM 65248 20181010001789 \$40.15

TOTAL AREA: 330KM<sup>2</sup> (APPROXIMATE)

# Easements

SUBJECT TO EASEMENT(S) OVER PORTION OF ALLOTMENT 1 IN D27908 AND PORTIONS OF PIECE 55 IN D38340 MARKED A ON F53662 (TG 12509743)

SUBJECT TO EASEMENT(S) OVER PORTION OF PIECE 55 IN D38340 MARKED B ON F53662 (TG 12509743)

# **Schedule of Dealings**

| Dealing | Number | Description |
|---------|--------|-------------|
|---------|--------|-------------|

12540084 NATIONAL PARK PURSUANT TO NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE ACT 1972

# **Schedule of Interests**

| Licence Number | Description   |
|----------------|---|
| NL000173       | ANNUAL LICENCE TO BUREAU OF METEOROLOGY - SCIENCE DEPT FOR<br>INFRASTRUCTURE PURPOSES COMMENCING ON 01/07/2000 AND EXPIRING ON<br>30/06/2020                                      |
| PK000151       | ANNUAL LICENCE TO AUSTRALIAN MARITIME SAFETY AUTHORITY FOR<br>INFRASTRUCTURE PURPOSES COMMENCING ON 08/12/1993 AND EXPIRING ON<br>07/12/2092                                      |
| PK000263       | ANNUAL LICENCE TO THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE FOR INFRASTRUCTURE<br>PURPOSES COMMENCING ON 14/04/2003 AND EXPIRING ON 13/04/2028   |
| PK000282       | ANNUAL LICENCE TO R A & J R COWIN FAMILY TRUST AND J R & L M TIPPETT FAMILY<br>TRUST FOR COMMERCIAL OR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES COMMENCING ON 01/01/2005<br>AND EXPIRING ON 31/12/2019 |

# Notations

| Dealings Affecting Title NIL |  |
|------------------------------|--|
|------------------------------|--|

Priority Notices

### Registrar-General's Notes

APPROVED FX43750 APPROVED FX53662

### Administrative Interests

CONFIRMED IN SA HERITAGE REGISTER 08/11/1984

NIL

CONFIRMED IN SA HERITAGE REGISTER 12/01/1984

CONFIRMED IN SA HERITAGE REGISTER 24/07/1980

CONFIRMED IN SA HERITAGE REGISTER 14/12/1995

SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT 2009\_3077

SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT 2012\_3122

COMMONWEALTH HERITAGE PLACE 22/06/2004

Copyright Privacy Disclaimer: www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showCopyright www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showPrivacyStatement www.sailis.sa.gov.au/home/showDisclaimer











# KANGAROO ISLAND WILDERNESS TRAIL ACCOMMODATION PLANNING STATEMENT

SANDY CREEK, FINDERS CHASE NATIONAL PARK

PREPARED FOR | Australian Walking Company PREPARED BY | Fyfe Pty Ltd ABN | 57 008 116 130 ADDRESS | L1, 124 South Terrace, Adelaide SA 5000 CONTACT | Michael Osborn TELEPHONE | office 61 8 8201 9600 FACSIMILE | 61 8 8201 9650 EMAIL | Michael.osborn@fyfe.com.au DATE | 6/11/2018 REFERENCE | 65248-1 V3 Report

#### ©Fyfe Pty Ltd, 2018

### Proprietary Information Statement

The information contained in this document produced by Fyfe Pty Ltd is solely for the use of the Client identified on the cover sheet for the purpose for which it has been prepared and Fyfe Pty Ltd undertakes no duty to or accepts any responsibility to any third party who may rely upon this document.

All rights reserved. No section or element of this document may be removed from this document, reproduced, electronically stored or transmitted in any form without the written permission of Fyfe Pty Ltd.

#### **Revision History**

| Revision | Revision Status  | Date       | Prepared | Reviewed | Approved |
|----------|------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| V1       | Draft for review | 10/10/2018 | KG       | MO       | MO       |
| V2       | DT Updates       | 5/11/2018  | KG       | MO       | MO       |
| V3       | JO Updates       | 6/11/2018  | KG       | MO       | MO       |



### **CONTENTS**

|     |   | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 1.  | INTRODUCTION                                      | 1    |
| 2.  | THE SUBJECT LAND AND LOCALITY                     | 4    |
| 3.  | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL                       | 6    |
| 4.  | ACHIEVING STRATEGIC PRIORITIES ON KANGAROO ISLAND | 9    |
| 4.1 | Relationship to Park Management Plan              | 10   |
| 5.  | PROCEDURAL MATTERS                                | 12   |
| 5.1 | Nature of Development                             | 12   |
| 5.2 | Relevant Planning Authority                       | 13   |
| 5.3 | Public Notification                               | 13   |
| 5.4 | Referrals   | 13   |
| 6.  | DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT                            | 15   |
| 6.1 | Relevant Policies                                 | 15   |
| 6.2 | Land Use and Zoning                               | 17   |
| 6.3 | Design and Visibility                             | 21   |
| 6.4 | Access and Car Parking                            | 25   |
| 6.5 | Hazard Risk Minimisation                          | 26   |
| 6.6 | Natural Resources                                 | 29   |
| 6.7 | Infrastructure                                    | 32   |
| 7.  | CONCLUSION  | 37   |



### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1 | Proposed Australian Walking Company Tour and Accommodation                           | 2  |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Location of Sandy Creek Development Site   | 4  |
| Figure 2.2 | Montage showing aerial image of Sandy Creek development site with proposed building  | gs |
|            | superimposed   | 5  |
| Figure 6.1 | Zone Boundaries  | 15 |
| Figure 6.2 | Montage illustrating location of structures when viewed from Sandy Beach to the west |    |
|            | (white arrow shows Longhouse structure below with pods to the north/left)            | 23 |

### APPENDICES

| Appendix A | Crown Record  |
|------------|---|
| Appendix B | Concept Design Report prepared by Troppo Architects   |
| Appendix C | Proposal Plans (including the stormwater and wastewater layout) prepared by Troppo<br>Architect |
| Appendix D | Letter from John Schutz, chief executive of DEW   |
| Appendix E | Performance Based Solution report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions                             |
| Appendix F | Indicative Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) Assessment Report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions      |
| Appendix G | Draft TWC Emergency Response Management Plan  |
| Appendix H | bushfire evacuation policy for the three capes lodge walk                                       |
| Appendix I | Draft guide field manual for the_three capes lodge walk   |



# 1. INTRODUCTION

This planning statement has been prepared in relation to a development application for the Australian Walking Company (AWC) to develop tourist accommodation along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) in Flinders Chase National Park.

In 2013, the development of a multi-day walking trail was identified by the South Australian Tourism Commission (SATC) as a critical need for the development of the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and to compete with nature-based destinations worldwide. Five years later, the 61 kilometre Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) has been developed and the next priority of the SATC, the Department of Environment and Water (DEW) and National Parks SA was to improve the diversity of accommodation and guided walking experiences along the multi-million dollar trail.

To facilitate this, an opportunity was presented to the private sector by DEW and SATC to develop exclusive, eco-sensitive accommodation and tours to tourists and an expression of interest process occurred. At the conclusion of this process, the AWC was selected as the preferred commercial tour operator.

The AWC, as well as the partnered Tasmanian Walking Company (TWC), specialise in providing fully guided, small group bushwalking experiences, with innovative, ecologically-sensitive accommodation and ecologically-sustainable operating principles. The unique experience that AWC and TWC offer includes exclusive overnight accommodation that is not usually associated with camping and bushwalking by providing their guests access to a shower, bed and a prepared meal. Examples of experiences and accommodation offered by the AWC and TWC include the Twelve Apostles Lodge Walk (<a href="https://twelveapostleslodgewalk.com.au/">https://twelveapostleslodgewalk.com.au/</a>) and the Three Capes Lodge Walk (<a href="https://twelveapostleslodgewalk/">https://twelveapostleslodgewalk.com.au/</a>) and the Three Capes Lodge Walk (<a href="https://twelveapostleslodgewalk/">https://twelveapostleslodgewalk.com.au/</a>) and the Three Capes Lodge Walk (<a href="https://twelveapostleslodgewalk/">https://twelveapostleslodgewalk/</a>).

The AWC, in conjunction with DEW, propose to offer this experience along the KIWT in a 4 day, 3 night fully guided small group bushwalking tour for 14 people, staying two nights in high end 'standing camp' accommodation and one night in the existing State Heritage Cape du Couedic Lighthouse Keepers' Cottages. Figure 1.1 illustrates the extent of the trail and the location of overnight accommodation.

Given the dispersed spatial location of the accommodation nodes, three separate development applications are proposed in order to secure the relevant approvals under the *Development Act 1993*.



PLANNING STATEMENT KANGAROO ISLAND WILDERNESS TRAIL ACCOMMODATION



Figure 1.1 Proposed Australian Walking Company Tour and Accommodation

This development application is for accommodation for the first night of the experience and is located at Sandy Creek in Flinders Chase National Park. The subject land is identified as Lot 51 on Crown Record Volume 6176 and Folio 336. The site of the development is situated to the east of Sandy Creek inlet from Maupertuis Bay.

The proposed development is for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails.

The subject land is situated within the Conservation Zone, as identified by Zone Map KI/1 in the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan (consolidated 17 September 2015).

The proposed development is neither a complying or non-complying form of development in the Conservation Zone, and must therefore be assessed on its merit.



In preparing this report we have reviewed the following plans and documents which form part of the application and are appended to this report:

- Crown Record (Appendix A):
- Concept Design Report prepared by Troppo Architects (Appendix B);
- Proposal Plans (including the stormwater and wastewater layout) prepared by Troppo Architects (Appendix C);
- Letter of support from John Schutz, Chief Executive of DEW (Appendix D);
- A report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions documenting the proposed Performance Based Solution (Appendix E); and
- Indicative Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) Assessment Report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions (Appendix F).

We also note that the following assessments are also being undertaken:

- Bushland Assessment by Botanical Enigmerase that will consider flora and fauna in the vicinity of the development and will inform the management techniques for the site and the final walking trail locations; and
- An Aboriginal Heritage Register Search to ensure that important sites and objects are not impacted by the proposed development.

A copy of these assessments will be provided once complete.

The following documents have also been considered and are appended to this report as an example of the emergency and evacuation procedures being developed by the AWC and TWC:

- Draft TWC Emergency Response Management Plan (Appendix G);
- Bushfire Evacuation Policy for the Three Capes Lodge Walk (Appendix H); and
- Draft Guide Field Manual for the Three Capes Lodge Walk (Appendix I).

Following our review of the application documents and our assessment of the relevant provisions of the Development Plan, we have formed the opinion that the proposed development warrants Development Plan Consent subject to obtaining any relevant Native Vegetation Clearance approvals. We note that such clearance approvals are generally outside of the scope of the *Development Act 1993* and in our opinion, will need to be assessed concurrently with the development application. Should in principle support be forthcoming subject to providing more detailed information, we are of the opinion that Development Plan Consent could be issued with the clearance approval attached as a reserve matter.



# 2. THE SUBJECT LAND AND LOCALITY

The subject land is identified as Lot 51 on Crown Record Volume 6176 and Folio 336. A copy of this Crown Record is attached as Appendix A.



Figure 2.1 below identifies the location of the land.

Figure 2.1 Location of Sandy Creek Development Site

The site of the development is situated approximately 200 metres east of the inlet of Sandy Creek from Maupertuis Bay and is located within the Flinders Chase National Park, identified as a National Park on Location Map KI/1 within the Development Plan. The entire subject land itself is more than 5000 hectares in size and as a result, this description of the subject land is focused on the Sandy Creek development site.



The land is undulating and the site of the development features two peaks with a gentle, central depression extending from inland down to the cliff face to the south west. A subtle fold in the land also exists almost parallel to the coast line and Sandy Creek. Sandy Creek is to the north west of the development site and the land falls down towards this creek and the coast line to the south and west. Native vegetation extends over most of the site and features Melaleuca and coastal 'shrubland' with mid open Mallee woodland further from the coast (as identified by the National Vegetation Information System).

The extent of native vegetation is evident in Figure 2.2 below which shows an aerial view of the site, with Sandy Beach on the left and the location of the buildings superimposed (an enlarged copy of this image is included in Appendix C).



Figure 2.2 Montage showing aerial image of Sandy Creek development site with proposed buildings superimposed

The locality resembles that of a National Park with vast areas of untouched vegetation, recreational walking trails, fire access tracks and the coast line. There are limited public roads and the site itself does not have a conventional road frontage. West Bay Road is situated more than 1 kilometre to the north of the proposed development and Snake Lagoon Road is more than 2 kilometres to the east. Both roads are unsealed local roads.

A walking trail extends from West Bay Road, down along Sandy Creek to the inlet and beach (Sandy Creek Hike shown on the Flinders Chase National Park Map). The closest built form is some 2 kilometres away at Snake Lagoon and the Cup Gum Campground. The State Heritage Listed David Kilpatrick's Grave is also more than 400 metres to the east of the development site.



# 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL

The proposed development is for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails.

The accommodation forms part of a four day and three night fully guided small group bushwalking tour for fourteen guests. Each group will be greeted by the guides in Kingscote where they will be transported via private bus to a drop-off point at the beginning of the walking trail. The walks will typically operate all year round, and when in full operation, will run daily.

The guests are fully supported for the duration of the trip by two experienced guides, who guide the group along the trail during the day and manage all aspects of the accommodation in the evenings/mornings with the assistance of one additional support guide (with vehicle access). The accommodation is fully serviced and run by the guides and support staff including cooking, cleaning and in-accommodation services. The daily operations of each camp is summarised below:

- Morning:
  - Guides up at 6.30am to prepare a shared breakfast and all lunches for the day
  - o Breakfast is shared
  - Guides and guests pay a day pack and leave their luggage to be collected by Support Staff for transport to the next accommodation location
  - One guide leaves with the group around 9.00 am to begin the days walking
  - The second guide stays behind for an hour to assist Support Staff to perform all remaining cleaning duties and effectively turn over the accommodation and close down the buildings ready for the following group to arrive
  - Support staff member performs shut-down procedure (gas off, lighting off etc.) before leaving and transfers all baggage to next overnight node
- Afternoon:
  - One guide leaves the group and goes ahead to open the accommodation 1 hour before the group arrives including turning on gas and hot water and preparing afternoon tea
  - o Guests arrive in the afternoon and receive hut briefing on operational and safety matters
  - o Guides prepare dinner and perform wine and food service
  - o Guests have some time to themselves to shower, rest and/or socialise
  - o Dinner is shared
  - Guides complete clean-up and basic overnight shut-down procedures.



A Staging Post (described below) will be positioned adjacent West Bay Road where food and luggage can be delivered by support staff by vehicle before being transported to the accommodation or longhouse by a light vehicle (such as a quad bike). Similarly, waste and waste water will be stored and collected from the Staging Post and will be transported off-site by vehicle.

The proposed built form incorporates a series of small scale structures which are summarised below:

- The Longhouse is a communal building and features the kitchen, bathroom and open dining and lounging area. It measures some 9.1 metres by 18.8 metres including decks along the northern, western and eastern facades and features pergolas or shade awnings along all four facades. Windows will extend for the length of the northern, western and eastern building surface.
- The accommodation or sleeping pods each contain a bedroom for two guests (either double or twin share) and small bathroom with toilet, shower and basin. They measure approximately 3.5 metres by 4.5 metres with a 2.6 metre wall height. The south eastern building surface of each pod can be opened to benefit from the views out towards the coast.
- The eighth accommodation pod is for the two guides and is similar in size however, does not include a bathroom.
- Two lookouts are proposed in the form of platforms on vegetated dune high points adjacent the walk. They nestle beneath the vegetation level, with seats and approach boardwalks. A primary 'sunset lookout', Lookout 1 includes stowable wind screens.
- The Service Point marks the end of the vehicular access track and, in addition to being a park for the site's quad bike, accommodates gas bottles, waste management and site maintenance stores. It also delivers charging of back-up batteries for each site element's autonomous power supply.
- Commercial access for suppliers and maintenance by usual vehicles is at a 'Staging Post' adjacent West Bay Road. The Staging Post affords stores for food, back-up supply for gas and batteries, cleaning and cyclical maintenance, as well the back-up water supply. It is also a staging point for transfer of guest bags from the site quad to a van for shifting to the next site; and for waste management.

All structures are lightweight and elevated to minimise the need for earthworks. All buildings feature neutral colours and finishes for the external cladding.

Site vehicular access is only by a light vehicle, such as a quad bike, enabling reduced road width and less invasive road engineering for reduced environmental impact. Standard passenger vehicle access is limited to the existing road network and will connect to the site via the Staging Post described above.



Other infrastructure required to service the Longhouse and pods is proposed as follows:

- Power: Solar power systems are proposed for each structure. Each system stands alone, but back-up batteries are stored for change-over when necessary.
- Gas: Gas will be reticulated to the Longhouse from bottles at the service point. Gas bottles for hot water service will be located at each pair of Sleeping Pods.
- Water: A centralised harvesting, storage and reticulation system will be based at the Service Point.
   90,000 litres storage, with detailed water conservation techniques is proposed. Water will be harvested from the Longhouse building only.
- Stormwater: Stormwater from the Sleeping and Guide Pods roofs will fall to drainage swales or shallow soakage pits adjacent the pods, finished with site stone from the benching cut. Buffer and storage tank overflows are directed to soakage pits measuring approximately 1m x 1m x 1m deep with geotextile fabric lining and filled with select local stone and select stone finish to absorb water impact.
- Waste: A closed waste water system is proposed, utilising natural site falls. Individual bathrooms and the Longhouse kitchen will drain to a 4,000 litre in-ground waste treatment tank. Waste will be broken down by worms to minimise waste output to a 3,200 litre in-ground holding tank for pump-out. The pump-out waste water, though 'clean', will be removed by trailer to a waste storage tank at the Staging Post. Pump-out cycles are proposed to be monthly at the site, and annually at the Staging Post. A waste water application will be lodged with the Kangaroo Island Council for approval; and
- Rubbish and recyclables: A separated waste management system will integrate into the Longhouse kitchen, Service Point and Staging Post.



# 4. ACHIEVING STRATEGIC PRIORITIES ON KANGAROO ISLAND

Within Flinders Chase National Park, tourist accommodation options are limited to campgrounds and preexisting converted heritage buildings (such as the Cape Borda Lightstation). Along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail itself, there are four campgrounds accommodating 24 tent platforms each and visitors are limited to a maximum of one night at each camp ground.

Currently, over 40% of domestic visitors go bushwalking during their stay on Kangaroo Island and 45% visit National Parks<sup>1</sup>. With the majority of the National Parks, bushwalking trails and wilderness protection areas situated on the western coast of Kangaroo Island, the concentration of accommodation on the eastern coast some 70 kilometres from these parks does not efficiently support these activities, especially for visitors that do not want to camp. The proposed AWC walking experience and accommodation will start to address this gap and increase the opportunities for visitors to stay within a national park and go bushwalking without the need to camp or carry their own supplies.

The Kangaroo Island Development Plan State Strategic Setting (on page 11) identifies the need to address such gaps and highlights that 'a range of sustainable tourism facilities, accommodation and products <u>must</u> be developed to suit a range of visitor budgets and experiences'.

Building on this, the Development Plan adds:

'It is expected that the Island will continue to develop as a pre-eminent sustainable, nature-based tourism destination, but there is also a need to provide opportunities in other tourism markets around the themes of outdoor adventure and leisure activities, the coast, niche food and wine products, heritage and culture. These markets should add depth to the Island's appeal as a visitor destination and encourage longer stays.'

The proposed four day and three night experience will reinforce the tourism market around these themes whilst supporting the image of Kangaroo Island as a pristine, nature-based tourism destination.

Within the SATC's Regional Visitor Strategy, the following priorities are identified for Kangaroo Island:

- Foster the development of at least 120 new rooms and upgrading of at least 30 rooms (from 3 to 4-star) by 2020.
- <u>Activate Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail as a hero experience</u> to foster other experience development and drive low season visitation.
- Work with government, other agencies and operators to encourage investment in experiences around coastal, health, wellbeing and the arts.

<sup>1</sup> Based on the Kangaroo Island Tourism Profile prepared by the South Australian Tourism Commission for the year ending Dec 2017



 Promote Kangaroo Island's brand essence of immersive nature and wildlife encounters and seasonal natural occurrences

The proposed development will contribute to achieving these priorities developing some 14 new rooms in Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay whilst balancing the desire for only small scale accommodation within the Conservation Zone. The development will also further activate the KIWT by increasing the range of accommodation offered and will provide an immersive nature and wildlife experience. It is also the result of collaboration between government and the private sector, improving investment along the coast.

As part of the South Australian Tourism Commission's (SATC) Nature Based Tourism Strategy, the recent development of the KIWT 'is predicted to directly contribute \$1.8 million in total visitor expenditure by 2020'. One of the key opportunities identified within this strategy to achieve this target is the development of accommodation and guided tours along this wilderness trail. The proposed development directly responds to this strategy forming part of a holistic guided walking tour and exclusive accommodation experience not currently offered along the KIWT.

In our opinion, the proposed AWC experience will provide a much needed exclusive bushwalking and accommodation draw-card that will showcase the pristine natural and coastal environment of Kangaroo Island and will diversify the offerings for tourists. As a result, this accommodation delivers on a number of the strategic priorities developed by the South Australian Tourism Commission and within the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan.

### 4.1 Relationship to Park Management Plan

The development is sited within the Flinders Chase National Park which is a reserve declared under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972.* This Act requires that a Management Plan be prepared for such reserves which sets out the strategies intended to meet the objectives set out in the Act. The *Flinders Chase National Park, Kelly Hill Conservation Park, Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protection Area and Cape Bouguer Wilderness Protection Area Management Plan (1999) is this plan.* 

Section 10 of this Plan outlines the strategies for visitor management and infrastructure development within Flinders Chase National Park and details three zones which have been established to exclude areas of high conservation value from inappropriate development. These three zones are the;

- the Major Development Zone, for major visitor facilities;
- Minor Development Zones for small scale visitor facilties (camping site, toilets, car parks, etc) and 'small scale, ecologically sensitive accommodations'; and,
- Limited Access Zone where development should not occur.



Following the development of the KIWT, the Management Plan was amended and sought to secure the KIWT as a Minor Development Zone to facilitate the development of small scale ecologically sensitive accommodation along the KIWT. It states the following:

'The Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail will cater for campers, however research shows that many people prefer a higher standard of accommodation. Providing ecologically sensitive overnight accommodation options has the potential to make the walk an even more appealing experience. As such, the development of small scale, ecologically sensitive accommodation at several designated sites within Flinders Chase National Park is a key component of future plans.

Flinders Chase National Park, Kelly Hill Conservation Park, Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protection Area and Cape Bouguer Wilderness Protection Area Management Plans provided for the development of camping sites, toilets, water tanks, picnic areas, trail heads and interpretive sites at minor development zones along the trail. This plan did not make provision for the development of small scale, ecologically sensitive accommodation along the trail. This amendment enables the development of small scale, ecologically sensitive accommodation for trail walkers within minor development zones. It also provides an explanation of strategies to ensure that the trail and its use are compatible with objectives for the management of the parks.'

The proposed development aligns with the intent of the recent amendment and will see the development of small scale ecologically sensitive accommodation along the KIWT.



# 5. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

### 5.1 Nature of Development

The proposed tourist accommodation constitutes a change in use and building work and in turn, development under the section 4 of the *Development Act 1993*.

Whilst the proposed development is being initiated and facilitated by the Department of Environment and Water (DEW), AWC is the proponent, with the application therefore to be processed as a private development. Notwithstanding, given the clear role of DEW, we note that if DEW was nominated as the applicant, then Section 49 of the *Development Act 1993* would apply.

Section 49(3) states:

'No application for approval is required (either under this section or any other provision of this Act), and no notice to a council is required under subsection (4a), if the development is of a kind excluded from the provisions of this section by regulation.'

Schedule 14 of the *Development Regulations 2008* outlines the forms of development that are excluded from the provisions of section 49 of the *Act* and therefore do not require approval. It states:

- 1 (1) The following forms of development, other than in relation to a State heritage place or within the Adelaide Park Lands, are excluded from the provisions of section 49 of the Act:
  - b) if the work is certified by a private certifier, or by some person nominated by the Minister for the purposes of this provision, as complying with the Building Rules (or the Building Rules to the extent that is appropriate in the circumstances after taking into account the requirements of the Building Rules and, insofar as may be relevant, the matters prescribed under regulation 70 for the purposes of section 49 of the Act)—

(iv) the development of land dedicated under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972;

The proposed development is on land dedicated under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972*. Consequently, if DEW was the applicant, the development could proceed without application for approval pursuant to section 49(3) of the Act.

However, both DEW and AWC wish for the proposal to be subject of a formal assessment process. Accordingly, AWC has been nominated as the applicant, which means that planning consent will be sought and required for the development.



### 5.2 Relevant Planning Authority

Even without considering section 49 as discussed above, the *Development Regulations 2008* identify the State Commission Assessment Panel as the relevant authority (at clause 18, Schedule 10) of the following:

'Development for the purposes of tourism in those parts of the area of the Kangaroo Island Council defined in the relevant Development Plan as Coastal Conservation Zone or Conservation Zone.'

The proposed development is for the purposes of tourism and the subject land is situated within the Conservation Zone, identified with the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan (consolidated 17 September 2015).

Accordingly, the State Commission Assessment Panel is the relevant authority pursuant to section 34(1)(b)(i) and (ii) of the *Development Act 1993* (supported by regulation 38(1) of the *Development Regulations 2008*).

### 5.3 Public Notification

Having regard to the procedural matters for the Conservation Zone, the following is identified as a Category 1 form of development:

'Tourist accommodation setback a minimum of 100 metres from land within an adjoining allotment used for farming or horticulture.'

The nature of the proposed development is tourist accommodation and the proposed Sandy Creek site is more than 100 metres from any adjoining allotment and more than 100 metres from farming and horticulture.

Therefore, no public consultation is required pursuant to section 38(2) of the Development Act 1993.

### 5.4 Referrals

Pursuant to Schedule 8 of the *Development Regulations 2008*, a referral to Country Fire Service (CFS) is required as part of this application (pursuant to clause 18 of Schedule 8).

In addition to the above, the Applicant is liaising with the Coastal Protection Board (CPB) and will apply for any necessary Native Vegetation Clearance approvals separate to this application. Notwithstanding this, no mandatory referrals are required to the CPB or Native Vegetation Council (NVC) pursuant to Schedule 8 of the *Development Regulations 2008* due to the following:

- The site is not on coastal land or rural land (referred to in the definition of coastal land); and
- The Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan does not contain a map showing an area of substantially intact native vegetation affecting the subject land.



PLANNING STATEMENT KANGAROO ISLAND WILDERNESS TRAIL ACCOMMODATION

As the proposed development is a DEW initiative and will be developed within a National Park, there will be an ongoing relationship and consultation with DEW during and after the development application process.



# 6. DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

### 6.1 Relevant Policies

The Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan, consolidated on 17 September 2015, is the relevant planning instrument.

The subject land is located within Conservation Zone and is not within any Policy or Precinct Areas as shown in Figure 6.1 below.



Figure 6.1 Zone Boundaries

The following provisions of the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan are in our opinion, considered most relevant to an assessment of the proposed development.



PLANNING STATEMENT KANGAROO ISLAND WILDERNESS TRAIL ACCOMMODATION

### **Zone Section**

**Conservation Zone** Objectives: 1, 2 and 4 Principles of Development Control: 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6

### **General/Council Wide Section**

### Coastal Areas

Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 5 and 6

### Design and Appearance

Objectives: 1 and 2 Principles of Development Control: 1, 3,7 and 17

### Energy Efficiency

Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5

#### Hazards

Objectives: 1, 2, 3 and 4 Principles for Development Control: 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11

### Heritage Places

Objectives: 1, 2 and 3 Principles of Development Control: 2, 3 and 4

### Natural Resources

Objectives: 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 13 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34

#### **Open Space and Recreation**

Objectives: 3 Principles of Development Control: 2 and 15

#### Siting and Visibility

Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 4, 5 and 7



PLANNING STATEMENT KANGAROO ISLAND WILDERNESS TRAIL ACCOMMODATION

*Sloping Land* Objective: 1 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7

#### **Tourism Development**

Objectives: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 19 and 20

#### Transportation and Access

Objective: 2 Principles of Development Control: 21, 22, 23, 27, 28 and 29

#### Waste

Objectives: 1 and 2 Principles of Development Control: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16

The assessment below considers the matters most relevant to the proposed development.

### 6.2 Land Use and Zoning

The proposed development is situated within the Conservation Zone which prioritises the preservation of natural environment and habitat. The following Objectives and Principles of Development Control (PDCs) are the most relevant for the purposes of assessing the land use:

| Conservation Zone Objective 1: |                   | The conservation and enhancement of the natural environment and natural ecological                           |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
|                                |                   | processes for their historic, scientific, landscape, faunal habitat, biodiversity and                        |  |
|                                |                   | cultural values.   |  |
| Conservation Zone Objective 2: |                   | Provision of opportunities for the <u>public to experience and appreciate</u> the significance               |  |
|                                |                   | of the native vegetation and original remnant natural habitat of the area through low-                       |  |
|                                |                   | impact recreational activities and interpretive facilities.  |  |
| Desired Character:             | Conservat         | ion areas, including National, Conservation and Marine Parks and Wilderness Protection                       |  |
| Areas, wi                      |                   | continue to provide representative samples of the countryside and shoreline.                                 |  |
|                                | Facilities f      | or the use of visitors including picnic areas, <u>shelters, huts</u> / bothies, <u>camp sites</u> , toilets, |  |
|                                | and simila        | r public amenities as well as various forms of <u>low-key, short stay tourist accommodation</u>              |  |
|                                | such as <u>se</u> | mi-permanent tents and lodges are anticipated provided they are appropriately sited and                      |  |
|                                | designed i        | n a manner that is <u>subservient to the natural and coastal environment</u> and adverse                     |  |
|                                | impact on         | natural features, landscapes, habitats and cultural assets is minimised.                                     |  |



The siting of tourism development, including any associated access driveways and ancillary structures, on cleared or degraded areas is preferred. Development should be located <u>away from fragile</u> coastal environments and <u>significant habitat or breeding grounds</u>.

There is some land on Kangaroo Island where the flora and fauna have developed naturally and are still not noticeably affected by human intervention. These areas will be kept free of artificial improvements so that visitors may experience a completely natural environment. Such areas will remain as wilderness areas in order to preserve their special character.

There is a need to preserve the natural character of land bordering rivers and at river mouths.

Conservation Zone PDC 1: The following forms of development are envisaged in the zone:

- directional, identification and/or interpretative advertisements and/or advertising hoardings for conservation management and tourist information purposes
- facilities associated with the interpretation and appreciation of natural and cultural heritage such as public amenities, <u>camping grounds</u>, <u>remote shelters</u>, <u>huts</u> / <u>bothies</u>
- <u>tourist accommodation</u>.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)

Tourist accommodation is an envisaged form of development within the Conservation Zone (see Zone PDC 1 above) and the proposed accommodation will provide opportunities for the public to experience and appreciate the significance of the native vegetation and natural habitat, consistent with Zone Objective 2. The proposed development seeks to retain and blend with the natural environment noting that this environment is one of the key attractions for guests using the accommodation, in line with Zone Objective 1.

The proposed accommodation is small in scale and utilises a series of 'pods' with designated access tracks to ensure that the development is subservient to the natural and coastal environment and to minimise adverse impacts on the landscape and natural habitats. The site is located within a National Park but not within a Wilderness Protection Area as identified in Location Map Ki/1, ensuring that these wilderness areas are kept free from artificial improvements. Accordingly, the proposed development is considered to be an appropriate land use within the Conservation Zone.

It is acknowledged that some vegetation clearance will result from the development and the proposed design seeks to minimise the extent that this will occur. However, it will not affect natural processes or impact on any known breeding grounds and some clearance is incidental to developing land for the small-scale tourist accommodation and recreational walking trails in the Zone.



### 6.2.1 Tourism Development

Council's Development Plan also includes general Objectives and PDCs for tourism development. The most relevant polices to the tourism development being assessed are detailed below:

Tourism Development Objective 1: Environmentally sustainable and innovative tourism development.

| Tourism Development Objective 2:                       | Tourism development that assists in the conservation, interpretation and public<br><u>appreciation o</u> f significant natural and cultural features including <u>State or local</u><br><u>heritage places.</u>                          |
|--|--|
| Tourism Development Objective 4:                       | Tourism development that protects areas of exceptional natural value, allows for<br>appropriate levels of visitation, and demonstrates a high quality environmental<br>analysis and design response which enhances environmental values. |
| Tourism Development Objective 7: <u>I</u>              | ncreased opportunities for visitors to stay overnight.   |
| Tourism Development PDC 1: Touris<br>historio          | m development should have <u>a functional or locational link</u> with its natural, cultural or<br>cal setting.   |
| Tourism Development PDC 2: Touris<br>signifi           | m development and any associated activities should <u>not damage or degrade</u> any<br>cant natural and cultural features.   |
| Tourism Development PDC 3: Touris<br>over co<br>or the | m development should ensure that its scale, form and location will not overwhelm,<br>ommercialise or detract from the <u>intrinsic natural values</u> of the land on which it is sited<br>character of its locality.                     |
| Tourism Development PDC 4: Touris<br>accom             | m development should, where appropriate, <u>add to the range</u> of services and<br>modation types available in an area.   |
| Tourism Development PDC 9: Touris<br>cultur<br>excell  | st developments located within areas of high conservation value, high indigenous<br>ral value, high landscape quality or significant scenic beauty should demonstrate<br>lence in design to minimise potential impacts or intrusion.     |
| Tourism Development PDC 11: Build                      | ings and structures to accommodate tourists and associated activities should:  |
| a) r   | not exceed a building height of 6.5 metres (from natural ground level)   |
| b) f   | nave a minimum setback of 100 metres from any of the following:  |
|  | <ul> <li>public roads or be no closer to a public road than existing buildings on the subject<br/>land, whichever is the lesser</li> <li>adjuicing subsect boundaries</li> </ul>   |
| l<br>  | ii. uujoining allotment boundaries   |
| 11   | n. the high water hill K   |
| A  |  |



Tourism Development PDC 12: Development providing accommodation for tourists should be designed to minimise the potential for buildings to be converted into or used as a dwelling(s) where:

- a) if the development comprises multiple tourist accommodation units by ensuring that facilities, access driveways, parking areas, amenities and the like are shared
- b) if the development involves a single accommodation unit on a site or allotment in the Coastal Conservation Zone, Conservation Zone or Water Protection Zone, one or more of the following characteristics is evident:
  - i. the structure provides basic shelter and limited internal space (eg cabin, hikers-hut)
  - ii. one or more of the functional areas typically found in a dwelling (eg, laundry, kitchen) is absent
  - *iii.* the structure is of a temporary or semi-permanent nature.

Tourism Development PDC 13: Development comprising multiple tourist accommodation units (including any facilities and activities for use by guests and visitors, including conference facilities) should:

- a) ensure buildings and structures are clustered on the same allotment
- b) for larger scale developments (ie those proposing or resulting in more than 25 accommodation units), have direct or convenient access to a sealed public road.
- Tourism Development PDC 18: Tourism development, particularly in remote areas should be designed to <u>minimise energy</u> <u>and water demands</u> and incorporate alternative, sustainable technologies that use renewable energy sources and/or treat and reuse stormwater and wastewater to minimise reliance on mains services.

Tourism Development PDC 19: Natural features, signs and walkways should be used to manage and <u>minimise potential</u> <u>risks</u> of visitors damaging areas of cultural or natural significance, fragile areas, and areas of highest environmental value.

Tourism Development PDC 20: The visual and ambient <u>impact of vehicles should be minimised</u> by placing roadways and parking areas in unobtrusive locations.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)

The proposed accommodation is 'off the grid' and will largely be self-sufficient in terms of electricity and water supply offering an environmentally sustainable and innovative design in accordance with Objective 1 and PDC 18. It will also provide guests an appreciation of the significant landscape, habitat and vegetation that they will be experiencing, limits visitation to small groups and provides increased opportunities for



visitors to stay overnight in Flinders Chase National Park consistent with Tourism Development Objectives 2, 4 and 7.

The accommodation will be ancillary to the Kangaroo Island Walking Trail and facilitates a 4 day and 3 night bushwalking experience. Accordingly, the proposed development will have both a functional and locational link to the natural setting of the National Park. It also seeks to limit activities to the buildings or designated walking trails to ensure that the impact on the flora and fauna is minimised to the walking trails in line with both Tourism Development PDCs 1 and 2 above.

The small scale of each of the structures, the natural finishes and clustered yet linear site layout prevents the development from over commercialising or detracting from the natural character of the land whilst still providing an alternative form of accommodation not currently offered in the Flinders Chase National Park. This achieves the intent of both Tourism Development PDCs 3 and 4. Similarly, the articulated facades of each of the buildings, incorporating shade structures and openings coupled with their lightweight and elevated design are considered to minimise the potential impacts or intrusion on the landscape satisfying Tourism Development PDC 9.

Most buildings will have a building height of 2.6 metres with the longhouse roof reaching a maximum of 4.0 metres and will comfortably satisfy PDC 11(a) above. The proposed development will be clustered on the same allotment and will be setback more than 100 metres from public roads, allotment boundaries, the mean high water mark and the cliff face in accordance with Tourism Development PDCs 11 and 13. The design also inherently limits the ability for the accommodation to be converted into or used as a permanent dwelling due to the communal design of essential facilities, the lack of laundry facilities and each structure has limited internal space aligned with PDC 12.

The tracks and walkways are clear and guests will be guided in and out of the camp by trained guides to minimise the potential risks of visitors damaging areas outside of the standing camp and walkways to satisfy PDC 19.

Therefore, the proposed land use is considered to be appropriate within the Conservation Zone and achieves the general policies relating to this use.

### 6.3 Design and Visibility

The policies below are considered to be the most relevant for assessing the design and appearance of tourist accommodation in the Conservation Zone.

In relation to siting and visibility, it is noted that the site does not have a direct road frontage and so many policies relating to setbacks and siting are not relevant to this application. Furthermore, the design of the accommodation seeks not to draw attention but rather to blend into the landscape to maintain privacy of guests, out of view from the public walking the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail.



- Coastal Areas PDC 1: Development should be <u>compatible with the coastal environment</u> in terms of built-form, appearance and landscaping including the use of walls and low pitched roofs of <u>non-reflective texture and</u> <u>natural earth colours</u>.
- Design and Appearance Objective 1: Development of a high architectural standard that responds to and reinforces positive aspects of the local environment and built form.
- Design and Appearance PDC 7: The external walls and roofs of buildings should <u>not incorporate highly reflective materials</u> which will result in glare.
- Siting and Visibility PDC 5: The nature of external surface materials of buildings should not <u>detract from the visual</u> <u>character and amenity</u> of the landscape.
- Conservation Zone PDC 5: Development should use the following measures to avoid impacting detrimentally on the natural environment, processes and/or conservation qualities of land in the zone:
  - a) minimising the extent of earthworks
  - b) minimising the extent of vehicle access servicing that development
  - c) minimising the extent of local indigenous vegetation removal
  - d) being sited in an unobtrusive manner preferably below hilltops or prominent ridgelines
  - e) screening the visual impact by planting locally indigenous species having due regard to bushfire risk
  - *f*) utilising external low reflective materials and finishes that will minimise glare and blend in with the features of the landscape.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)

The proposed development comprises a series of small scale buildings that by their nature, do not present any bulk or scale concerns. Notwithstanding this, a variety of building materials and finishes have been utilised to better blend the buildings with the surrounding landscape and create the high architectural quality associated with exclusive tourist accommodation satisfying Design and Appearance Objective 1 and Siting and Visibility PDC 5.

The design of the buildings has taken cues from the surrounding colour tones utilising natural materials without applied finish ensuring that they are compatible with the coastal environment in terms of built form and appearance. They also have skillion roofs and avoid the use of highly reflective materials achieving Coastal Areas PDC 1 and Design and Appearance PDC 7.

The extent of earthworks has been minimised through the use of lightweight, elevated structures and similarly, the access tracks have been limited to one for a lightweight vehicle with walking trails between pods, the longhouse and the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail beyond. Vegetation removal will be limited to



the building footprints, access trails and hazardous vegetation will be reduced around the guide pod for bushfire safety. This is considered to be consistent with the intent of Conservation Zone PDC 5 a, b and c.

In relation to visibility, the proposed development will be visible from Maupertuis Bay and from some vantage points on the adjacent beach. The view of the buildings from the west is captured in the Aerial Views included in Appendix C and shown in Figure 6.2 below (also included in Appendix C).



Figure 6.2 Montage illustrating location of structures when viewed from Sandy Beach to the west (white arrow shows Longhouse structure below with pods to the north/left)

The Development Plan does not suggest that development should not be visible but preferences development to be located in unobtrusive locations with the visual impact minimised. Most importantly, this proposed development is sited below the ridgeline to the east and will blend with the landscape and existing vegetation below as envisaged by Conservation Zone PDC 5e.

To further assess the visual impact, the following policies are considered to provide the most guidance:

Siting and Visibility PDC 1: Development should be sited and designed to <u>minimise its visual impact</u> on:

- a) the natural, rural or heritage character of the area
- b) areas of high visual or scenic value, particularly rural and coastal areas
- c) <u>views from the coast</u>, near-shore waters, public reserves, tourist routes and walking trails
- d) the <u>amenity of public beaches</u>.


Siting and Visibility PDC 4: Buildings and structures should be designed to minimise their visual impact in the landscape, in particular:

- a) the profile of <u>buildings should be</u> low and the rooflines should complement the natural form of the land
- b) the mass <u>of buildings should be minimised</u> by variations in wall and roof lines and by floor plans which complement the contours of the land
- c) <u>large eaves</u>, verandas and pergolas should be incorporated into designs so as to <u>create</u> <u>shadowed areas</u> that reduce the bulky appearance of buildings.

Tourism Development Objective 3: Tourism development that sustains or enhances the local character, visual amenity and appeal of the area.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)

The proposed buildings are low in profile with shallow roof pitches. They are sited in a linear manner along the contours of the land and large eaves and pergolas are situated on the south-western façade of each of the buildings to create shadowed areas that reduce the appearance of the already small scale building in accordance with Siting and Visibility PDC 4. The siting of the development more than 100 metres from the coast and existing walking trails and well below the ridgeline is considered to further minimise the development's visibility.

The matter of visual impact has been considered by the Environment, Resources and Development Court on several occasions. In *Bury and Anor Vs Development Assessment Commission and Hutchinson 3G Australia Pty Ltd* (SAERDC 43 May 2005) the issue of visibility and visual impairment was considered by the ERD Court with respect to a proposed monopole telecommunication tower within a Residential Zone. It was found in this instance that the proposed monopole would be visible above the tree line from the upper level of the appellant's dwelling. The Court stated:-

'The extent to which the proposed facility will be visible from the appellants' dwelling is not in dispute. Whether the visibility creates such adverse impacts on the amenity of nearby residents as to justify refusal of the proposal is what needs to be decided.'

Part of the Commissioners' assessment of the visual impact in this particular case considered the potential visual impacts of siting the proposal on other sites capable of satisfying technical network coverage requirements and noting siting of a facility in this locality was unavoidable. The Court approved the phone tower.



Applying this to the proposed development, it is evident that the Zone envisages small scale tourist accommodation and this form of accommodation is also anticipated as part of the long term development of the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail.

The reality is that accommodation established in this Zone designed to showcase and have views of the coastal landscape will likely be visible from the coast to some degree.

As a result, the remaining test is to ensure that the visual impact is minimised and that the visibility will not create adverse impacts on the amenity of the coast. In our opinion, the proposed development will not have an adverse impact on the locality and the visual impact is adequately minimised for the following reasons:

- The small scale buildings, natural finishes and incorporation of large eaves and pergolas ensure that each structure readily blends with the landscape.
- · The development is sited well below the ridgeline and will not be visible against the skyline; and
- It is sited more than 100 metres from the coast, cliff faces and existing walking trails including the Sandy Creek Trail and the Kangaroo Island Walking Trail.

# 6.4 Access and Car Parking

The proposed development is unique in that all guest access will be via walking trails and no public vehicle access will be required to the site. Vehicle access will be limited to one vehicle to the Staging Post adjacent West Bay Road with a light vehicle track extending from the Staging Post to the Service Point and guide accommodation. In total, this access will be approximately 1.7 kilometres long. Accordingly, one car park is proposed at the Staging Post for this vehicle with the light vehicle being stored and parked within either the Staging Post or Service Point buildings.

The following policies are considered most relevant for assessing the access for the proposed development:

| Transportation and Access PDC 21: | Development should have direct acce | ess from an all weather public road |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

Transportation and Access PDC 22: Development should be provided with safe and convenient access which:

- a) avoids unreasonable interference with the flow of traffic on adjoining roads
- accommodates the type and volume of traffic likely to be generated by the development or land use
- c) is sited and designed to minimise any adverse impacts on the occupants of and visitors to neighbouring properties.



Transportation and Access PDC 27: Driveways, access tracks and parking areas should be designed and constructed to:

- a) follow the natural contours of the land
- b) minimise excavation and/or fill
- c) minimise the potential for erosion from run-off
- d) avoid the removal of existing vegetation
- e) be consistent with Australian Standard AS 2890 Parking facilities.
- Tourism Development PDC 20: The visual and ambient impact of vehicles should be minimised by placing roadways and parking areas in unobtrusive locations.
- Siting and Visibility PDC 7: Driveways and access tracks should be designed and constructed to blend sympathetically with the landscape and to minimise interference with natural vegetation and landforms.
- Sloping Land PDC 1: Development and associated driveways and access tracks should be sited and designed to <u>integrate</u> with the natural topography of the land and minimise the need for earthworks.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)

Due to the nature of the development, it does not have direct access to an all weather public road contrary to Transportation and Access PDC 21. One vehicle will access the site and may be required to do so up to twice a day, generating a maximum of four vehicle movements. This minor increase in traffic is not considered to detrimentally impact West Bay Road therefore satisfying Transportation and Access PDC 22.

The access tracks seek to follow the natural contours of the land curving around the peak to the west and will be in an unobtrusive location as envisaged by Tourism Development PDC 20 and Sloping Land PDC 1. It will be designed to minimise excavation and/or fill following the topographical folds and enabling easy control of surface water flow to minimise erosion in accordance with Transportation and Access PDC 27 a, b and c and Siting and Visibility PDC 7.

Therefore, whilst the access arrangement is unique it is still considered to reasonably align with the Development Plan.

## 6.5 Hazard Risk Minimisation

## 6.5.1 Bushfire Protection Area

The development site is situated within a High Bushfire Protection Area and a referral is required to the Country Fire Service (CFS).

The proposed Performance Based Solution (Appendix E) and an Indicative Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) Assessment Report (Appendix F) have been prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions and are attached to this report.



#### SA Bushfire Solutions have identified that:

'In review of the following documents;

- Ministers Code Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas;
- Minister's Specification SA 78 Additional requirements in designated bushfire prone area; and
- Attached BAL reports

It has been identified that the proposed development would potentially have some environmental and aesthetic impacts (via some native vegetation clearance) to achieve the required;

- site access; and,
- setback distances (from classified vegetation) requirements

Therefore, AWC have requested consideration to vary the Ministers Code and Specifications by providing alternative solutions based on the proposed new procedures by Department for Environment and Water (DEW) and in line with the performance criteria of Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges.'

Based on the above, a Performance Based Solution (PBS) is proposed as an alternative to complying with the *Ministers Code Undertaking Development in Bushfire Protection Areas*. This PBS is detailed within Appendix E and is summarised below:

- All structures, with the exception of the guide accommodation, will be constructed to general bushfire risk standards acknowledging that these structures will burn in a bushfire;
- The guide accommodation will be the refuge building which will have the capacity to support 17 people (14 guests, 2 guides and 1 support staff) based on the floor area requirement of 0.75 square metres per person and 1.2 cubic metres per person (enough air for 60 minutes). The construction materials won't negatively affect interior air toxicity and ventilation will be provided;
- The refuge (guide accommodation) will be in lower fuel areas with selective clearing of inner and outer protection zones applied (subject to native vegetation clearance approval);
- The last resort location will be the nearby beach which is within a 2 minute walk, can accommodate 17 people whilst preventing flame contact, material ignition and radiant heat levels of 2kW per square metre; and
- Water tanks with a capacity of up to 90,000 litres will be onsite albeit will not be dedicated for firefighting purposes.



Having regard to the proposed solutions above, SA Bushfire Solutions have concluded that:

'The implementation of the solutions highlighted above will provide a safe operating environment for clients and staff enjoying the KIWT and using the AWC facilities.'

It is also worth noting that during the fire danger season (1 November to 30 April), on days declared 'catastrophic' by the CFS, the trail will be closed and National Parks SA outline that '*walkers will not be permitted to start and those on the Trail will be evacuated*'. In addition to evacuating on catastrophic fire danger days, the AWC and TWC develop emergency and evacuation procedures for each of their sites. The following examples of these plans are appended to this report:

- Draft TWC Emergency Response Management Plan (Appendix G);
- Bushfire Evacuation Policy for the Three Capes Lodge Walk (Appendix H); and
- Draft Guide Field Manual for the Three Capes Lodge Walk (Appendix I).

Such plans identify the procedures for communication and actions to be undertaken in the event of a bushfire, injury or other natural disaster and are an example of the AWC's ability to plan for such events. Similar plans and procedures are being developed for Kangaroo Island and will address the following:

- Risk assessment
- Communications Plan
- Identification of roles and responsibilities
- Evacuation Plan
- Training
- Equipment check
- Annual audit
- Monitoring and review

These plans will complement the built form strategies outlined in the Performance Based Solution (Appendix E) to ensure the safety of AWC's clients, staff and CFS personnel in the event of a bushfire.

It is acknowledged that the proposed development does not achieve the provisions detailed in the *Ministers Code Undertaking Development in Bushfire Protection Areas* namely due to restricted vehicle access and the extent of native vegetation. This will need to be assessed by the CFS.



## 6.5.2 Coastal Areas and Erosion Buffers

The proposed development is not situated on *coastal land* within the definition of Schedule 8 of the *Development Regulations 2008* and the development site is more than 100 metres from the mean high water mark. In relation to sea level rise, the development site is above a cliff face which is more than 15 metres above the beach and water level below. Given this vertical and lateral separation from the coast, no further assessment against the coastal areas or erosion buffers have been included in this assessment.

# 6.6 Natural Resources

The Sandy Creek development site features native vegetation that will require some clearance to accommodate the proposed development. The site is also in proximity to a watercourse. Accordingly, the below will consider these site features.

## 6.6.1 Native Vegetation

It is noted that numerous policies in the Development Plan seek to conserve and improve native vegetation in the first instance. However, the majority of the Conservation Zone is affected by native vegetation and the reality is that accommodation established in this Zone will require some removal of native vegetation.

Botanical Enigmerase have been engaged to undertake an assessment of the proposed clearance, impact on flora and fauna and consider revegetation options and management regime. This assessment is still being undertaken and will inform any approvals required pursuant to the *Native Vegetation Act 1991*.

In order to minimise the extent of native vegetation clearance, an alternative solution to the clearance and access requirements outlined in the *Ministers Code Undertaking Development in a Bushfire Protection Area* has been sought as described above. This will minimise the amount of clearance required for Asset Protection Zones (limited to one pod) and reduces the width of clearance proposed for the main access track.

The proposed siting of the development has also sought 'broken stony' ground, with movement paths following the flow of shallow folds to obviate cutting and avoiding vegetation where possible.

The following provisions are considered most relevant in assessing the removal of native vegetation:

| Conservation Zone PDC 4: | Development should be undertaken in a manner which minimises the effect on natural            |
|--------------------------|---|
|                          | landscape features, flora and fauna and their habitat corridors, land adjoining water, scenic |
|                          | routes or scenically attractive areas.  |

Conservation Zone PDC 6: Where public access is necessary in the zone, the construction of recreational trails and appropriate fencing such as post and wire should be provided to control the movement of the public whilst minimising the impact on biodiversity.



Natural Resources PDC 30: Native vegetation should not be cleared if such clearing is likely to lead to, cause or exacerbate any of the following:

- a) erosion or sediment within water catchments
- b) decreased soil stability
- c) soil or land slip
- d) deterioration in the quality of water in a watercourse or surface water runoff
- e) a local or regional salinity problem
- *f) the occurrence or intensity of local or regional flooding.*
- Natural Resources PDC 31: Development that proposes the clearance of native vegetation should address or consider the implications that removing the native vegetation will have on the following:
  - a) provision for linkages and wildlife corridors between significant areas of native vegetation
  - erosion along watercourses and the filtering of suspended solids and nutrients from runoff
  - c) the amenity of the locality
  - d) bushfire safety
  - e) the net loss of native vegetation and other biodiversity.
- Natural Resources PDC 32: Where native vegetation is to be removed, it should be replaced in a suitable location on the site with locally indigenous vegetation to ensure that there is <u>not a net loss of native</u> <u>vegetation and biodiversity.</u>

Natural Resources PDC 33: Development should be located and occur in a manner which:

- a) does not increase the potential for, or result in, the spread of pest plants, or the spread of any non-indigenous plants into areas of native vegetation or a conservation zone
- avoids the degradation of remnant native vegetation by any other means including as a result of spray drift, compaction of soil, modification of surface water flows, pollution to groundwater or surface water or change to groundwater levels
- c) incorporates a separation distance and/or buffer area to protect wildlife habitats and other features of nature conservation significance.

#### (Underlining my emphasis)



The proposed clearance of vegetation is limited to the following:

- The footprint of all proposed buildings;
- · An area around the guide accommodation to establish an Asset Protection Zone;
- The length of the guest access trail (to a width of 1.3 metres) and the length of the proposed vehicular access track (up to 2 metres) both shown on Site Plan Map L (Appendix C);
- The length of the proposed campsite pathways and beach access at widths varying from 0.4 metres to 1.3 metres;
- A parking and manoeuvring area adjacent the Staging Post;
- The area for the waste treatment and pump-out storage tanks adjacent the two northern-most accommodation pods; and
- Clearance required to connect services, some of which will be able to consolidated into one common service trench and contained within or under access tracks, including;
  - Water supply from tanks at the service point to all accommodation pods and the longhouse;
  - Waste water from longhouse and accommodation pods to the waste treatment and pump-out storage tanks to the north; and
  - Reticulating the gas from the service point to the longhouse.

Notwithstanding this, the following has been considered to inform this development application:

- The narrow width and use of sifted soil/ gravels/ stone from the site to form pathways familiar to wildlife is considered to minimise the likelihood that the proposed pathways will result in segregation of areas of native vegetation and will be suitable for fauna to traverse;
- No clearance is proposed along a watercourse to avoid contributing to erosion;
- The clearance will mostly be limited to building footprints and narrow pathways and will not result in large expanses of cleared land that would impact the amenity of the locality; and
- Bushfire safety has been optimised for the refuge building (guide pod) whilst clearance has been minimised around the remaining proposed buildings.

The above considerations are considered to accord with Natural Resources PDC 31.



## 6.6.2 Water Catchment Areas

The development site is adjacent Sandy Creek and the following policies are considered the most relevant:

- Natural Resources PDC 17: Development should ensure watercourses and their beds, banks, wetlands and floodplains are not <u>damaged or modified</u> and are retained in their natural state, except where modification is required for essential access or maintenance purposes.
- Natural Resources PDC 21: Development such as cropping, intensive animal keeping, residential, tourism, industry and horticulture, that increases the amount of surface run-off should include a strip of land at least 20 metres wide measured from the top of existing banks on each side of a watercourse that is:
  - a) fenced to exclude livestock
  - kept free of development, including structures, formal roadways or access ways for machinery or any other activity causing soil compaction or significant modification of the natural surface of the land, unless no other access is available
  - c) revegetated with locally indigenous vegetation comprising trees, shrubs and other groundcover plants to filter run-off so as to reduce the impacts on native aquatic ecosystems and to minimise soil loss eroding into the watercourse.
- Natural Resources PDC 25: Outside of zones provided for urban purposes, unsewered development with:
  - a) low pollution potential, such as dwellings, should be located at least 50 metres from any watercourse

The proposed development is more than 100 metres from the watercourse with the exception of the end of the proposed beach access track. This is sited approximately 50 metres from the watercourse. Based on this separation distance, the proposed development will not modify the watercourse in accordance with Natural Resources PDC 17. The proposed development also achieves the 20 metre and 50 metre separation distances identified in Natural Resources PDCs 21 and 25 respectively. In addition, waste water will be stored and treated off-site ensuring that this does not impact Sandy Creek.

# 6.7 Infrastructure

The proposed development includes electricity, gas and water supply along with stormwater, waste water and solid waste management. There are limited provisions within the Development Plan relating to provision of electricity other than the desire to create energy efficient outcomes. In this regard, electricity will be supplied via solar panels installed on the proposed buildings with back-up batteries stored on site if needed.

Similarly, the Development Plan provides limited guidance relating to gas and water supply (other than for firefighting purposes considered above).



Accordingly, no further assessment of electricity, water or gas supply has occurred. The infrastructure assessment will centre on stormwater, waste water and solid waste management.

## 6.7.1 Stormwater Management

Stormwater will be collected and stored from both the Longhouse and Staging Post. From the Longhouse roof with water directed via trafficable gutters at the wall-line and to downpipes which connect to storage tanks beneath the Longhouse floor. The water will then be pumped from these tanks to the primary Service Point storage tanks. Similarly, Staging Post roof water is collected and directed by downpipe to a back-up rainwater tank for camp use. Overflow from tanks will be directed to a soakage pit measuring approximately 1 metre cubed in size with geotextile fabric lining and filled with select local stone.

Stormwater from the sleeping and guide pod roofs will fall to drainage swales or shallow soakage pits adjacent the buildings to prevent erosion or the water affecting building footings. These swales will be finished with site stone from the benching cut.

| Natural Resources Objective 5: | Development consistent with the principles of water sensitive design.  |   |  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Natural Resources PDC 5:       | Development should be designed to maximise conservation, minimise consumption and encourage re-use of water resources. |   |  |
| Natural Resources PDC 7:       | Development should be sited and designed to:   |   |  |
|                                | a)   | capture and re-use stormwater, where practical  |  |
|                                | b)   | minimise surface water runoff   |  |
|                                | c)   | prevent soil erosion and water pollution  |  |
|                                | d)   | protect and enhance natural water flows   |  |
|                                | e)   | protect water quality by providing adequate separation distances from watercourses and other water bodies |  |
|                                | f)   | not contribute to an increase in salinity levels  |  |
|                                | g)   | avoid the water logging of soil or the release of toxic elements  |  |
|                                | h)   | maintain natural hydrological systems and not adversely affect:   |  |
|                                |  | i. the quantity and quality of groundwater  |  |
|                                | i  | i. the depth and directional flow of groundwater  |  |
|                                | ii   | i. the quality and function of natural springs.   |  |

The policies relevant to the management of stormwater are detailed below:



Natural Resources PDC 14: Stormwater management systems should:

- a) maximise the potential for stormwater harvesting and re-use, either on-site or as close as practicable to the source
- b) utilise, but not be limited to, one or more of the following harvesting methods:
  - i. the collection of roof water in tanks
  - *ii.* the discharge to open space, landscaping or garden areas, including strips adjacent to car parks
  - iii. the incorporation of detention and retention facilities
  - iv. aquifer recharge.

Sloping Land PDC 5: Development on steep land should include site drainage systems to minimise erosion and avoid adverse impacts on slope stability.

The proposed development seeks to conserve and reuse stormwater consistent with the principles of water urban design and Natural Resources Objective 5 and PDC 5 above. It will also ensure that the water that is harvested is used onsite in accordance with Natural Resources PDC 14. The proposed design is also considered to minimise surface water run off whilst still ensuring that not all roof surface is captured and removed from the landscape. The use of stone lined swales and soakage pits will also minimise erosion satisfying Natural Resources PDC 7 and Sloping Land PDC 5.

#### 6.7.2 Waste Management

Waste and recycling will be collected at the Longhouse, stored at the Service Point and removed from the site via the Staging Post. The following polices are considered to be the most relevant in relation to waste management:

- Waste PDC 2: The storage, treatment and disposal of waste materials from any development should be achieved without risk to health or impairment of the environment.
- Waste PDC 3: Development should avoid or minimise as far as practical, the discharge or deposit of waste (including wastewater) onto land or into any waters (including processes such as seepage, infiltration or carriage by wind, rain, sea spray, stormwater or by the rising of the water table).
- Waste PDC 5: Development should include appropriately sized area to facilitate the storage of receptacles that will enable the efficient recycling of waste.



Waste PDC 6: Development that involves the production and/or collection of waste and/or recyclable material should include designated collection and storage area(s) that are:

- a) screened and separated from adjoining areas
- b) located to avoid impacting on adjoining sensitive environments or land uses
- c) designed to ensure that wastes do not contaminate stormwater or enter the stormwater collection system
- d) located on an impervious sealed area graded to a collection point in order to minimise the movement of any solids or contamination of water
- e) protected from wind and stormwater and sealed to prevent leakage and minimise the emission of odours
- *f*) stored in such a manner that ensures that all waste is contained within the boundaries of the site until disposed of in an appropriate manner.

The proposed development will store and manage waste within closed receptacles (bins with lids) enabling the separation of waste and recyclables and to ensure that it is disposed of off-site in a manner that no waste is released into the environment. This satisfies PDCs 2, 3 and 5 above. Furthermore, the waste storage area will also align with PDC 6 by achieving the following:

- it will be screened out of view from walking tracks within the Service Post;
- it will not contaminate stormwater or enter the nearby watercourse as it will be in covered receptacles
  protected from wind and water; and
- waste will be stored in a manner that ensures it will be contained within the site until collected from the Staging Post by support staff for disposal off-site.

#### 6.7.3 Waste Water Management

A closed waste water system is proposed, utilising natural site falls. Individual bathrooms and the Longhouse kitchen will drain to a 4,000 litre in-ground waste treatment tank. Waste will be broken down by worms to minimise waste output to a 3,200 litre in-ground holding tank for pump-out. The pump-out waste water, will be removed by trailer to a waste storage tank at the Staging Post. Pump-out cycles are proposed to be monthly at the site, and annually at the Staging Post. A waste water application will be lodged with the Kangaroo Island Council for approval and will be subject to more detailed design by a qualified plumber.



The following policies are considered to be the most relevant from a planning perspective in relation to waste management:

Sloping Land PDC 6: Steep sloping sites in unsewered areas should not be developed unless the physical characteristics of the allotments enable the proper siting and operation of an effluent drainage field suitable for the development intended.

- Coastal Areas PDC 5: Development should be designed so that solid/fluid wastes and stormwater runoff is disposed of in a manner that will not cause pollution or other detrimental impacts on the marine and on-shore environment of coastal areas.
- Waste PDC 12: Development that produces any effluent should be connected to an approved waste treatment system which may include sewage, community wastewater management systems, or on-site wastewater treatment and disposal methods.
- Waste PDC 13: The methods for, and siting of, effluent and waste storage, treatment and disposal systems should minimise the potential for environmental harm and adverse impacts on:
  - a) the quality of surface and groundwater resources
  - b) public health
  - c) the amenity of a locality
  - d) sensitive land uses.
- Waste PDC 15: Any domestic waste treatment system or effluent drainage field should be located within the allotment of the development that it will service.
- Waste PDC 16: A dedicated on-site effluent disposal area should not include any areas to be used for, or could be reasonably foreseen to be used for, private outdoor open space, driveways, car parking or outbuildings.

The proposed system will not require a drainage or soakage area onsite and therefore, the slope of the site will not inhibit the proposed system in line with Sloping Land PDC 6. The proposed system will be designed to meet the requirements of the *Public Health Act 2011* and obtain the relevant approval from Kangaroo Island Council. Therefore, it will ensure that it will not have adverse impacts on the environment or public health in accordance with Waste PDC 12 and 13 and Coastal Areas PDC 5.

The waste system will be located within the allotment and not within areas that will be used for private outdoor open space, driveways, car parking and buildings satisfying Waste PDC 15 and 16.



# 7. CONCLUSION

The proposed tourist accommodation is envisaged and consistent with the intent of the Conservation Zone. This is primarily due to its small scale and its inherent link to the recreational trails throughout the Flinders Chase National Park. This association with the trails is likely to foster the guests' appreciation for the native flora and fauna protected by the Conservation Zone.

The development has been sensitively designed to minimise the visibility from the coast whilst still achieving views of Sandy Beach. An alternative approach to achieving bushfire safety is proposed by aligning with the draft procedures for buildings on land owned by the DEW which, subject to the support of the CFS, will substantially reduce the extent of native vegetation clearance. The management of the site is also considered to limit the impact of waste, waste water and stormwater on the environment with the removal of waste and waste water from the site.

We note that any relevant Native Vegetation Clearance approvals are yet to be obtained. Given such approvals are generally independent to the *Development Act 1993*, in our opinion, should in principle support be forthcoming from DEW, we are of the opinion that Development Plan Consent could be issued with the clearance attached as a reserve matter.

Based on the assessment above, the proposed development is considered on balance to be consistent with the Development Plan and therefore warrants Development Plan Consent subject to the resolution of any Native Vegetation Clearance application.

## AWC: KI WALK SANDY CREEK: CONCEPT DESIGN REPORT

## Character

The overall approach to the AWC guided walk is to deliver 3 nights and 3 distinct memories, in which architecture and site-planning marries with Place.

Site development is wholly based on retention of site landscape values.

Site interventions are positioned to achieve shelter, finding subtle folds in the landscape, whilst connecting with views and engaging with the essence of the site.

The stony hilltop of a spur that runs northward back to West bay road offers a backdrop to development from both within the site and for any distant viewing form the west.

#### Site elements

#### Longhouse

This the communal space, and, for walkers, the site's arrival and departure point. It is designed to accommodate 14 guests and 2 guides for dining and socialising. It is fringed by verandahs with sitting spaces that enable people to be together or apart. The fireplace is a focal element. They include linen store and an optional guide/ staff sleeping place.

It is sited for the quintessential view over the wild sea, cliff-line, deep beach and creek-edging sandhill.

#### Sleeping Pods

Sleeping pods are designed to accommodate 2 guests in either twin or double arrangement. Their fitout is minimal, and bathing occurs in a screened courtyard. A nod to 'glamping'.

## Guide Pod

The Guide Pods accommodates 2 guides, and is sized and detailed to operate as a site fire refuge.

#### Lookouts

These are platforms on vegetated dune high points adjacent the walk. They nestle beneath the vegetation level, with seats and approach boardwalks. A primary 'sunset lookout', Lookout 1 includes for stowable wind screens.

#### Connecting paths

Earthen paths follow site levels for minimum cut, connecting sleeping, communal spaces and natural site features.

#### Service Point and Access Track

Site vehicular access is only by a light vehicle – such as a quad – enabling reduced road width and lighter road engineering – for reduced environmental impact.

The Service Point marks the end of the vehicular access track and, in addition to being a quad park, accommodates gas bottles, waste management and site maintenance stores. It also delivers charging of backup batteries for each site element's autonomous power supply.

Water storage tanks are sited here.

It sited beyond the guest experience.

## Staging Post

Commercial access for suppliers and maintenance by usual vehicles is at a 'Staging Post' adjacent West Bay Road. The site affords stores for food, back-up supply for gas and batteries, cleaning and cyclical maintenance, as well back-up water supply is held. It is also a staging point for transfer of guest bags from the site quad to a van for shifting to the next site; and for waste management. The site quad and trailers for diverse purposes are stored here between the camp's use.

Site planning takes care to:

- Have no visibility of service access on site approach or use, including to...
- Integrate service access with sleeping pods access, so no additional (from walkers' perspective, superfluous) track lies in view
- Use topography to provide shelter from prevailing winds, and to:
  - nestle pods into the site, creating a sense of refuge/ retreat/ cosiness, whilst still affording prospect
    - create presence/ prominence (and instagrammability) for the Longhouse
- Orient site elements for winter solar access, and specifically for sunset and sunrise opportunity
- Consider arrival and departure sequences

Arrival sequence: is devised around 'wow':

- 1. Approach opens to primary view
- 2. A lookout takes the walkers into that view, and the camp is glimpsed
- 3. Returning to the path, winding down, the Longhouse then comes into foreground view
- 4. ...and, at 4pm on entering its the northern flank, is sunlit and welcoming
- 5. ...integrating welcoming (flopping!) decks/ verandahs
- 6. Turning into the Longhouse the signature view is framed
- 7. The sound of the sea
- 8. Sleeping pods are not immediately/ only subtly visible

Departure sequences:

- 1. Move on from the Longhouses (not past sleeping pods)
- 2. ...With the Longhouses sunlit in farewell (a last pic)
- 3. And then move into the yjew of the day's (clearly long) journey

## Architecture and fitout

The proposed architectural experience is like opening an oyster: raw and crusted by the environment externally, polished luxury within.

In both Longhouse and Sleeping and Guide Pods, roofs shade wall lines below, turning away from the north and west (the direction of the beach). Longhouse verandahs are devised to effectively sunshade in summer, incorporating blinds for low angle sun.

Wall-lines widely open to join to views and outdoor areas.

## Materials

All structures are lightweight framed, and clad in natural materials, to age gracefully, to soften in time, generally without applied finish.

Timber decking, steps, seats, verandah framing and soffit linings/ battens are the structure's leading edges. Wall-lines are trimmed by timber joinery to broad-opening doors, shutters and windows.

Only steel is painted – to a deep metal grey.

At Sandy Creek colour tones draw on the deeper colour of surface stone and the shaded of heath-covered ground.

## Little things

Window seats, door pulls fashioned from local timber, ceilings and soffits are to be patterned to interpret the site. Furniture, upholstery and other finishing touches are also proposed to characterise this site experience from the Walk's other 2 sites.

## Siteworks & landscape

## Character

The intention is totally natural: an un-landscaped landscape. There is to be no imported material, and interventions are to be crafted from site-local materials.

## Paths and courts

Paths will be earthen, following gentle topographical folds to obviating the need for any cutting, and enabling easy control of surface water flow to minimise erosion.

Outside Sleeping and Guide Pods, the se paths will join to courts will afford ante and sitting spaces, edged with rocks that come with each pod site. Any steps will be achieved through manipulation of site specific stones.

Paths and courts will be constructed from sifted soil/ gravels/ stone from each very local portion the site.

No landscape is to be developed beyond native vegetation restoration.

## Lookout sites

...have been identified as a part of the arrival and departure sequences. These are to be timber (cypress pine) platforms, with demountable wind shields for Lookout 1, a sunset platform. Boardwalks and steps will link these locations with the stonier ground approaching the trail connection.

## Bushfire

These are supervised sites with 2 (minimum) trained guides, operations protocols, and 24/7 communications.

A compliant bushfire response strategy is outlined in Bushfire Solutions report. The Guide Pod is proposed to be constructed to meet the specified BAL assessment, with alternative egress to the nearby beach and sheltering cliffs.

Other assets are proposed not to be protected.

## Servicing

The approach to servicing responds to AWC proposed method of site operations (within the greater context of operating all of AWC's Walk sites), and guests required levels of utility and comfort.

Solutions are devised from pragmatic, durable and ethical standpoints.

Site logistics hardware is to be provided for:

- guests baggage
- food and linen supply
- waste removal
- fire and emergency access/ evacuation

## Power

Solar power systems are used for all site elements. Each site element stands alone, but back-up batteries are stored for change-over when necessary.

## Gas

Gas will be reticulated to the Longhouse from bottles at the service point. Gas bottles for hot water service will be located at each pair of Sleeping Pods.

## Water

A centralised harvesting, storage and reticulation system will be based at the Service Point. 90,000 litres storage, with detailed water conservation techniques is proposed.



# Australian Walking Company KI Development Application.

The proposed tourism development on Kangaroo Island by the Australian Walking Company (AWC) involves the building of multiple dwellings (for overnight stays) and additional support structures (storage sheds, kitchens and shared space) for tourist comfort and enjoyment whilst walking sections of the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT).

The proposal includes structures to be built within Flinders Chase National Park at the following sites

- Sanderson Bay
- Cape Du Couedic
- Sandy Creek

Large portions of Kangaroo Island (including the proposed sites within Flinders Chase National Park) have been declared as High Bushfire risk (refer Map1) and require certain considerations for development within High Bushfire risk areas.



Map 1 – Bushfire Protection areas of Kangaroo Island

- Pink High
- Blue Medium



# **Development Application Requirements**

Applications for Development Plan Consent are assessed against the planning policies contained in the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan.

Proposals for new dwellings within High Bushfire Protection areas have a mandatory referral to the Country Fire Service (CFS) for advice on the degree of difficulty in protecting the building from a bushfire and assessed against the provisions of the Ministers Code: *Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas*.

All new dwellings or tourist accommodation will be assessed for compliance against the following criteria:

- must have a dedicated firefighting water supply of at least 22,000 litres
- ensure that gaps between the dwelling floor and the ground are enclosed to prevent burning debris from entering
- be set back 20 metres from flammable or combustible vegetation
- be located and designed to minimise risk from bushfires
- have access roads and tracks that are appropriately designed and built for entry and exit of vehicles, including fire fighting vehicles during a fire.

In addition, new buildings will be assessed against the provisions of the building rules to ensure they are designed and constructed to provide an appropriate level of protection ranging from just sparks and embers up to direct flame contact.

The Building Code of Australia Volumes 1 and 2 (BCA) and the *Minister's Specification SA 78 Additional requirements in designated bushfire prone areas* outline the construction requirements for the level of Bushfire risk.

This requires a site assessment in accordance with AS 3959 – Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas to identify the expected level of bushfire attack (BAL). This assessment is submitted to the council or private certifier as part of the application for building rules consent.



# **Proposed AWC Development Application**

The proposed development application will include multiple overnight accommodation tents "sleeping pods" (approximately 7 at Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay) and support structures including shared space facilities "Longhouse" for kitchen and dining rooms for all three sites.

The development will also include some additional walking trails for enjoyment of the spectacular scenery of the Kangaroo Island Wilderness and coastline and access tracks to built assets.

AWC acknowledge that the proposed development is within remote areas of Kangaroo Island and within a High Bushfire Protection area.

In review of the following documents;

- Ministers Code Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas and
- Minister's Specification SA 78 Additional requirements in designated bushfire prone area
- Attached BAL reports

It has been identified that the proposed development would potentially have some environmental and aesthetic impacts (via some native vegetation clearance) to achieve the required;

- site access and
- setback distances (from classified vegetation) requirements

Therefore, AWC have requested consideration to vary the Ministers Code and Specifications by providing alternative solutions based on the proposed new procedures by Department for Environment and Water (DEW) and in line with the performance criteria of Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges.



# **Draft Building Requirements Procedure**

Department for Environment and Water (DEW) have developed a **Draft procedure for Building requirements** on land under the care and control of the *Minister for Sustainability, Environment and Conservation,* under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972,* the *Wilderness Protection Act 1992* or the *Crown land Management Act 2009.* 

The draft procedures have been designed to ensure the safety of employees, visitors, contractors and lessees located in bushfire prone areas and assist with mitigating bushfire risks and minimize the possible impacts in the workplace.

The objective of the procedure is to provide guidance on;

- managing the safety of people working, visiting or participating in events
- guidance on when a workplace should be closed or modify operations during heightened fire danger or during a bushfire event
- determining if workplace suitable as place of last resort
- assisting worksite managers implement Government policy

The procedure also considers risk mitigation strategies that determine if the existing or future proposed building locations can be considered a safe place to remain during a bushfire event.

The procedure follows the principles, general guidelines and performance criteria of the following documents;

- Risk Management Standards AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009
- Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB)
  - Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges
  - Private Bushfire Shelters



# **Performance Based Solutions**

Performance based solutions consider the site-specific risks and allow businesses to provide an alternative option whilst still meeting the performance criteria of the *ABCB Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges*.

AWC propose the solutions below to support the Development Application. These proposed solutions have considered the following issues;

- All sleeping pods, guide huts and lookouts constructed to general bushfire risk standards
- AWC accept that buildings constructed to general bushfire risk will burn during a bushfire
- Each site has a refuge building with capacity to support 17 people (14 Tourists, 2 Guides, 1 support staff)
- Refuge building to be constructed to determined BAL
- Cape Du Couedic is the only site with suitable access for fire vehicles
- Fuels adjacent to each site will have fast moving fires with short residence time

# Refuge

- Option 1 Guide Pod built as the "refuge" area
- Option 2 Additional refuge shelter built
- Built to identified BAL ratings
- Construction Materials won't negatively affect interior air toxicity
- Ventilation provided
- Floor area minimum 0.75 sq/m per person
- Volume minimum 1.2 cubic metre per person (enough air for 60 minutes)
- 10m minimum separation between refuge and adjacent dwellings

# Refuge Location

- The refuge "Guide Pod or additional structure" will be in lower fuel areas with selective clearing of inner and outer protection zones applied (subject to native vegetation clearance approval)
- Consideration DEW A and B zones in Flinders Chase Fire Management Plan



# Last Resort

- "Open Space"
  - Options include the cliff sheltered areas and beaches at Sanderson Bay and beaches at Sandy Creek
  - Within 2-minute walk
  - Large enough for 17 people
  - o Prevent flame contact, material ignition and radiant heat levels of 2kW/sq/m
  - Additional track requirements must be considered.

## Access and Egress

- Remote access and locations of sites not suitable for fire trucks (except Cape Du Couedic)
- Limited public access (on High fire danger days due to DEW park Closure Policy)
- Restricted access on Sanderson Track (Public Land)
- Unlikely conflicts with Emergency vehicles
- Public Roads support Cape Du Couedic and Sandy Creek
- AWC to implement support tracks that will support smaller ATV to assist with evacuation (if required) to Public Roads
- Good access via pedestrian paths (minimum clear width of 1m) from "Sleeping Pods" to refuge

# Water Supply and storage

- Adequate supply and storage available at each site for pre-suppression and mop up
- Tanks of fire resistant material
- Fittings and hose reels provide adequate reach and coverage around refuge structures
- Consideration for sprinkler system on refuge
- No access for fire trucks

## Utilities

- No electricity (except existing infrastructure at Cape Du Couedic)
- Gas cylinders directed away from combustible material



# Bushfire Site Management Plan

- Develop a comprehensive plan for each site that includes
  - Risk assessment
  - Communications Plan
  - o Identify roles and responsibilities
  - Evacuation Plan
  - Training
  - o Equipment check
  - Annual audit
  - o Monitor and review

The implementation of the solutions highlighted above will provide a safe operating environment for clients and staff enjoying the KIWT and using the AWC facilities.

## Disclaimer

It is acknowledged that the risk to life and property from a bushfire event is significant and Australia has experienced this on many occasions over recent decades.

It must be noted that extreme fire weather conditions may create unpredictable fire behaviour and therefore it is not possible to remove all potential impacts from bushfires or guarantee that occupation of a refuge built in accordance with DEW procedures and in accordance with the ABCB handbooks will guarantee that a building will survive or eliminate the risk of serious injury or fatality.

The ABCB acknowledges that content of their handbooks may not meet the specific needs of people with a disability, respiratory or cardiovascular illnesses, children or the aged and strongly recommends that people in these categories are appropriately evacuated to safer places.



**APPENDIX F** 

INDICATIVE BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL) ASSESSMENT REPORT PREPARED BY SA BUSHFIRE SOLUTIONS



# AS 3959 "INDICATIVE" BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL) ASSESSMENT REPORT

# "Sandy Creek"

| Customer Details |                             |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Applicants Name  | Troppo Architects           |
| Email            | ryan.horsnell@troppo.com.au |
| Phone            | 82329696                    |

| Property Details         |                              |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Address                  | Flinders Chase National Park |
|                          | Sandy Creek                  |
| Lot Number               | D38340A51                    |
| Municipality             | Kangaroo Island              |
| Bushfire Protection Area | High                         |

| Report Details      |                |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Report / Job Number | BAL 012.1      |
| Report Version      | 1.0            |
| Assessment Date     | 28/8/2018      |
| Report Date         | 11/9/2018      |
| Assessors Name      | Brett Stephens |
| Assessor Phone      | 0427604253     |

| BAL Summary |                         |                 |              |     |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|--|--|
| Plot        | Vegetation              | Effective Slope | Separation   | BAL |  |  |
|             | Classification          | _               | Distance (m) |     |  |  |
| 1           | Class Class C Shrubland | Level           | 5m           | FZ  |  |  |

# **Determined Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)**

The determined Bushfire Attack Level (highest BAL) for the site / proposed development has been determined in accordance with clause 2.2.6 of AS 3959-2009.

Determined BAL

BAL-FZ



## **BAL Assessment Report**

The BAL assessment has been completed following an onsite visit and Fuel Hazard assessments on the property as per AS 3959-2009 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas.

All vegetation within 100m of the site / proposed developments was classified in accordance with Clause 2.2.3 of AS 3959-2009. Vegetation classification was also made with consideration for future growth and revegetation and based on worst case scenarios.

The AS 3959-2009 provides a relevant fire danger index (FDI) in accordance with agency determined agreements, in SA this is FDI 80 (Table 2.1).

# Comments:

This is an indicative BAL assessment for the multiple proposed structures at Sandy Creek. As the exact build location has not been agreed or approved (although we don't expect significant change from the attached maps), we completed the inspection with a view to providing the client an opportunity to consider potential Bushfire risk on the proposed infrastructure and an opportunity to review and decide on options that may assist reduce the risk prior to submitting the development application.

This report does not refer to matters specifically referred to in the Ministers Code "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" as these considerations will be addressed in the development application following inspection from Country Fire Service (CFS).



# **Disclaimer Statement:**

It must be noted that extreme fire weather conditions may create unpredictable fire behaviour and therefore it is impossible to remove all potential impacts from bushfires and guarantee that a building will survive any bushfire event.

The current fuel loads and vegetation management cannot be guaranteed not to change in the future.

I have taken all reasonable steps to ensure that the information provided in this assessment is accurate and reflects the conditions on and around the site and allotment on the date of this assessment. I cannot guarantee the bushfire risks will not change on neighboring properties in the future.

Signed:

BASE,L

11 September 2018



1 Proposed Site Location Plan "Sandy Creek"





1.1 Proposed Construction Site Location Plan "Sandy Creek"





2 Site Assessment showing the vegetation within 100m and 150m radius.





3 Classified vegetation plots within the 100m radius of the proposed dwelling (Centered for proposed Longhouse).





# Assessment of the vegetation within 100m in all directions.

| Vegetation<br>classification<br>(see Table 2.3) | Plot 1              | Plot 2              | Plot 3              | Plot 4              | Plot 5              |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Group A<br>Forest                               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group B<br>Woodland                             |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group C<br>Shrub-land                           | ~                   |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group D<br>Scrub                                |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group E<br>Mallee/Mulga                         |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group F<br>Rainforest                           |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Group G<br>Grassland                            |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Exclusions                                      | Circle relevant na  | ragraph descriptor  | from clause 2 2 2 2 |                     |                     |
| (where<br>applicable)                           | (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) |
| Distance of the                                 | e site from class   | ified vegetation    | (see clause 2.2.    | .4)                 |                     |
| Distance to                                     | Show distances in   | meters              | -                   | -                   |                     |
| classified<br>vegetation                        | 5m                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Determine the                                   | effective slope     | of land under t     | he classified veg   | etation             |                     |
| Effective slope                                 |                     | I                   | Upslope             | I                   |                     |
|   | Upslope/0°          | Upslope/0°          | Upslope/0°          | Upslope/0°          | Upslope/0°          |
| Slope under the                                 |                     |                     | Downslope           |                     | 1                   |
| classified<br>vegetation                        | >0 to 5             |
|   | >5 to 10            |
|   | >10 to 15           | >10 to 15           | >10 to 15           | >10 to 15           |                     |
|   | >15 to 20           | >15 to 20           | >15 to 20           | >15 to 20           | >10 to 15           |
|   | >20                 | >20                 | >30                 | >20                 | >15 to 20           |
| DAL volve for                                   | <b>F7</b>           |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| each side of the                                | FZ                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |



# 5 Photos of Classified Vegetation



Photo ID: 3

Photo ID: 4



# 6 Location Map of photos





## 7 Reducing BAL Options

The indicative BAL rating for the proposed development (based on specified location) is BAL FZ, noting that this was from the plot 1 vegetation based on a minimal setback of 5m.

Options to reduce the BAL include

- Increasing the setback distance between the construction and the vegetation.
- Undertake Actual Fuel Hazard sampling for the site

Table B2 *Vegetation Classification and Fuel Loads* of the AS3959-2009 provides indicative Surface and Overall loads in Tonnes per hectare (t/ha). We found these to be over exaggerated and not representative of the actual (and potential) fuel loads at the site.

We completed fuel hazard assessments of the representative vegetation in and adjacent to the proposed development and determined appropriate fuel loads (refer table 1).

Our calculations below (refer Table 1) are based on the onsite fuel hazard assessments with an FDI of 120 and the potential BAL ratings can be compared with increased setback distances for consideration.

Table 1

| Vegetation     | AS3959-   | AS3959-   | Setback  | Current | Adjusted  | Adjusted  | Setback  | Revised |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| classification | 2009      | 2009      | distance | BAL     | Surface   | Overall   | Distance | BAL     |
| (see clause    | Surface   | Overall   |          |         | Fuel load | Fuel load |          |         |
| 2.2.3)         | Fuel load | Fuel load |          |         | (t/ha     | (t/ha     |          |         |
| ,              | (t/ha)    | (t/ha)    |          |         |           |           |          |         |
| Shrubland      | 15        | 15        | 5        | FZ      | 5         | 5         | 7        | 40      |
|                |           |           |          |         | 5         | 5         | 8        | 29      |
|                |           |           |          |         | 5         | 5         | 12       | 19      |
|                |           |           |          |         | 5         | 5         | 17       | 12.5    |








Government of South Australia Department for Environment and Water

> Chief Executive Level 10 81-95 Waymouth Street Adelaide SA 5000 GPO Box 1047 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia Ph: +61.8.8204.9323

www.environment.sa.gov.au

To Whom It May Concern

Date: 3/October 2018

The Department for Environment and Water (DEW) would like to advise of their full support to three development applications by the Australian Walking Company Pty Ltd (AWC) for the development of tourist accommodation along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT) in Flinders Chase National Park.

The development of a multi-day walking trail was identified by the South Australian Tourism Commission (SATC) as a critical need for the development of the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and to compete with nature-based destinations worldwide. In 2018, a 64 kilometre trail through Flinders Chase National Park and the Kelly Hill Conservation Park was opened to the public.

To improve the diversity of accommodation and guided walking experiences along the trail an opportunity was presented to the private sector, through an expression of interest process, for the development of eco-sensitive accommodation and tours. The AWC was selected as the preferred applicant due to their national and international reputation for delivering ecologically sustainable construction and operation of tourism accommodation that complements walking trail experiences.

AWC's development proposals encompass small-scale tourism development within the Flinders Chase National Park which is administered by DEW. I can confirm the proposals align with the intent of the recent amendments to the Park Management Plan that facilitate the development of small scale ecologically sensitive accommodation for trail walkers.

The proponents have consulted closely with the Department over the last 18 months to develop the proposals and they are considered to be consistent with the State Government Eco-Tourism policy objectives. The AWC proposals have the Department's full support.

Yours sincerely

John Schutz

CHIEF EXECUTIVE



# DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT SERVICE



Your Ref: 520/L006/18 Our Ref: Kangaroo Island DA Please refer to: 20190416-01lb

16 April 2019

State Commission Assessment Panel GPO Box 1815 ADELAIDE SA 5001

### ATTN: J WOOD

Dear Jeremy,

#### <u>RE:</u> DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (PLANNING ASSESSMENT) – AUSTRALIAN WALKING COMPANY – SANDY CREEK, FLINDERS CHASE

#### Scope:

Construction of tourism accommodation (comprising 8 accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and water tanks) in association with the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail.

Pursuant to Development Regulations 2008 (clause 18 of Schedule 8), a referral to SA Country Fire Service (SA CFS) is required, for direction on dwellings, tourist accommodation and other habitable buildings.

Relevant Supporting Documentation:

- Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail Accommodation Planning Statement; Sandy Creek, Flinders Chase National Park. Prepared by FYFE Pty Ltd dated 6/11/2018.
- Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) as published under Regulation 106 of the *Development Regulations 2008* applies.
- Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commisioner Victoria.
- Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan (Consolidated 17 September 2015), Objectives and Principles of Development Control for Hazards (Bushfire):
  - Objectives: 1, 2, 3, and 8
  - Principles of Development Control: 1 (a), 1 (b), 2, 6, 7, 8 (a-e), 9 (a-c), and 11
- Response to referrals DA 520/L006/18 Tourist Accommodation at Sandy Creek. Prepared by FYFE Pty Ltd dated 7/03/2019; incorporating:
  - o Appendix B Report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions

The proposed development is located within an area that is categorised as a **HIGH** Bushfire Protection Area in the council development plan.

Thank you for giving SA CFS the opportunity to provide you with feedback on your response to our referral response. SA CFS has reviewed your reponse and is satisfied that the information provided has addressed the concerns raised in our referral response dated 17 January 2019 and has revised our original response as follows:

Level 5, 60 Waymouth Street, Adelaide SA 5000 T 08 8115 3372 | F 08 8115 3301 | E <u>das@cfs.sa.gov.au</u> ABN 97 677 077 835 <u>www.cfs.sa.gov.au</u>





www.cfs.sa.gov.au

#### Locality/Background:

Kangaroo Island and in particular Flinders Chase National Park has a history of large, and depending on the weather and environmental conditions, uncontrollable bush fires.

The site will be serviced by the Western Districts and Parndana fire stations, these stations are staffed by volunteers and any response is subject to volunteer availability at any given time, and dependent upon other fire events occurring in the area as well as safe access to the site. Due to the location of these stations, response times to fires in this area may be extended in excess of 45 minutes once mobile.

There is a lack of reticulated water in the area. Static fire water tanks for both bush fire and building fires will be required to assist in effective Fire Service intervention.

There is a lack of reliable communication networks to facilitate the early warning and evacuation of participants on the trail and in residence at proposed accommodation.

The vegetation and landscape varies greatly across the Flinders Chase National Park, as it does the across the proposed areas for development in this proposal including:

Coastal heath, coastal mallee woodland, open Eucalypt forest, karst landscapes, ephemeral lakes and swamps.

#### <u>SITING</u>

Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.2 describes the requirements for buildings to be sited away from areas that pose an unacceptable bushfire risk. This includes areas with rugged terrain or hazardous vegetation.

The siting proposed for tourist accommodation, presents an extreme risk, due to the proximity to nearby safer places, lack of safe access and hazardous vegetation.

SA CFS acknowleges the application has amended the siting 10 metres uphill to the south east, away from existing elevated fuel structures. However the overall risk remains and will require mitigatation measures to be put in place.

The applicant & operators shall develop an Operational Management Plan which will ensure that staff and guests alike are not placed at any uneccessary risk through restricting operations and evacuating from the site prior to elevated fire conditions. In addition, the applicance & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the risk posed if staff and guests are not removed from the site prior to a bushfire event, given the extreme risks that this remote location presents. Please refer to conclusion for more information.

#### ACCESS

The proposed site is located approximately 500 metres from the nearest existing fire track, and proposes a narrow track not accessible to motor vehicles to the site. The existing fire track named 'Sanderson Fire Track' is a dead end road, heavily wooded, single entry/exit no thru road that is approximately 9km in length to the nearest public road.

The path of proposed travel presents varying vegetation types such as Scrub to Woodland with fuel loads in excess of 25 t/ha. This vegetation during and after the fire front will have a much greater residence times than that of a wider track with reduced fuel loads; and the impact of radiant heat will be in excesss of 40kW/m<sup>2</sup> and even flame contact on a walking path (It is suggested that radiant heat >2.5kW/m<sup>2</sup> will cause pain to humans after short periods of time).

A widened access with reduced fuel loads on either side will provide greater protection during evacuation before and after the fire front.

Clearance of native vegetation would be significant, and constructing an all-weather surface will be problematic; therefore given the specific risk associated with the site access and proximity to nearby roads for effective evacuation the applicant and operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that clearly identifies evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced.

To protect life, property and the environment from fire and other emergencies whilst protecting and supporting our personnel and continuously improving.

#### WATER SUPPLY

The proposal includes a 22,000 litre dedicated fire fighting water tank adjacent the refuge; located within the fuel reduced zone.

A dedicated fire fighting water supply, pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) will allow greater opportunity for the refuge building to withstand the fire front, by providing pre wetting, extinguishment of embers spotting forward of the fire front and residual burning after the fire front; or in prevention of a fire spreading from the site as a result of the development and human activities.

Whilst pump systems can be operated automatically and fire hose reels relatively easy to operate, SA CFS recommends a maintenance and training regime be included in bushfire survival and emergency response plans.

# SA CFS further recommends a fit for purpose, automatic internal fire sprinkler system for the purposes of providing added protection to the building and spread of fire to the surrounding areas as a result of the development and human activities.

SA CFS provides the following as conditions of consent for the development:

Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.4.1 prescribes the mandatory provision of a dedicated and accessible water supply to be made available at all times for fire-fighting.

Ministers Specification SA78 provides the technical details of the dedicated water supply for bushfire fighting for the bushfire zone. The dedicated bushfire fighting water supply shall also incorporate the installation of a pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Minister's Specification SA78 as follows:

- A minimum supply of 22,000 litres of water shall be available at all times for bushfire fighting purposes.
- The water storage facility (and any support structure) shall be constructed of non-combustible material.
- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply shall be pressurised by a pump that has
  - i. A minimum inlet diameter of 38mm, AND
  - ii. Is powered by a petrol or diesel engine with a power rating of at least 3.7kW (5hp), OR
  - iii. A pumping system that operates independently of mains electricity and is capable of pressurising the water for fire-fighting purposes.
- The dedicated fire-fighting water supply pump shall be located at or adjacent to the habitable building to ensure occupants safety when operating the pump during a bushfire. An 'Operations Instruction Procedure' shall be located with the pump control panel.
- The fire-fighting pump and any flexible connections to the water supply shall be protected by a noncombustible cover that allows adequate air ventilation for efficient pump operation.
- All bushfire fighting water pipes and connections between the water storage facility and a pump shall be no smaller in diameter than the diameter of the pump inlet.
- All non-metal water supply pipes for bushfire fighting purposes (other than flexible connections and hoses for fire-fighting) shall be buried below ground to a minimum depth of 300mm with no non-metal parts above ground level.
- A fire-fighting hose (or hoses) shall be located so that all parts of the building are within reach of the nozzle end of the hose and if more than one hose is required they should be positioned to provide maximum coverage of the building and surrounds (i.e. at opposite ends of the habitable building).
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be capable of withstanding the pressures of the supplied water.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall be of reinforced construction manufactured in accordance with AS 2620 or AS 1221.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have a minimum nominal internal diameter of 18mm and a maximum length of 36 metres.
- All fire-fighting hoses shall have an adjustable metal nozzle, or an adjustable PVC nozzle manufactured in accordance with AS 1221.

To protect life, property and the environment from fire and other emergencies whilst protecting and supporting our personnel and continuously improving.

#### VEGETATION

The proposal seeks to limit vegetation removal to building footprints, access trails and hazardous vegetation will be reduced around the guide pod for bushfire safety, comprising 2 metres of clearance and ensuring that areas within 20 metres of the refuge have no more than 50% vegetation cover.

Botanical Enigmerase have been engaged to undertake an assessment of the proposed clearance, impact on flora and fauna and consider revegetation options and management regime.

This report is report is yet to be provided to SA CFS and has not been included in this development application. Pending review of the proposed clearance and maintenance regime, will determine the outcome of the resulting Bushfire Attack Level for contruction detail.

An adequate vegetation management zone, will address the relevant PDCs, the bushfire building standards for refuge buildings and Ministers Code for developing in bushfire prone areas. It will also provide valuable protection for the building, reducing the impact of flame contact and provide an environment outside the refuge, that may improve tenability to the occupants to proceed to evacuation.

Minister's Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" (as amended October 2012) Part 2.3.5 mandates that landscaping shall include Bushfire Protection features that will prevent or inhibit the spread of bushfire and minimise the risk to life and/or damage to buildings and property.

- A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the refuge building as follows:
  - The number of trees and understorey plants existing and to be established within the VMZ shall be reduced and maintained such that when considered overall a maximum coverage of 50% is attained, and so that the leaf area of shrubs is not continuous. Careful selection of the vegetation will permit the 'clumping' of shrubs where desirable, for diversity, and privacy and yet achieve the 'overall maximum coverage of 50%'.
  - No understorey vegetation within 2 metre of the habitable building (understorey is defined as plants and bushes up to 2 metres in height).
  - The VMZ shall be maintained to be free of accumulated dead vegetation.

# **CONCLUSION**

SA CFS understands the importance of development of the tourism industry on Kangaroo Island and finding balance between ecotourism and meeting the bushfire provisions can be problematic. However consideration must be given to the essential bushfire provisions in order to protect the life of potential occupants, and fire fighting personnel in the event of a bushfire.

Summary of outcomes negotiated and proposed by AWC are accepted by SA CFS as follows:

- Siting away from existing elevated fuel structures.
- A dedicated fire fighting water supply, pumping system, pipe-work and fire-fighting hose(s) in accordance with Ministers Specification SA78.
- A vegetation management zone (VMZ) shall be established and maintained within 20 metres of the refuge building.
- Design and Construction of the proposed refuge building in accordance with Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commisioner Victoria (see Appendix A); and the NCC Part 3.7 *"FIRE SAFETY"* Australian Standard <sup>™</sup>3959 (AS3959) "Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas".
- The applicant & operators shall formulate, practice and maintain an Emergency Response Plan that addresses the extreme risk associated this remote location presents, incorporating the following:
  - Emergency Communication

To protect life, property and the environment from fire and other emergencies whilst protecting and supporting our personnel and continuously improving.

- Evacuation options and risk mitigation measures as a result of the reduced access proposed and the increased evacuation times that may be experienced
- o Emergency response training & regular drills
- o Operating hours and restrictions on days of extreme weather or bushfire events

Compliance with the fire protection requirements is not a guarantee the habitable building will not burn, but its intent is to provide a 'measure of protection' from the approach, impact and passing of a bushfire.

Should there be any need for further information, please contact the undersigned at the Development Assessment Service on (08) 8115 3372.

Yours sincerely,

Berlohi

LEAH BERTHOLINI BUSHFIRE SAFETY OFFICER

#### DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT SERVICE

cc: Fyfe Pty Ltd, Michael Osborn Australian Walking Company; and Dept. Environment & Water, John O'Malley

To protect life, property and the environment from fire and other emergencies whilst protecting and supporting our personnel and continuously improving.

# APPEXDIX A:

Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges 2014, as published by ABCB and the Fire Services Commisioner Victoria.

https://www.abcb.gov.au/-/media/Files/Resources/Education-Training/Handbook-Community-Bushfire-Refuges-2014.pdf



CFS Mission To protect life, property and the environment from fire and other emergencies whilst protecting and supporting our personnel and continuously improving.

Page 6 of 6

Ref: CPB/137/18 15 January 2019

State Commission Assessment Panel Att: Simon Neldner

**BY EMAIL** 

Dear Simon



# **Government of South Australia**

Coast Protection Board

L4, 81-91 Waymouth Street Adelaide SA 5000 GPO Box 1047 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

Contact Officer: Arron Brrom Ph: 8124 4929 Email: <u>arron.broom@sa.gov.au</u>

COAST PROTECTION BOARD Development Applications Email: DEWNR.CoastProtectionBoardDevelopmentApplications@sa.gov.au

www.environment.sa.gov.au

| <b>Development Application No</b> | 520/L006/18  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Applicant                         | Australian Walking Company   |  |  |
| Description                       | Tourist accommodation comprising eight<br>accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two<br>lookouts, a services building and ancillary water<br>tanks and walking trails |  |  |
| Location                          | Sandy Creek, Flinders Chase National Park,<br>Kangaroo Island  |  |  |
| Development Plan Zones            | Conservation   |  |  |
| Council                           | Kangaroo Island Council  |  |  |

I refer to the above development application forwarded to the Coast Protection Board (the Board) in accordance with Section 37 of the Development Act 1993. The planning authority is required to have regard to this response prior to making a decision on the proposal.

In accord with part 43 of the Development Regulations, a copy of the decision notification must be forwarded to the Board at the above address.

The following response is provided under delegated authority for the Board, in compliance with the policies within its Policy Document 2012 at:

http://www.environment.sa.gov.au/about-us/boards-andcommittees/Coast\_Protection\_Board/Policies\_strategic\_plans

More information on coastal development assessment and planning policy is contained in the Coastal Planning Information Package at:

http://www.environment.sa.gov.au/our-places/coasts

# Background

One of the State Government Election Commitment priorities is to deliver on Ecologically Sensitive Development in National Parks. A focus has been on the promotion of nature-based tourism opportunities in SA's National Parks to increase tourism and provide for a range of ecosensitive accommodation styles.

The Australian Walking Company (AWC) was selected as the Department for Environment and Water's (DEW) preferred commercial operator to develop eco-sensitive tourism accommodation and associated guided tours along the Kangaroo Island Wildernesses Trail (KIWT).

AWC engaged Troppo Architects to design the tourism accommodation and FYFE Consultants to facilitate the development approval process. This has resulted in three development applications for tourism accommodation (and associated infrastructure), prepared in consultation with relevant DEW staff, at Cape Du Couedic, Sanderson Bay and Sandy Creek, all within Flinders Chase National Park (NP).

# Proposal

This application is for tourist accommodation at Sandy Creek comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and ancillary water tanks and walking trails. The structures will be elevated and feature neutral colours and finishes for the external cladding. The sites are to be exclusively leased to AWC for a 35 year team.



Figure 1 Location of Sandy Creek Development Site

# Comments

#### Coast Protection Board Policy

As per the Coast Protection Board's Policy Document 2002, the Board seeks to:

- retain coastal open space
- minimise impacts of development on the coast
- maintain compact coastal settlements and restrain development 'sprawl' along the coastline
- protect scenic amenity
- protect coastal biodiversity
- minimise or stop development in areas subject to coastal hazards

- minimise future environmental protection costs
- minimise future protection costs by ensuring new development satisfies the Board's flooding and erosion policies
- conserve developed coastal areas for land uses that require a coastal location.

The Board's policies are generally reflected in Council's Development Plan.

### Orderly development

In accord with Board Policy 1.5, the Board opposes:

(a) Linear or scattered coastal development, with the exception of tourist accommodation development or that which has a significant public or environmental benefit, as per Policy 1.6. The Board prefers development to be concentrated within existing developed areas or appropriately chosen nodes

Coast Protection Board Policy 1.6:

The Coast Protection Board may support development, including tourist accommodation or that which has a significant public or environmental benefit, in coastal areas outside of urban areas provided:

• It is sited and designed in a manner that is subservient to important natural values within the coastal environment;

• It is not subject to unaddressed coastal hazards;

• Adverse impacts on natural features, landscapes, habitats, threatened species and cultural assets are avoided or minimised; and

• It will not significantly impact on the amenity of scenic coastal vistas.

[Guidelines for proposed coastal development outside of urban areas are contained in Appendix 3 of the Policy Document].

The Board has no in-principle objection to appropriate tourism accommodation within Flinders Chase National Park. The following sections address the proposal at Sandy Creek more specifically.

#### Coastal flooding and erosion hazard risks

The Board's flooding and erosion policies are reflected in the Council Wide "Coastal Areas" section of Council's Development Plan.

The development site is sufficiently elevated and set back more than 100 metres from the mean high water mark. The proposed development is not at risk of coastal flooding or erosion.

# Sand drift hazard

The Board will seek to minimise the exposure of new development to risk of damage from coastal sand drift hazard risks (Board Policy 1.4 b). The proposed development is likely to be at risk of sand drift hazard, particularly accommodation pod number 7 to the north.

It is recommended that the applicant engage a suitably experienced expert to assess the sand drift hazard, to ensure they fully understand the likely risk and potential impact of sand drift in this location and to provide mitigation measures that could be implimented to minimise the risk and specify rehabilitation measures that could be undertaken should instability of the sand dune occur.

In the absence of a sand drift hazard assessment, the design and construction methodology should minimise cut and fill and limit disturbance to the coastal landform and vegetation. The applicant should also ensure that the ongoing management of the site and visitor control minimises disturbance to the surrounding area to retain a high cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of sand drift. Should the surrounding dune become unstable and start to drift this is likely to impact on the adjacent vegetation and habitat as well as the proposed infrastucture, therefore it is important that the instability is managed as soon as possible.

The applicant should be made aware of and accept any potential sand drift hazard risk and associated ongoing management and mitigation measures required.

#### Coastal biodiversity

A key policy objective for the Board is to "protect coastal biodiversity". The protection of the coastal environment, while important to protect coastal flora and fauna and maintain sustainable coastal ecosystems, also reduces coastal hazard risks to property (e.g. preventing sand drift, erosion and flooding hazard risks etc.).

Board policy (1.4 (e)) also states: "The Board will seek to ensure that the siting and design of development on the coast minimises its impact on the <u>environment</u>, heritage and visual amenity of the coast."

Whilst the accommodation pods and communal buildings at Sandy Creek development are minimalist, with a relatively small built footprint, the proposal will nontheless involve substantial disturbance and clearance of native vegetation to accommodate the proposed buildings, associated infrastructure and extensive vehicle access and walking tracks (approximately 2km in length). Country Fire Service (CFS) requirements are likely to exacerbate the level of clearance required.

The application information (Fyfe Report, p. 3 and 29) indicates that Botanical Enigmerase will consider flora and fauna impacts in the vicinity of the development which "will inform the management techniques for the site and the final walking trail locations". It is expected that this baseline information would inform the final site location and design for all proposed buildings, associated infrastructure and access tracks.

In such an environmentally sensitive coastal area, the Board would ordinarily require a flora and fauna assessment to determine specific coastal biodiversity impacts prior to assessing the development; this includes impacts on any threatened flora or fauna species. The Board would also normally require a management plan that specifies the measures that will be implemented during and post construction to ensure impacts to the coastal biodiversity and landscape are minimised. However, in this case, DEW, as custodian of the National Park, has the relevant expertise to review the adequacy of the pending flora and fauna assessment; determine if there are any site and design implications; and guide the preparation of any associated environmental management plans.

Based on the above, the Board is satisfied that DEW can facilitate development in Flinders Chase National Park to ensure impacts on coastal biodiversity are minimised. Accordingly, the Board will not request further information nor comment on coastal biodiversity impacts associated with this application.

Further to the above, the clearance of native vegetation requires Native Vegetation Council (NVC) consent.



Figure 2 Proposed Site Plan - shows vehicle access and walking tracks linking to the KIWT (in white)

#### Coastal scenic amenity

The Board has a duty to protect coastal environments of high scenic value and in doing so ensures that development does not detract from the aesthetic appearance of the coast.

#### Coast Protection Board Policy 1.4(e):

"The Board will seek to ensure that the siting and design of development on the coast minimises its impact on the environment, heritage and visual amenity of the coast."

#### Coast Protection Board Policy 5.2 (a):

The Board opposes development that has significant visual impact on coastlines with significant landscape value [in doing so the Board will have regard to both the visual impact from the land and from the sea].

The subject land is considered to have significant landscape value, being a dramatic stretch of coastline free of development in Flinders Chase National Park. This value underpins the tourism economy and attraction to Flinders Chase National Park.

A report produced for the Coastal Protection Branch of DEH, 'Coastal Viewscapes of South Australia' by Dr Andrew Lothian in 2005, measured and mapped the scenic quality of the South Australian coastline. This project intended to assist in the development of planning policy and the assessment of development applications, and it identified this area as having high scenic quality, with a value of 7.0 to 7.9 (range between low 3.0 to 3.9 and high 8.0 to 8.9).

The proposed development is sited below the headland ridgeline and will, to an extent, blend with the landscape via appropriate design, materials and colour selections. Nonetheless, the development will be visible from Maupertuis Bay and the Sandy Creek beach, which is where the publically accessible Sandy Creek Walking Trail ends, currently valued for its remoteness and wilderness experience. Further, the low lying vegetation is unlikely to provide much screening.

The Board recognises that there will be a visual impact on a stretch of coast with significant scenic amenity value. Whether nature based tourism facilities at this specific location is compatible with existing scenic amenity values is a matter the State Commission Assessment Panel should consider in consultation with DEW as custodian of the National Park.



Figure 3 Development on western side of headland with low lying vegetation will be visible from the adjacent beach – Photograph DEW

# Stormwater and wastewater

The Board seeks to minimise the impact of stormwater discharge to the coast. The proposed development should not result in scouring, erosion or marine sedimentation impacts.

Stormwater from the Sleeping and Guide Pods roofs will fall to drainage swales or shallow soakage pits adjacent the pods. Buffer and storage tank overflows are directed to soakage pits measuring approximately 1m x 1m x 1m deep with geotextile fabric lining and filled with select local stone and select stone finish to absorb water impact.

Effluent disposal systems should be designed to minimise impact to this surrounding environment and any systems incorporating septic tanks, soakage trenches or similar must be located not less than 100 metres to the mean high water mark.

A closed waste water system is proposed, utilising natural site falls. Individual bathrooms and the Longhouse kitchen will drain to a 4,000 litre in-ground waste treatment tank. Waste will be broken down by worms to minimise waste output to a 3,200 litre in-ground holding tank for pump-out. The pump-out waste water, though 'clean', will be removed by trailer to a waste storage tank at the Staging Post. Pump-out cycles are proposed to be monthly at the site, and annually at the Staging Post.

A waste water application will be lodged with the Kangaroo Island Council and will be subject to more detailed design.

#### Coastal Heritage

Coast Protection Board Policy 1.4 (e) states: "The Board will seek to ensure that the siting and design of development on the coast minimises its impact on the environment, <u>heritage</u> and visual amenity of the coast."

Board Policy (5.3) states:

"The Board recognises the rights and needs of Aboriginal peoples and will encourage Aboriginal input into decisions which affect sites of Aboriginal significance and native title".

It is understood that DEW as custodian of the National Park will liaise with the Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation Division of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet and any relevant Aboriginal Committees.

The applicant proposes to conduct a search of the Aboriginal Heritage Register to ensure that important sites, objects or remains are not impacted by the proposed development.

Under section 20 of the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988* (the Act), an owner or occupier of private land, or an employee or agent of such an owner or occupier, must report the discovery on the land of any Aboriginal sites, objects and remains to the Minister responsible for the administration of the Act, as soon as practicable, giving the particulars of the nature and location of the Aboriginal sites, objects or remains.

The Sandy Creek overnight node is adjacent the State Heritage Listed Kirkpatrick's Grave from the Loch Sloy Disaster of 1899 - a designated place of archaeological significance. The vehicle track and foot track from the KIWT proposes to traverse the Heritage Area which may or may not have unidentified unmarked graves or other artefacts that could be uncovered with track construction. A licence may need to be obtained from the Heritage Branch, DEW prior to track construction. Pursuant to Section 25 of the *Heritage Places Act 1993* a permit is required from the SA Heritage Council to (a) excavate or disturb a State Heritage Place designated as a place of archaeological significance; or (b) remove archaeological artefacts from such a place. The applicant shall obtain a permit prior to any disturbance of the site.

## **Coast Protection Board Response**

The Coast Protection Board understands that DEW, as custodian of the National Park, will ensure impacts on coastal biodiversity are minimised, review the adequacy of the pending flora and fauna assessment; determine if there are any site and design implications; and guide the preparation of any associated environmental management plans. Accordingly, the Board will not request further information nor comment on coastal biodiversity impacts associated with this application.

The Board recognises that there will be a visual impact on a stretch of coast with significant scenic amenity value. Whether nature based tourism facilities at this specific location is compatible with existing scenic amenity values is a matter the State Commission Assessment Panel should consider in consultation with DEW as custodian of the National Park.

The Coast Protection Board advises that should the application be approved, the following Conditions and Notes should be applied to any planning approval:

# Conditions

- In the absence of a sand drift hazard assessment, the design and construction methodology shall minimise cut and fill and limit disturbance to the coastal landform and vegetation. The applicant shall also ensure that the ongoing management of the site and visitor control measures minimise disturbance to the surrounding area to retain a high cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of sand drift. Should the surrounding dune become unstable and start to drift this is likely to impact on the adjacent vegetation and habitat as well as the proposed infrastructure, therefore it is important that the instability is managed as soon as possible.
- The applicant shall accept all responsibility for any sand drift hazard risk and associated ongoing management and mitigation measures required.
- The proposed development shall not result in any scouring, erosion or marine sedimentation impacts.
- Effluent disposal systems shall be designed to minimise impact to the surrounding environment and any system incorporating septic tanks, soakage trenches or similar must be located not less than 100 metres to the mean high water mark.

#### Notes

- The proposed development may be subject to sand drift hazard risk, particularly accommodation pod number 7 to the north. It is recommended that the applicant engage a suitably experienced expert to undertake a site specific sand drift hazard assessment and identify any mitigation measures that should be undertaken.
- The applicant is advised that any native vegetation on the site is protected under the Native Vegetation Act 1991 and Native Vegetation Regulations 2017. Prior to any clearance being undertaken, the applicant should seek Native Vegetation Council approval to do so.
- The Sandy Creek overnight node is adjacent the State Heritage Listed Kirkpatrick's Grave from the Loch Sloy Disaster of 1899 a designated place of archaeological significance. The vehicle track and foot track from the KIWT proposes to traverse the Heritage Area which may or may not have unidentified unmarked graves or other artefacts that could be uncovered with track construction. A licence may need to be obtained from the Heritage Branch, DEW prior to track construction. Pursuant to Section 25 of the Heritage Places Act 1993 a permit is required from the SA Heritage Council to (a) excavate or disturb a State Heritage Place designated as a place of archaeological significance; or (b) remove archaeological artefacts from such a place. The applicant shall obtain a permit prior to any disturbance of the site.
- Under section 20 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (the Act), an owner or occupier of private land, or an employee or agent of such an owner or occupier, must report the discovery on the land of any Aboriginal sites, objects and remains to the Minister responsible for the administration of the Act, as soon as practicable, giving the particulars of the nature and location of the Aboriginal sites, objects or remains.

Yours sincerely

Mr. Z.

Murray Townsend Manager, Coastal Management Branch Department for Environment and Water Delegate for the Coast Protection Board



# **Government of South Australia**

Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board Natural Resources Centre 37 Dauncey Street PO Box 39 Kingscote SA 5223 T 08 8553 4444 E kinrc@sa.gov.au ABN 86 052 151 451

Date: 17/12/2018

Simon Neldner Planning Officer Development Division Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure

Dear Simon

Re: Development Application 3697 – 520/L006/18 – Construction of tourism accommodation (Sandy Creek); Development Application 3698 – 520/L007/18 – Internal alterations to an existing State Heritage building (Cape du Couedic); and Development Application 3699 – 520/L008/18 – Construction of tourism accommodation (Sanderson Bay).

The Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board (the Board) thanks you for considering its comments on the above Development Applications under S29 of the *Natural Resources Management Act 2004.* The Board discussed the applications at its general meeting held on Friday 14 December.

The Board, in providing comment on the proposed development, took into consideration both the objectives of the *Natural Resources Management Act 2004* and the *Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Plan 2017-2027* objectives and strategies.

The Board is of the view that the proposed development is not in the spirit of the original ecosensitive accommodation concepts that were envisaged when the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail was first proposed in 2011. The concept was for the 'fixed' accommodation to be located in the vicinity of the camp sites but separate enough for the experience to be apart and unique.

The Board believes the proposed footprint of the accommodation pods, associated buildings, and additional walking and access trails, at both Sandy Creek (520/L006/18) and Sanderson Bay (520/L007/18), and the increased human traffic, will have a negative impact on the fragile coastal habitat of both sites. The Board believes the proposed developments should be located closer to the existing walking trail and camp sites. That would reduce the need for extensive new trails and access tracks to support the development, which would cause more fragmentation of the natural systems in Flinders Chase National Park.

The Board also wants to convey the opposition to the proposals from Friends of Parks Kangaroo Island Western Districts, Friends of Dudley Peninsula Parks, and Eco-Action, all local conservation groups.

The Board has no comment in regard to Development Application 520/L007/18 Cape du Coeudic Cottages.

Should you require further information regarding this matter, please contact Richard Trethewey, Presiding Member on 0427 594 208 or email restk@westnet.com.au.

Yours sincerely,

Liland & Tretheway.

Richard Trethewey **PRESIDING MEMBER** Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board

Native Vegetation Council



|          |  | Level 4           |
|----------|--|-------------------|
| то:      | Jeremy Wood DPTL - Planning                    | 81-95 Waymouth St |
|          |  | ADELAIDE SA 5000  |
| FROM:    | Peter Farmer, Native Vegetation Branch DEW     |                   |
|          |  | GPO Box 1047      |
| SUBJECT: | Application number 520/L006/18                 | ADELAIDE SA 5001  |
|          | Construction of tourism accommodation          | Ph  08 8303 9777  |
|          | Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail – Sandy Creek | nvc@sa.gov.au     |
| DATE:    | 16/01/2019                                     |                   |
|          |  |                   |

# Background

The proposed development comprises eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a staging post and a services building and ancillary water tanks. A series of walking and service trails are proposed to connect the tourist accommodation at Sandy Creek, Flinders Chase National Park, Kangaroo Island.

The Flinders Chase National Park, Kelly Hill Conservation Park, Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protection Area and Cape Bouguer Wilderness Protection Area Management Plan (1999) facilitates the development of (commercial upmarket) small scale ecologically sensitive accommodation along the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT).

The proposal is additional to existing camping nodes provided along the KIWT. The Cup Gum campground at Snake Lagoon nearby services the section of the trail approximately 2 km east of and inland from Sandy Creek.

Based on departmental mapping the vegetation communities that may be impacted by the proposal are broadly described as:

- Melaleuca lanceolata, +/- Eucalyptus diversifolia ssp. diversifolia mid open shrubland,
- Leucopogon parviflorus, Olearia axillaris, Myoporum insulare +/- Melaleuca lanceolata mid open shrubland; and
- Eucalyptus (mixed) mid open mallee forest, where Eucalyptus diversifolia ssp. diversifolia +/- Eucalyptus albopurpurea, +/- Eucalyptus oleosa ssp. ampliata and Eucalyptus rugosa are present in the overstorey stratum.

The total footprint of the development at Sandy Creek is approximately 0.5 hectare. It is noted that the site selected for locating buildings appears to support intact and unfragmented native vegetation.

The Native Vegetation Branch (NVB) received an application to clear native vegetation on the 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2018 associated with the above proposal and other sites along the KIWT to be developed by the proponent, subject to legislative approvals. The application is yet to be processed as additional information has been requested from the applicant.

# **Discussion**

The Native Vegetation Council (NVC) is not generally supportive of proposals that fragment undisturbed remnant vegetation, as this proposal appears to. However if approved under the

Development Act 1993 there are pathways to approval under Native Vegetation Regulations 2017 that may accommodate the proposal, namely regulation 12(33) - New dwelling or building. It is recognised that the applicant has attempted to minimise impacts on vegetation however the NVB remains concerned that the proposal does not fully demonstrate how this has been addressed or that other options may be available that would result in less impact.

Areas of concern with the proposal are:

- The distance the site is from KIWT and existing vehicle access and the resultant amount of track development required to connect site to KIWT and proposed staging post. It is believed overall clearance could be reduced if sited closer to KIWT and existing vehicle access tracks.
- Although the building footprints are defined, the total construction footprint is not defined.
- Clearance that may be required for construction vehicle movement, within and to and from the site is not clear.
- While proposed clearance for fire protection is limited to one building, possible additional vegetation clearance for bushfire protection may be necessary as CFS bushfire requirements are yet to be determined.
- Destabilisation and erosion of the site may increase with the removal of vegetation. The proponent should also ensure that the management of the site during construction and the facilities operation minimises disturbance to the surrounding area so as to retain sufficient cover of vegetation and reduce the risk of erosion.
- Changes in surface water flow due to altered surfaces and rain water overflow that may impact (beneficially or negatively) surrounding native vegetation.
- Contingency to manage waste water (grey and black) leakage/spills so as to avoid or minimise site impacts.
- Incorporate *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (Dieback) and weed management including hygiene procedures into construction and operational plans.

The above points if not addressed, should be and where relevant incorporated into conditions and associated management plans of the development approval.

While not relating to this application similar concerns regarding the development of a proposed new walking trail exist for a KIWT related application number 520/L007/18 - Cape du Coeudic. As the proposed guest access trail alignment runs largely parallel to, and duplicates an existing access track, albeit beneath an existing powerline. To gain support the proponent would need to justify the need for the new trail alignment and demonstrate that impacts on native vegetation have been avoided or minimised. Clearance relating to the trail development would be consider under regulation 12(36) - Recreation track.

# Application under Native Vegetation Regulations

As required under the *Native Vegetation Regulations 2017*, the proposal will need to account for the total proposed clearance of native vegetation required for the development. This includes:

- building and associated structure footprint,
- construction footprint,
- clearance within 10 metres of a building for maintenance,
- walking tracks,
- vehicle tracks
- additional clearance for bushfire protection.

The proponent must apply for any native vegetation clearance required for the development and meet the requirements of Native Vegetation Regulations; *12(33)* - *New dwelling or building* and other regulations that may be relevant. To gain NVC approval for vegetation clearance under the relevant regulation requires that clearance has been minimised and there are no alternatives that would result in less impact. As part of conditions of NVC approval of an appropriate Significant Environmental Benefit (SEB) offset, delivered either on ground or payment to the Native Vegetation Fund will need to be provided by the proponent. Land already dedicated for conservation, including parks under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972* will generally not be considered suitable for SEB offset areas.

Russell Seaman On behalf of the Native Vegetation Council Native Vegetation Branch Department for Environment and Water

russell.seaman@sa.gov.au\_0429 678 741



address 43 Dauncey Street, Kingscote postal PO Box 121, Kingscote SA 5223 phone 08 8553 4500 | fax 08 8553 2885 email kicouncil@kicouncil.sa.gov.au web kangarooisland.sa.gov.au abn 93 741 277 391

> Ref File No: 010/L065/18 010/L067/18 010/L068/18

State Commission Assessment Panel Attn: Jeremy Wood GPO Box 1815 Adelaide SA 5001

22 January 2019

 RE:
 Referral Response(s)
 - Australian Walking Company – Development Applications:

 010/L065/18
 - Tourism / Tourist Accommodation Development (Sandy Creek)
 - Piece Q55 DP38340 <NO</td>

 HUNDRED>
 010/L067/18
 - Alterations & Additions to Tourist Accommodation (Cape duCouedic)
 - Piece Q55 DP38340

 <NO</td>
 HUNDRED>
 010/L068/18
 - Tourism / Tourist Accommodation Development (Sanderson Bay)
 - Lot 51 DP38340 <NO</td>

 HUNDRED>
 010/L068/18
 - Tourism / Tourist Accommodation Development (Sanderson Bay)
 - Lot 51 DP38340 <NO</td>

Dear Sir / Madam

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the abovementioned development proposal by the Australian Walking Company c/- Fyfe planning consultants, proposing tourism / tourist accommodation development comprising a number of accommodation pods, communal hosting buildings at Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay, and new communal building and internal alteration of the existing light keepers cottages at Cape duCouedic, as additions to the existing Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail and camps established therewith.

Council acknowledges that the application is to be assessed by the State Commission Assessment Panel as a prescribed class of development listed in Schedule 10 of the Regulations.

Council has reviewed the application detail against relevant provisions of the Kangaroo Island Development Plan (consolidated 17 September 2015) and consider the proposed development to be in reasonable accord with the Plans intent, including the zone objectives, desired character and envisaged forms of development for the Conservation zone.

Council has considered the development(s) locations within the Conservation zone at Sanderson Bay and Sandy Creek, both affording direct and uninterrupted coastal views and being situated in remote, but consolidated coastal areas which should not present a high risk of coastal or inland erosive processes upon the landscape and development site.

The development(s) maintain a low-scale across these sites with the individual and small accommodation pods. The tourist accommodation types do not appear to exceed the 6.5metres height and remain unobtrusive within the landscape, which is considered essential in this environment.



address 43 Dauncey Street, Kingscote postal PO Box 121, Kingscote SA 5223 phone 08 8553 4500 | fax 08 8553 2885 email kicouncil@kicouncil.sa.gov.au web kangarooisland.sa.gov.au abn 93 741 277 391

The accommodation types are considered to have a high level of aesthetic merit which offer a unique tourist experience. In addition to the accommodation on the site, the development also offers experiences within the surrounding wilderness in close proximity to the proposed accommodation and communal hosting buildings.

Development in the Cape duCouedic precinct comprises internal alteration to the three light keeper's cottages, which appears to be unobjectionable to Council. The addition of the communal hosting building situated between the existing Parndana and Karatta lodges in fundamentally unobjectionable, however we feel that its design could be more sympathetic to the heritage value of the lodges themselves rather than being boldly modern by design in stark contrast to the heritage listed lodges.

In summary, the proposal represents an exciting opportunity for tourism growth and diversity on Kangaroo Island. The development's unique tourist accommodation and experience is well aligned with the Kangaroo Island Development Plans intent to encourage tourism / tourist accommodation development within the Conservation zone.

Council accordingly advises that it has no objection to the proposal and supports the approval of the proposed development.

Council would appreciate being kept informed on the processing of the application and any variation that may occur as part of the processing. Additionally, clarification of the requirements for approval of the Waste treatment and disposal system and Building Rules Consent to be obtained in due course should be confirmed or conditioned at approval stage.

Should you wish to further discuss any matters associated with this proposal, please do not hesitate to contact me on 8553 4500.

Yours sincerely

Aaron Wilksch Manager, Development & Environmental Services



65248-1-003

7 March 2019

Mr Jeremy Wood Acting Team Leader- State Coordinator-General and Public Housing Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure By Email: <u>Jeremy.Wood@sa.gov.au</u>

Dear Jeremy,

## RESPONSE TO REFERRALS – DA 520/L006/18 – TOURIST ACCOMMODATION AT SANDY CREEK

On behalf of the Australian Walking Company, we write in response to the agency referral comments received for DA 520/L006/18 for tourist accommodation comprising eight accommodation pods, a communal longhouse, two lookouts, a services building and water tanks at Sandy Creek on Kangaroo Island.

The following agencies were consulted by the State Commission Assessment Panel (SCAP):

- Kangaroo Island Council (Council);
- · Country Fire Service (CFS);
- Coastal Protection Board (CPB);
- Native Vegetation Council (NVC); and
- Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board (NRMB).

In order to respond to the CFS and NVC referral responses, the following documents are also attached:

- Amended Proposal Plans prepared by Troppo Architects (Appendix A);
- Report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions (Appendix B);
- Response prepared by RMP Environmental Pty Ltd (Appendix C); and
- Excerpt from the Guide's Field Manual for the Three Capes Lodge Walk (Appendix D).

The following key changes have been made to the application:

- · Repositioning the guide pod 10 metres south-east;
- Including a 22,000 litre dedicated water tank; and
- Creating a Vegetation Management Zone (VMZ).

These are discussed further within the response to the CFS referral below and are detailed on the attached site plan (Appendix A).



ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES

Level 1, 124 South Terrace Adelaide SA 5000 GPO Box 2450

Adelaide SA 5001 Telephone 61 8 8201 9600 Facsimile 61 8 8201 9650 www.fyfe.com.au FYFE PTY LTD ABN 57 008 116 130





Responses to each agency's referral are provided below.

#### Kangaroo Island Council

We agree with the Council comment that the development aligns with the intent of the Conservation Zone and that the design of the proposed buildings ensures that they remain unobtrusive within the coastal environment.

The revised position of the refuge building is elevated and as a result, will be more exposed. The aerial images included in Appendix A illustrate the proposed location and visibility. Notwithstanding this, the increased separation distance from the coast and position 'behind' the longhouse will offset the visual impact when viewed from Sandy Creek and the beach. The visual impact is discussed further in response to the CPB's comments below.

#### **Country Fire Service**

The CFS raised concerns and required more information in order to finalise their assessment. Subsequent meetings were held onsite with CFS, AWC and Brett Stephens from SA Bushfire Solutions in attendance. From these meetings, recommendations were made in relation to the siting, water supply and vegetation clearance onsite. These recommendations are detailed within the report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions (Appendix B) and include:

- Repositioning the refuge building (guide pod) 10 metres up-hill (south-east) away from elevated fuel structures;
- Including a 22,000 litre dedicated water tank adjacent the refuge (to comply with the relevant Australian standards); and
- Creating a Vegetation Management Zone around the refuge comprising 2 metres of clearance and ensuring that areas within 20 metres of the refuge have no more than 50% vegetation cover.

All of the above recommendations have been incorporated into the amended site plan (Appendix A).

The report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions addresses each of the concerns raised by the CFS in detail. These responses are summarised below:

- · Siting: The siting has been revised as described above, to an area with a reduced fuel load;
- Access: No changes have been made to the access however, evacuation options and risk
  mitigation measures are being developed to ensure the safety of guests and staff. Examples of
  similar procedures developed for AWC's other sites are attached with the primary response
  being evacuation;
- Water Supply: A 22,000 litre water tank dedicated for firefighting purposes is proposed adjacent the refuge building and will be installed in accordance with the relevant Australian Standards;
- Vegetation: The relevant fuel load assessment is included in Appendix B and a Vegetation Management Zone has been proposed around the refuge building to reduce the overall fuel load.





In addition to the above, the CFS requested consideration of the relevant Principles of Development Control (PDCs) contained within the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan. From a bushfire perspective, the following PDCs are considered most relevant to the assessment:

- PDC 7: Development in a Bushfire Protection Area should be in accordance with those provisions of the Minister's Code: Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas that are designated as mandatory for Development Plan Consent purposes.
- PDC 8: Buildings and structures should be located away from areas that pose an unacceptable bushfire risk as a result of one or more of the following:
  - a) vegetation cover comprising trees and/or shrubs
  - b) poor access
  - c) rugged terrain
  - d) inability to provide an adequate building protection zone
  - e) inability to provide an adequate supply of water for fire-fighting purposes.
- PDC 9: Residential, tourist accommodation and other habitable buildings should:
  - a) be sited on the flatter portion of allotments and avoid steep slopes, especially upper slopes, narrow ridge crests and the tops of narrow gullies, and slopes with a northerly or westerly aspect
  - b) be sited in areas with low bushfire hazard vegetation and set back at least 20 metres from existing hazardous vegetation
  - c) have a dedicated and accessible water supply available at all times for fire fighting.
- PDC 11: Buildings and structures should be designed and configured to reduce the impact of bushfire through using simple designs that reduce the potential for trapping burning debris against the building or structure, or between the ground and building floor level in the case of transportable buildings.

As discussed within the SA Bushfire Solutions report, in order to minimise the extent of vegetation clearance the proposed development seeks an alternative approach to the *Ministers Code Undertaking Development in a Bushfire Protection Area* in a manner which will not compromise the safety of guests and staff. Instead, the proposed development has aligned with the Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) *Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges* and the Department of Environment and Water (DEW) *Draft Procedure for Building Requirements.* 

AWC are seeking to minimise vegetation clearance and are not seeking to protect the proposed accommodation pods or longhouse in the event of a bushfire. However, one building (the guide pod) will be protected as a refuge if guests and staff cannot be safely evacuated. The proposed refuge has been assessed by SA Bushfire Solutions and is not considered to pose an unacceptable bushfire risk in line with PDC 8 for the following reasons:

 A Vegetation Management Zone will be established around the refuge based on the outcomes of a fuel load assessment;





- The building location has been revised having regard to terrain and the location of elevated fuel loads; and
- A dedicated 22,000 litre water tank for fire-fighting purposes has been proposed.

The proposed refuge building will also satisfy PDC 9 due to the following:

- · Be sited in a location recommended by SA Bushfire Solutions;
- · Be sited within a Vegetation Management Zone; and
- Will have a dedicated water supply available at all times for firefighting.

The building will be required to meet the relevant Australian Standards and the National Building Code which seek to reduce the potential for burning debris to be trapped against the building in line with PDC 11.

#### **Coastal Protection Board**

The CPB note that the proposed development site is not at risk of coastal flooding or erosion but that the site may be susceptible to sand drift hazard, particular at pod 7 to the north. No further sand drift hazard assessment has been undertaken. However, the design and placement of the buildings has sought to minimise cut and fill buy using lightweight structures that will be placed on the land and will not require extensive amounts of earthworks or benching. In addition, clearance of vegetation has been avoided where possible with all areas other than walking trails, building footprints and the clearance area surrounding the guide pod being revegetated to minimise the opportunity for sand drift hazard. Notwithstanding this, AWC accept that there is the potential for sand drift hazard and will monitor, manage and mitigate sand drift where possible.

The CPB have highlighted the scenic amenity of the adjacent stretch of coastline and wish to protect and maintain the high scenic quality of this area. The Board acknowledge that the development will be visible from coast in this location, however have not concluded whether, in their view, this impact would be detrimental or detract from the high scenic quality. Instead, they have requested that the SCAP consider whether this impact is compatible with scenic amenity values.

The most appropriate guide to determine whether the proposed development will detract from this coastline is the Kangaroo Island Council Development Plan which emphasises that visual impact should be minimised rather than avoided (see Siting and Visibility PDC 1 and 4). The reality is that accommodation intended to showcase and have views of the coastal landscape will likely be visible from the coast to some degree. In our opinion, the proposed development in its revised form will continue to minimise its appearance from the coast for the following reasons:

- The small scale buildings, natural finishes and incorporation of large eaves and pergolas ensure that each structure readily blends with the landscape;
- · The building is still positioned below the ridgeline; and
- It is sited more than 100 metres from the coast, cliff faces and existing walking trails including the Sandy Creek Trail and the Kangaroo Island Walking Trail.



PAGE | 4



It is acknowledged that the revised location is elevated compared to the initial proposal and to a degree, will have an increased visual impact. In response, it is important to note that the relocation of the guide pod/refuge building was to distance it from more dense vegetation that presented a heightened bushfire risk and in turn, the revised location will require less clearance to achieve the 50% vegetation cover requirement within the VMZ. If the development were to remain in the previous location, additional clearance would be required to comply with the maximum vegetation cover requirement. In our opinion, areas of clearance are likely to have a greater visual impact on the scenic amenity rather than the small scale building themselves.

When viewed in this light, the revised location is actually considered to have a reduced visual impact when balancing the impact of both some clearance and the proposed structure. The revised location will also position most of the VMZ 'behind' the longhouse when viewed from the adjacent beach providing further screening of the VMZ that wasn't achieved in the previous design.

In relation to the impact on native flora and fauna, AWC have engaged Botanical Enigmerase and RMP Environmental Pty Ltd to prepare the clearance application and ensure impact on flora and fauna is minimised. The clearance application has been lodged and is being assessed concurrently to the development application process. The NVC have requested additional information which is being prepared and once this is provided to the NVC, the clearance application will undergo a public consultation process.

The proposed waste water system will also be more than 100 metres from the mean high water mark.

#### Native Vegetation Council

The Native Vegetation Council raised a number of concerns, including that the proposed development did not fully demonstrate how impacts on native vegetation have been addressed.

Since the development application and vegetation clearance application have been lodged, RMP Environmental Pty Ltd has also been engaged to prepare the final report and assessment, supporting the data collected by Botanical Enigmerase. An excerpt of the report that is being finalised for the clearance application is attached (Appendix C) and addresses the concerns raised in the native vegetation referral response.

In terms of timing, the clearance application is expected to be subject to public consultation for 28 days over March and following this, we expect that the application will be presented to the NVC Panel on 1 May for a decision. In our opinion, it is reasonable and appropriate to progress the assessment of the development application subject to a reserve matter relating to the clearance application. This approach is supported by RMP Environmental Pty Ltd.

#### Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board

The KI NRMB has raised concerns with the location of the proposed accommodation and the distance from the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail (KIWT). Various locations were considered for the development site in consultation with DEW and National Parks SA having regard to the multiple objectives of the development, including minimising and avoiding clearance balanced with the operational and guest expectations. Details of the various sites for selecting or disregarding each site are detailed in Appendix C.





As discussed above, the proposed development is subject to a native vegetation clearance application which is required to demonstrate how the impact on the existing flora and fauna is minimised. Whilst the application has been lodged, additional information is being prepared by RMP Environmental Pty Ltd to demonstrate how the development addresses the mitigation hierarchy and minimises the impact on the surrounding environment. Furthermore, EBS Ecology has been engaged to assess existing fauna and habitats and determine if a further referral is required to the Federal Minister for the Environment under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

AWC provide nature-based eco-tourism opportunities in multiple locations across Australia and their guides are trained to protect the environment. The flora and fauna at each of AWC and TWC's walks are the key attraction for their guests who are similarly inducted to ensure that they also understand the importance of staying on the trail and cross-contamination is minimised. To demonstrate this, an excerpt from the Guide's Field Manual for the Three Cape Lodge Walk in Tasmania is attached and reinforces the 'take only photos and leave only footprints' philosophy of AWC and TWC.

In summary, the proposed development has been designed in a matter that has sought to balance the competing interests of bushfire safety and minimising impact on the existing flora and fauna whilst delivering the priorities identified by the South Australian Tourism Commission's Regional Visitor Strategy and Nature Based Tourism Strategy. In our opinion, the proposed development has suitably balanced these expectations and will provide a much needed exclusive bushwalking and accommodation draw card that will showcase the pristine natural and coastal environment of Kangaroo Island.

Should you have any further queries regarding this proposal, please do not hesitate to contact me on 0408 808 143.

Yours sincerely

Michael Osborn National Planning Manager

- CC: Broom, Arron (DEW), <u>Arron.Broom@sa.qov.au</u> Leah Bertholini (CFS), <u>Leah.Bertholini@sa.qov.au</u>
- Enc: A Amended Site Plan prepared by Troppo Architects
  - B Report prepared by SA Bushfire Solutions
  - C Response prepared by RMP Environmental Pty Ltd
  - $\mathsf{D}$  Excerpt from the Guide's Field Manual for the Three Capes Lodge Walk





**APPENDIX A** 

# AMENDED SITE PLAN PREPARED BY TROPPO ARCHITECTS

| DRAWING  | DATE     | CURRENT ISSUE |
|--|----------|---------------|
| DRAWING SCHEDULE                                 | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| LOCALITY PLAN                                    | 02.11.18 |               |
| SITE PLAN MAP L                                  | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| VEHICULAR ACCESS TRACK                           | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN MAP M                                  | 15.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN MAP S                                  | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| TOPOGRAPHY                                       | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SUND, WIND + VIEWS                               | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| HYDRAULICS: SUPPLY                               | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| HYDRAULICS: WASTE                                | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN  | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN: SURVEY                                | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| SITE PLAN: NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARANCE           | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| AERIAL VIEW                                      | 13.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| AERIAL VIEW 2                                    | 19.02.19 | Rev 2         |
| VIEWS FROM BEACH: LONGHOUSE                      | 02.11.18 | Rev 2         |
| VIEWS FROM BEACH: POD 4                          | 02.11.18 | Rev 2         |
| LONGHOUSE: PLAN + SECTIONS                       | 02.11.18 |               |
| LONGHOUSE: EXTERIOR VIEWS                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| LONGHOUSE: INTERIOR VIEWS                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING POD PAIR                                | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING POD PAIR: VEGETATION CLEARANCE          | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| SLEEPING PODS: PLANS + SECTION                   | 02.11.18 |               |
| SLEEPING PODS: VIEWS                             | 7.03.19  | Rev 3         |
| GUIDE POD (REFUGE)                               | 02.11.18 |               |
| SERVICE POINT                                    | 02.11.18 |               |
| LOOKOUT  | 02.11.18 |               |
| STAGING POST                                     | 02.11.18 |               |
| PATHS AND TRACKS: EARTHEN                        | 02.11.18 |               |
| PATHS AND TRACKS: BOARDWALKS                     | 02.11.18 |               |
| SITE PHOTOS                                      | 02.11.18 |               |
| ARCHITECTURAL TEXTURES + VIBES                   | 02.11.18 |               |
| INTERNAL FINISHES + LITTLE THINGS: LONGHOUSE     | 02.11.18 |               |
| INTERNAL FINISHES + LITTLE THINGS: SLEEPING PODS | 02.11.18 |               |











LOCALITY PLAN 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)



















Scale 1: 5000

troppo

AN MAP M

SITE PL/ 15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)


**KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** SITE PLAN MAP S 15 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)



troppo



Scale 1: 1000

SUN, WIND & VIEWS







Scale 1: 1000





0 10m 20m 50m Scale 1 1000



troppo

HYDRAULICS: WASTE 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)











KANGAROO ISLAND WALK SANDY CF AERIAL VIEW 13 FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)





AERIAL VIEW









Scale 1: 5000

troppo

















SLEEPING PODS: VEGETATION CLEARANCE SANDY CREEK **KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** 

troppo

//////// Cleared area

TYPICAL POD PAIR: NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARANCE

Scale 1: 100





SECTION B

SECTION A



WALLS: EXT LININGS: Compressed sheet/ eco-ply, natural/ stained to dark tones Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Tb^qeboqbulK^qrof^i)kfläkfpe)/k^qrofiividobvba Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

MATERIAL SCHEDULE

ROOFING: Li-len weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb >jmbifqbi]Tikabodi^piD@\$)idbi"1^qbalä\_obdi^pp);f\*bi"ib^o Bush timber battens from road clearing, naturally greyed

PATHS: Compacted sifted stone/ gravels/ sand from siteworks including molaifkdicloi(qo^hp)im^qep Select site stone edges, erosion controls where required

SCREENS/ SOFFITS: Li-len weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb >jmbifqb]Tikabodi\*pD@\$Jidbi\*1^qpaia\_obdi\*pp);fb/ib\*o Laser-cut plywood, dark stain Bush timber battens from road clearing, naturally greyed

WINDOWS/ DOORS: Pbib'q>rpqo/tf\*(ke'oallaico\*jbp))"ib\*oilfiäkfpe Mtooloj\*k bid\*pp)>ipbifdp]Tikabodi\*pD@\$)dbi\*l\*qbatā\_obdi\*pp)fb"ib\*o Polished Australian hardwood/ bush timber door furniture

DECKING, SEATS, STEPS, BOARD WALKS, INC FRAMING: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed FRP mesh, black



EXTERNAL ELEVATIONS/VIEWS

# SANDY CREEK WALK AND ဟ POD S SLEEPING NGAROO 02 NOV 2018 X



0 1m 2m Scale 1: 100



#### GROUND FLOOR PLAN







#### MATERIAL SCHEDULE

EXPOSED FRAMING: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed Pqbbi)um^fkquäkfpeuqlia^ohiuqlkbp Li-ten weathered (raw) steel

WALLS: EXT LININGS: Compressed sheet, natural/ stained to dark tones Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

ROOFING: Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb

SCREENS: Li-ten weathered (raw) steel Jasper colorbond/ custom orb WINDOWS/ DOORS: Pbib`qi>rpqo^if^kie^oatllaico^jbp)i`ib^oilfiläkfpe Li-ten weathered (raw) steel PERFORMANCE GLASS Crimsafe black stainless steel screens Polished Australian hardwood/ bush timber door furniture

STEPS: Australian hardwood/ cypress pine, naturally greyed FRP mesh, black

PATHS: Compacted sifted stone/ gravels/ sand from siteworks including moläifkdlcloloqo^hp)lm^qep Select site stone edges, erosion controls where required





**EXTERNAL ELEVATIONS/VIEWS** 



SANDY CREEK

(REFUGE)

РО

AND WALK

S







SINGLE POD

INTERNAL









ALAM MANA











SANDY CREEK

WALK

**KANGAROO ISLAND** 

- GAS RWT

ST

- G RAIN WATER TANK

SITE MAINTENANCE STORES



ROOF PLAN









FLOOR PLAN



KANGAROO ISLAND WALK SANDY CREEK LOOKOUTS 02 NOV 2018



WEST BAY ROAD



SANDY CREEK **KANGAROO ISLAND WALK** POST STAGING I D2 NOV 2018









**KANGAROO ISLAND WALK PATHS AND TRACKS** BOARDWALKS 02 NOV 2018





Views from Longhouse



Longhouse site









Views from Lookout 2



















**APPENDIX B** 

# **REPORT PREPARED BY SA BUSHFIRE SOLUTIONS**



Development Assessment Service Country Fire Service Level 5, 60 Waymouth Street Adelaide SA 5000

Attention: Leah Bertholini

16 February 2019

Dear Leah,

RE: Additional Information Development Application (Planning Assessment) – AWC Flinders Chase

- Sanderson Fire Track
- Lot 50 South Coast Road (Sandy Creek)
- Cape Du Couedic

Thank you for your correspondence dated January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2019 (*references 20190117-02lb, 20190117-03lb and 20190117-01lb*) further to the verbal advice given during a meeting at CFS office on January 8<sup>th</sup>, 2019.

We note the main factors of concern from the CFS that required additional information included;

- Siting
- Access
- Water Supply
- Vegetation
- Alternative Options

Subsequently we revisited the sites on January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2019 to undertake further assessments, my client appreciates the opportunity to provide this additional information and demonstrate how the risk mitigation measures counter the reduced compliance.

Further to this response please note the additional supporting documents provided including;

- Revised BAL reports for Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay
- AS3959 (2009) Appendix B Detailed Method 2 Calculations
- Fuel Load Calculations
- Examples of AWC Emergency Planning documents including;
  - Bushfire Emergency Plan Three Capes Track Munro Lodge
  - Emergency Response Management Plan

Regards,

BAStel

Brett Stephens Director SA Bushfire Solutions

> PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



### Background

The proposed developments are at three separate sites within the Flinders Chase National Park (Sanderson Bay, Sandy Creek and Cape du Couedic).

The Australian Walking Company (AWC) and the South Australian Country Fire Service (SA CFS) acknowledge that the proposed developments in Flinders Chase National Park is in a High Bushfire risk area with limited vehicle access, no dedicated fire water for suppression operations, poor communication networks and significant response times from CFS volunteers due to distance and access.

With due considerations for all relevant principles of development control outlined in the Kangaroo Island Councils Development Plan, particularly in relation to the retention of native vegetation, alternative options have been proposed that balances the various challenges of the site.

For the SA CFS to consider the alternative options as part of the Development Application adequate detail must be provided that could demonstrate the proposed solution is 'equal or better in performance, to that of the original requirements'.

The correspondence received from the SACFS was relatively consistent for the three sites relating to clarifying the risk mitigation measures and evacuation options. Considering the bushfire risk, mitigation strategies and evacuation options are also consistent, where the response to SACFS is not site specific it applies to all three sites.

It should be noted that AWC, SACFS and Department Environment and Water (DEW) have the following in principle agreements towards this project;

- Commitment to minimise extent of Native vegetation clearance;
  - - burn in bushfire
- Primary Bushfire Response Plan is to Evacuate early
- Secondary Bushfire Response Plan is to shelter in refuge
- Neighbouring beaches have been determined as inappropriate for Last resort option.
- Utilising existing CFS approved Bushfire Safer Places and Last resorts where appropriate and other safe locations as identified in relevant DEW Emergency response plans
- Use existing DEW Emergency Response plans and Evacuation plans where appropriate



### Siting

We have reviewed and made recommendations that the proposed siting of the guide pod "Bushfire refuge" at Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay be moved further away from areas of higher Surface and Overall fuel hazards, (refer to attached BAL reports, AS3959 Method 2 Calculations, updated plans / maps and section on Vegetation Management zones)

#### Sandy Creek

We recommend that the Guide pod at Sandy Creek is moved further up hill (min 10m) away from the higher elevated fuel structures of the coastal Mallee and in the lower shrubby vegetation that is more representative of the wider area. Please refer to revised plan drawings for exact locations.

We expect this small shift up hill will reduce the likelihood of direct flame contact and reduced radiant heat impacting the guide pod, it also presents greater efficiencies with vegetation management and maintenance and reduced vegetation clearance in the vegetation management zone.

#### Sanderson Bay

We recommend that the Guide pod at Sanderson Bay is moved further North east downhill (min 20m) away from the higher elevated fuel structures of the coastal Mallee and Melaleuca and in the lower pig face vegetation. Please refer to revised plan drawings for exact locations.

We expect this small shift downhill will reduce the likelihood of direct flame contact and reduced radiant heat impacting the guide pod, it also presents greater efficiencies with vegetation management and maintenance and reduced vegetation clearance in the vegetation management zone.

#### Access

No access is proposed for firefighting vehicles as per requirements in the Ministers code, only proposed access to site is a light vehicle track with a maximum width of 2m for the use ATV vehicles only to service the campsites. These tracks will be untrafficable during and for some time after a bushfire.

Given the specific risk associated with site access and proximity to nearby roads for effective evacuation the application for development consent is dependent on evacuation options and risk mitigation measures.

The risk mitigation and evacuation options will be addressed in the following documents;

- DEW Site Bushfire Plan;
- AWC Bushfire Emergency Plan
- AWC Emergency Response Management Plan

These documents have not been completed for the site yet, however we have attached other approved example documents from Tasmania for reference. Please refer to these documents that were originally submitted as part of the Development Application (refer Development Application Appendices) as supportive evidence to demonstrate the expected product that will mitigate the risks.

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



## Water Supply

Each proposed site will have dedicated water supply (minimum 22,000L per site) for bushfire fighting as specified in the Ministers Code 2009 "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" Part 2.3.4.1 (excluding reference to firefighting vehicles accessing the dedicated water supply).

This dedicated water supply (and associated plumbing and pumping fixtures) will provide guides an opportunity to undertake mitigation actions i.e. pre-wetting areas, extinguish embers (prior to the fire front) and suppression of structures (post fire front).

The designated fire water tanks will be in an area of minimal bushfire fuels within the vegetation management zone.

Provision will also be made at each site for a fire pump and firefighting hoses, located adjacent to the guide pod with hose length long enough to go around the permitter of the refuge.

The refuge will also have a fit for purpose external sprinkler system to provide additional protection to the building from radiant heat, ember attack and direct flame contact. This external sprinkler system will compliment internal precautions (fire blanket and fire extinguishers) from human activities and assist prevent the spread of fire to the vegetated areas.

Please note there will be no cooking facilities in the Guide pod and that guests will not be permitted to use candles on site, instead guests will have headtorches included as part of their gear kit.

The dedicated water supply for firefighting, pump, pipe work and fire hose will be in accordance with the technical details as per Ministers Specification SA78, *excluding 2.5 (b)* that references access for fire fighting vehicles.

Operation and maintenance of the dedicated water supply, fixtures, fittings and operation will be captured in the site bushfire response plan with recommendations for annual maintenance and training.

#### Vegetation

The proposal seeks to limit the amount of native vegetation clearance during the construction and operation of the proposed development.

#### AS 3959 Method 2

In order to reduce the vegetation clearance our original report provided justification for a revised estimate of near surface and overall fuel hazards when undertaking the BAL calculations as per Method 2 AS3959 for Sanderson Bay and Sandy Creek.

CFS were concerned about the significant variation of fuel loads recommended in AS3959 and those used for calculations in the original report and determined that it was not unreasonable to accept the position of AS3959 and the fuel loads recommended for the vegetation classification.

Due to the variation of results and with the Surface and Overall fuel hazard assessments impacting so much of the bushfire risk and construction requirements we met onsite (at Sandy Creek and

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253

info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au


Sanderson Bay) January 23rd, 2019 to undertake further fuel hazard assessments and define agreed Overall fuel Hazards to be used in the Method 2 AS3959 calculations.

The results of these agreed Overall fuel hazards and the changes they represent to the BAL ratings, and setback distances can be seen in tables below (extract from updated BAL reports). Note for both sites we have used the fuels representative of the highest surface and overall fuel hazards following the onsite assessments.

| I able 1       | .1 Sand      | y Creek      |          |         |              |                     |          |         |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------------------|----------|---------|
| Vegetation     | AS3959-      | AS3959-      | Setback  | Current | Adjusted     | Adjusted            | Setback  | Revised |
| classification | 2009         | 2009         | distance | BAL     | Surface Fuel | <b>Overall Fuel</b> | Distance | BAL     |
| (see clause    | Surface Fuel | Overall Fuel |          |         | load (t/ha   | load (t/ha          |          |         |
| 2.2.3)         | load (t/ha)  | load (t/ha)  |          |         |              |                     |          |         |
| Shrubland      | 15           | 15           | 5        | FZ      | 10           | 10                  | 10       | FZ      |
|                |              | 1            |          |         | 10           | 10                  | 11       | 40      |
|                |              |              | P        |         | 10           | 10                  | 15       | 29      |
|                |              |              |          |         | 10           | 10                  | 22       | 19      |
|                |              |              |          |         | 10           | 10                  | 32       | 12.5    |

| Table 1.2 Sanderson Bay |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| AS3 <mark>959-</mark>   | AS3959-   | Setback   | Current  | Adjusted  | Adjusted   | Setback  | Revised   |  |
| 2009                    | 2009  | distance  | BAL  | Surface Fuel  | <b>Overall Fuel</b>  | Distance   | BAL   |  |
| Surface Fuel            | <b>Overall Fuel</b>   |   |  | load (t/ha  | load (t/ha   |  |   |  |
| load (t/ha)             | load (t/ha)   |   |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| 15                      | 15  | 5   | FZ   | 5   | 15   | 11   | FZ  |  |
|                         |   |   |  | 5   | 15   | 12   | 40  |  |
|                         |   | /   |  | 5   | 15   | 16   | 29  |  |
|                         |   |   |  | 5   | 15   | 24   | 19  |  |
|                         |   |   |  | 5   | 15   | 33   | 12.5  |  |
|                         | 2 Sanda<br>AS3959-<br>2009<br>Surface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)<br>15 | Sanderson BayAS3959-2009Surface Fuelload (t/ha)1515 | Sanderson BayAS3959-<br>2009AS3959-<br>2009Setback<br>distanceSurface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)Overall Fuel<br>load (t/ha) | Sanderson BayAS3959-<br>2009AS3959-<br>2009Setback<br>distanceCurrent<br>BALSurface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)Overall Fuel<br>load (t/ha)15155FZ | AS 3959-<br>2009AS 3959-<br>2009Setback<br>distanceCurrent<br>BALAdjusted<br>Surface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)15155FZ515155FZ51515555151555515555515555515555515555515555515555515555515555516105517101051810105 | AS 3959-<br>2009AS 3959-<br>2009Setback<br>distanceCurrent<br>BALAdjusted<br>Surface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)Adjusted<br>Overall Fuel<br>load (t/ha)15155FZ51515155FZ515151555151515101015515151010151515151010151515151010151515151015 | AS 3959-<br>2009AS 3959-<br>2009Setback<br>distanceCurrent<br>BALAdjusted<br>Surface Fuel<br>load (t/ha)Adjusted<br>DistanceSetback<br>Distance15155FZ5151115155FZ515121651516161617191051524 |  |

#### AS 3959 Method 2 Calculation Inputs

To ensure we could satisfy CFS concerns regarding ensuring the alternative solution was 'equal to or better than the original requirement' we increased two variables in the method 2 calculations including the Fire Danger Index (FDI) and the Flame Temperature (measured as kelvin).

The input values used in the AS3959 calculations can be found in Table 2.4.1 Input Values and Modelling AS 3959 – 2009 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas.

Recommending Flame temperature 1090 k, we used 1200 kelvin •

Current input values for FDI in the AS 3959 can be found in Table 2.1 Jurisdictional and Regional Values for FDI;

recommending FDI 80 for South Australia, we used an FDI of 120. •

We believe using these higher input values we have calculated the potential bushfire impacts as greater than what is likely at the sites.

Further information can be found in the attached site BAL reports along with the Method 2 Calculations for both Sandy Creek and Sanderson Bay.

#### PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253

info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



#### **Vegetation Management Zone**

The Ministers Code *Part 2.3.5 'Existing and proposed trees and vegetation on and around the allotment'* requires buildings to be located away from vegetation that pose an unacceptable bushfire risk.

Based on the Fuel hazard assessments and BAL calculations (refer attached) we propose a fuel management zone of 20m around the Guide pod "refuge" at all sites. This is based on achieving the BAL rating of 19 for the refuge (refer table 1.1 and 1.2), BAL reports and Method 2 Calculations.

This setback distance and vegetation management zone of 20m is a compromise (noting that table 1.1 and 1.2 reference 22m and 24m respectively) to be reflective of the potential bushfire risk given our additional input values in the method 2 calculations.

The objective of the vegetation management zone is to have an area of reduced overall fuel hazard adjacent and nearby the refuge that will assist minimise the likelihood of ember ignition, decrease likelihood of flame contact and minimise forward rate of spread of bushfires.

The vegetation management zone also provides "safer" areas for staff and visitors to move into or through after the main bushfire front has passed and the refuge may continue to slowly burn. All proposed paths and walking trails to the refuge (within the vegetation management zone) will be a minimum width of 1m.

It is proposed the vegetation management zone is defined by two zones - Inner and Outer.

#### Inner Vegetation Management Zone

The inner zone will be the area within 2m of the refuge and the proposed vegetation management is;

- all vegetation within 2m removed (litter, surface, near surface, elevated and bark hazard)
- any elevated fuels that may overhang to the roofed trimmed or removed
- no vegetation regeneration, mulching or combustible materials to be incorporated into this zone

#### Outer Vegetation Management Zone

The outer zone will be the area from the inner zone out to the 20m radius from the refuge and the proposed vegetation management is;

- a maintained reduction of all elevated fuels to ensure a maximum coverage is not greater than of 50% in this zone
- careful selection of clearing to ensure areas of non-continuous vegetation and tree canopy
- no revegetation to be undertaken in this zone
- maintenance includes the removal of any dry / dead vegetation



#### **Alternative Options**

The proposal requests alternative options as it is not possible to conform to the Ministers Code and specifications without vehicle access for fire vehicles and crew.

The proposed alternative options were based on the Department Environment and Water (DEW) *Draft* Procedure for Building Requirements and in line with the performance criteria of Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) Design and Construction of Community Bushfire Refuges.

CFS identified the application was incomplete as the proposed alternative options did not fully align with the performance and acceptance criteria of Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) Design and Construction of Community Bushfire refuges and therefore did not adequately demonstrate the alternative options to be of equal or better in performance to that of the original requirement.

Further advice is provided below to address the concerns of the proposed alternative options raised by CFS (reduced compliance with the Ministers Code and specifications) and demonstrate the risk mitigation measures to be implemented by AWC. Specific concerns raised by the CFS are reproduced in **bold** below with further responses:

#### Performance Criteria

| Performance<br>Criteria | Description   | Response to address the criteria  |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| (e)                     | Intensity of potential Consequential fires  | <ul> <li>Intensity of fires calculated<br/>in Method 2 Calculations.</li> <li>Assessed BAL is 19 and<br/>proposed construction will<br/>be BAL 29</li> </ul>  |
| (f)                     | Safe access within the site to the refuge, (including carpark areas), as well as occupant egress after bushfire event | Refer Vegetation     management zone  |
| (k)                     | Provision of fire-fighting equipment and water supply<br>to facilitate protection of refuge                           | • Refer water Supply, conform with Ministers Specification SA78, <i>excluding 2.5 (b)</i> that references access for fire fighting vehicles.  |
| (1)                     | Necessary degree of Communications and signage  | <ul> <li>Refer AWC Example</li> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Tasmanian Walking<br/>Company Emergency<br/>Response Plans.</li> <li>Signs will be as per DEW<br/>and GAFLC standards.</li> </ul> |

#### Does not adequately meet the relevant performance criteria, specifically 2.3 (e,f,k & I)

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



#### Acceptance Criteria

#### Does not adequately address the acceptance Criteria:-

1. Sufficient separation from primary fuel source to a maximum level of 10kW/m2

Section 2.4 Acceptance Criteria Table 1 states the radiant heat flux on the refuge should not exceed 10kW/m2. The comment associated with the 10kW/m2 references the following *'will enable firefighters wearing protective clothing to approach a refuge for a short period of time'.* 

The acceptance criteria also provide the alternative option of *Exposed construction of a refuge to* have a minimum FRL of 60/60/60 and any openings suitably protected.

With consideration to the proposed development not providing access for firefighters combined with the fact that to achieve the 10kW/m2 would require significant vegetation clearance we propose to build the refuge to the acceptance criteria of a minimum FRL of 60/60/60.

Also note that the BAL for the site is 19 and proposed construction of the refuge to be at a rating one level higher to BAL 29.

2. Exceeds the maximum radiant heat flux on exposed building elements of 10kW/m2

Refer previous response.

3. Path of travel to a refuge should include minimum width of cleared vegetation and be accompanied by a vegetation management strategy to ensure vegetation adjacent the path does not become a hazard to travel.

Refer to Section Vegetation Management Zone.

4. Control of tenable conditions for interior air temperature, interior surface temperature, interior air toxicity, and ventilation.

Proposed construction will comply with the BCA regulations and in line with the BAL rating.

5. Firefighting equipment including, fire hose reels, from a water source in a non-combustible tank and connection to a pump

Refer to section Water Supply

6. Emergency power supply including a diesel generator and Fuel storage

At this stage there is no proposal for additional power supply (via diesel generator) but provisions have been made for solar panels and additional battery back-up.



### **Design Considerations**

## Does Not adequately address 'Design Considerations; -Appendix A.1 – A.14

| Desig | n Consideration   | Description   | Response to address Considerations   |  |  |  |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| A.1   | Location of a<br>community Bushfire<br>refuge relative to the<br>fire hazards | Location of refuge influences<br>number if design and<br>construction requirements.   | Reviewed and Updated<br>• Overall Fuel Hazards<br>• BAL site assessments<br>• AS3959 Method 2 Calculations<br>• Vegetation Management Zone   |  |  |  |
| A.2   | Number of occupants   | How many people will be likely<br>to use refuge, how many likely<br>to access, characteristics of<br>occupants, composition | <ul> <li>Refuge designed and suitable for maximum number of visitors (17)         <ul> <li>17 on site during normal operation</li> <li>14 Guests, 2 Guides and</li> <li>1 host</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refuge not accessible to general public</li> <li>Refuge users to be directed and supervised by guide/host</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| A.3   | Duration of Occupancy   | Expected duration of occupancy  | <ul> <li>Refuge constructed to FRL<br/>60/60/60</li> <li>Water supply for pre and post<br/>suppression</li> <li>Guides to assess and determine<br/>safety to move out of refuge post<br/>fire front</li> <li>Bushfire emergency response<br/>plan</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| A.4   | Bushfire Intensity  | Predict the potential radiant<br>heat flux on exposed<br>construction materials   | <ul> <li>AS3959 Method 2 Calculations</li> <li>Vegetation management zone</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| A.5   | Bushfire-Resistance<br>level  | Refuge designed to protect<br>occupants   | <ul> <li>BAL assessment to 19,<br/>recommended construction to<br/>BAL 29</li> <li>Constructed to FRL 60/60/60</li> <li>AS3959 Method 2 calculations</li> <li>BAL site assessment reports</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| A.6   | Safe access and egress  | Unobstructed and safe access to refuge  | Vegetation management zone   |  |  |  |
| A.7   | Tenable environment<br>within a refuge  | Provide tenable conditions to occupants during the Bushfire   | <ul> <li>Refuge constructed to BCA<br/>requirements for BAL 29</li> <li>Minimum "floor area" provided for<br/>number of occupants .75m2 per<br/>person</li> <li>Minimum "Volume" air 1.2m3 per<br/>person</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



| Δ.8  | Material and   | Potential hushfire impacts and   | <ul> <li>Interior mean modified discomfort<br/>index (MDI) for 60 minutes</li> <li>FRL 60/60/60</li> <li>Potugo constructed to PCA</li> </ul>  |
|------|--|--|--|
| A.0  | Construction   | construction materials   | requirements for BAL 29  |
| A.9  | Loads and actions                                    | Structural design of a refuge<br>should be in accordance with<br>Section B of Volume One BCA | Built in accordance with Section B     of Volume One BCA   |
| A.10 | Occupant awareness<br>of the external<br>environment | Occupants aware of external<br>environment to observe the<br>passing of the bushfire front   | <ul> <li>Refuge constructed to BCA<br/>requirements for BAL 29</li> <li>Particular reference to window<br/>glazing</li> </ul>  |
| A.11 | Firefighting equipment                               | Provision for firefighting<br>equipment  | Refer section on Water Supply  |
| A.12 | Communications and signage                           | Provision for communications<br>and directions during bushfire<br>events                     | <ul> <li>Refer example <ul> <li>Sample: Tasmanian Walking</li> <li>Company Three Capes Walk</li> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Sample: Tasmanian Walking</li> <li>Company Emergency Response</li> <li>Plans.</li> <li>Signs will be as per DEW and</li> <li>GAFLC standards.</li> <li>Guides will be leading and</li> <li>directing tourists</li> <li>AWC Guides have satellite</li> <li>communications (phone and</li> <li>Inreach comms device) with the</li> <li>group at all times.</li> <li>AWC staff not travelling</li> <li>immediately with the main group</li> <li>have satellite comms capacity</li> </ul></li></ul> |
| A.13 | Sanitary Facilities                                  | Provision for scope and number   | Sanitary facilities for primary use     of the building comply with the  |
|      |  |  | BCA  |
| A.14 | Essential Maintenance                                | Regular and comprehensive<br>maintenance regime of refuge                                    | <ul> <li>Refer example</li> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Tasmanian Walking Company<br/>Emergency Response Plans.</li> <li>Annual preparedness audits</li> <li>Staff training</li> <li>Vegetation management zone</li> <li>Monthly testing procedures during<br/>the Fire danger season</li> </ul>   |
|      |  |  |  |

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



#### **Operation Considerations**

#### Does not adequately address 'Operation Considerations'; - Appendix B'

| Desig | n Consideration                         | Description   | Response  |
|-------|---|---|---|
| B.1   | General                                 | Refuge should be able to operate<br>effectively without intervention from<br>emergency services personnel | <ul> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Tasmanian Walking<br/>Company Emergency<br/>Response Plans.</li> <li>Only accessible to Guides</li> <li>Operated by AWC staff<br/>(Guides/Hosts) who will be<br/>trained to operate the refuge</li> </ul>          |
| B.2   | Operations<br>Procedures Manual         | Operations manual to be developed   | <ul> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Tasmanian Walking<br/>Company Emergency<br/>Response Plans.</li> <li>Operations Manuals<br/>including Guide Manuals to<br/>be developed by AWC</li> <li>Staff training</li> <li>Monthly testing records</li> </ul> |
| B.3   | Systems approach to opening a refuge    |   | Refuge to be opened by guide  |
| B.4   | Key Safe                                |   | <ul> <li>Guide to have keys</li> <li>Possible option to have key<br/>safe on site at each location</li> </ul>   |
| B.5   | Safety equipment<br>including first aid | Essential needs of occupants during<br>bushfire event including first aid<br>requirements                 | <ul> <li>Bushfire Emergency Plans</li> <li>Tasmanian Walking<br/>Company Emergency<br/>Response Plans.</li> <li>Staff training</li> <li>Bushfire kits in refuge</li> <li>Annual preparedness audit</li> <li>Monthly testing records</li> </ul>                |

#### Ancillary Information

Appendix C 1.5 South Australia; A community bushfire refuge is building work, and hence development under the development act 1993 requiring development approval.

South Australia has no legislation that specifically regulates the use of a community bushfire refuge.

The proposed development application in Flinders Chase National Park is submitted and assessable for both planning and building consents by the relevant agencies and Authorities.

Applications for building rules consent are assessed against relevant requirements for building rules including referenced codes, standards or ministers specifications.

Training

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au



To further support the alternative solutions and demonstrate commitment to risk mitigation it is highly recommended that AWC ensure their guides have appropriate training and accreditation in understanding bushfire risk and response on KI.

There are several Nationally accredited units that would be suitable and recommend that guides are accredited to a minimum level of Crew Leader.

In additional we would highly recommend annual refresher training to all guides including first aid, fire extinguisher and the use of hose reels during monthly testing.

PO Box 1598, Renmark, SA PH: 0427604253 info@sabushfiresolutions.com.au www.sabushfiresolutions.com.au





SITE PLAN MAP S 13FEB 2019 (Rev. 2)







# AS 3959 "INDICATIVE" BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL) ASSESSMENT REPORT

# "Sandy Creek"

| Customer Details |                             |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Applicants Name  | Troppo Architects           |
| Email            | ryan.horsnell@troppo.com.au |
| Phone            | 82329696                    |

| Property Details         |                              |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Address                  | Flinders Chase National Park |
|                          | Sandy Creek                  |
| Lot Number               | D38340A51                    |
| Municipality             | Kangaroo Island              |
| Bushfire Protection Area | High                         |

| Report Details      |                |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Report / Job Number | BAL 012.1      |
| Report Version      | 1.1            |
| Assessment Date     | 23/1/2019      |
| Report Date         | 29/1/2019      |
| Assessors Name      | Brett Stephens |
| Assessor Phone      | 0427604253     |

| BAL Summary |                              |                 |                            |     |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Plot        | Vegetation<br>Classification | Effective Slope | Separation<br>Distance (m) | BAL |  |  |  |  |
| 1           | Class Class C Shrubland      | Level           | 5m                         | FZ  |  |  |  |  |

## Determined Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)

The determined Bushfire Attack Level (highest BAL) for the site / proposed development has been determined in accordance with clause 2.2.6 of AS 3959-2009.

**Determined BAL** 

BAL-FZ



## **BAL Assessment Report**

The BAL assessment has been completed following an onsite visit and Fuel Hazard assessments on the property as per AS 3959-2009 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas.

All vegetation within 100m of the site / proposed developments was classified in accordance with Clause 2.2.3 of AS 3959-2009. Vegetation classification was also made with consideration for future growth and revegetation and based on worst case scenarios.

The AS 3959-2009 provides a relevant fire danger index (FDI) in accordance with agency determined agreements, in SA this is FDI 80 (Table 2.1).

#### Comments:

The BAL report is specific to the proposed "refuge shelter" and referred to on the map as the "guide pod".

This is an indicative BAL assessment for the multiple proposed structures at Sandy Creek. As the exact build location has not been agreed or approved, we completed the inspection with a view to providing the client an opportunity to consider potential Bushfire risk on the proposed infrastructure and an opportunity to review and decide on options that may assist reduce the risk prior to submitting the development application.

We recommend the "Guide pod" is moved to take advantage of some lower fuels that may assist the BAL rating (refer map 1.1).

This report does not refer to matters specifically referred to in the Ministers Code "Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas" as these considerations will be addressed in the development application following inspection from Country Fire Service (CFS).



## **Disclaimer Statement:**

It must be noted that extreme fire weather conditions may create unpredictable fire behaviour and therefore it is impossible to remove all potential impacts from bushfires and guarantee that a building will survive any bushfire event.

The current fuel loads and vegetation management cannot be guaranteed not to change in the future.

I have taken all reasonable steps to ensure that the information provided in this assessment is accurate and reflects the conditions on and around the site and allotment on the date of this assessment. I cannot guarantee the bushfire risks will not change on neighboring properties in the future.

Signed:

BASE

29 January 2019





## 1 Proposed Site Location Plan "Sandy Creek"

1.1 Proposed Construction Site Location Plan "Sandy Creek"







- 150m 100m Image © 2018 CNES / Airbus
- 2 Site Assessment showing the vegetation within 100m and 150m radius.



3 Classified vegetation plots within the 100m radius of the proposed dwelling (Centered for proposed Longhouse).





# Assessment of the vegetation within 100m in all directions.

| Vegetation<br>classification<br>(see Table 2.3)               | Plot 1   | Plot 2   | Plot 3  | Plot 4   | Plot 5  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Group A<br>Forest   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group B<br>Woodland   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group C<br>Shrub-land   | ~  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group D<br>Scrub  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group E<br>Mallee/Mulga                                       |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group F<br>Rainforest   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group G<br>Grassland  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusions<br>(where  | Circle relevant pa<br>(b) (c) (d) (e) (f)                  | ragraph descriptor<br>(b) (c) (d) (e) (f)                          | trom clause 2.2.3.2<br>(b) (c) (d) (e) (f)                                      | (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)  | (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| applicable)   |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance of the   |  | ified vegetation   | (soo clauso 2.2   | <b>A</b> )   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance to   | Show distances in  | meters   | (see clause 2.2.  | 4)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified  | 5m   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| vegetation  | •  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | •  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Determine the   | effective slope  | of land under t  | ne classified veg   | etation  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective slope   |  | T  | Upslope   | I  | I   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Unclone /0º  |  |   |  | Linelana /00                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slope under the   | Opsiope/0  | Upslope/0°   | Upslope/0°  | Upslope/0°   | Upsiope/0*                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Upslope/0°   | Upslope/0°<br>Downslope   | Upslope/0°   | Opsiope/0-                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5  | Upslope/0°   | Upslope/0°<br>Downslope<br>>0 to 5  | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5  | >0 to 5                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10  | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10                                  | Upslope/0° Downslope >0 to 5 >5 to 10   | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10                                  | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15                           | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15                     | Upslope/0°  Downslope >0 to 5 >5 to 10 >10 to 15                                | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15                     | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20              | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20        | Upslope/0°<br>Downslope<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20        | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20        | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15              |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20       | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | Upslope/0°<br>Downslope<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>30 | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation                                      | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20       | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | Upslope/0°<br>Downslope<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>30 | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| classified<br>vegetation<br>BAL value for<br>each side of the | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20<br>FZ | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | Upslope/0°  Downslope >0 to 5  >5 to 10 >10 to 15 >15 to 20 >30                 | Upslope/0°<br>>0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20<br>>20 | >0 to 5<br>>5 to 10<br>>10 to 15<br>>15 to 20 |  |  |  |  |  |



## 5 Photos of Classified Vegetation



**Class C Shrubland Classification or Exclusion** Plot 1 Clause **E** 90 1 • 1 W 270 SE . 1<mark>0</mark> SW 120 150 • | • | • | • | • | LAT: -35.954948 LON: 136.630615 ±5m ▲ 24m LAT: -35.955826 LON: 136.630890 ±5m ▲ 26m ug 2018 28 Aug 2018, 14 Photo ID: 3 Photo ID: 4



# 6 Location Map of photos





## 7 Reducing BAL Options

The indicative BAL rating for the proposed development (based on specified location) is BAL FZ, noting that this was from the plot 1 vegetation based on a minimal setback of 5m.

Options to reduce the BAL include

- Increasing the setback distance between the construction and the vegetation.
- Undertake Actual Fuel Hazard sampling for the site
- Selective location with lower OFH

Table B2 *Vegetation Classification and Fuel Loads* of the AS3959-2009 provides indicative Surface and Overall loads in Tonnes per hectare (t/ha). We found these to be over exaggerated and not representative of the actual (and potential) fuel loads at the site.

We completed fuel hazard assessments of the representative vegetation in and adjacent to the proposed development and determined appropriate fuel loads (refer table 1).

Our calculations below (refer Table 1) are based on the onsite fuel hazard assessments with an FDI of 120 and Kelvin 1200 and the potential BAL ratings can be compared with increased setback distances for consideration.

Table 1

| Vegetation<br>classification<br>(see clause<br>2.2.3) | AS3959-<br>2009<br>Surface<br>Fuel load<br>(t/ha) | AS3959-<br>2009<br>Overall<br>Fuel load<br>(t/ha) | Setback<br>distance | Current<br>BAL | Adjusted<br>Surface<br>Fuel load<br>(t/ha | Adjusted<br>Overall<br>Fuel load<br>(t/ha | Setback<br>Distance | Revised<br>BAL |
|---|---|---|---------------------|----------------|---|---|---------------------|----------------|
| Shrubland   | 15  | 15  | 5                   | FZ             | 10  | 10  | 10                  | FZ             |
|   |   |   |                     |                | 10  | 10  | 11                  | 40             |
|   |   |   |                     |                | 10  | 10  | 15                  | 29             |
|   |   |   |                     |                | 10  | 10  | 22                  | 19             |
|   |   |   |                     |                | 10  | 10  | 32                  | 12.5           |



Appendix 1 Property Location and highlighted High bushfire risk areas (pink) adjacent to Medium Bushfire risk areas (blue)



| NBC Bushfire Attack Assessment Report V3.0<br>AS3959 (2009) Appendix B - Detailed Method 2   |   |   |  |         |            |  |
|--|---|---|--|---------|------------|--|
| Print  | t Date:   | 31/01/2019  | Assessment Dat                           | e:      | 25/01/2019 |  |
| Site Street Address:   | KI AWC  | Sandy Creek 1 (Mod                                | derate Surface Fuels 5t/                 | ha), Sa | ndy Creek  |  |
| Assessor:  | Please F  | nter Your Name: Ple                               | ase Enter Your Compa                     | nv Nam  | )<br>Ie    |  |
| Local Government Area  | - CA  |   |  | ny nan  | No         |  |
| Ecuations Used   | I. 3A   |   | Alpille Alea.                            |         | NU         |  |
| Transmissivity: Fuss and<br>Flame Length: RFS PBP,<br>Rate of Fire Spread: Nob<br>Radiant Heat: Drysdale,<br>Peak Elevation of Receiv<br>Peak Flame Angle: Tan e | Hammins, 20<br>2001/Vesta/0<br>le et al., 1980<br>1985; Sullivar<br>er: Tan et al.,<br>et al., 2005 | 02<br>Catchpole<br>n et al., 2003; Tan et<br>2005 | al., 2005                                |         |            |  |
| Run Description:   | Guide Pod (   | ADJ FH surface N                                  | Noderate, FDI 1090k)                     |         |            |  |
| Vegetation Information   | <u>on</u>   |   |  |         |            |  |
| Vegetation Type:   | Shrubland/S   | Short Heath Ve                                    | egetation Group:                         | Shrub   | & Heath    |  |
| /egetation Slope:  | 12 Degrees  | Ve  | egetation Slope Type:                    | Upslo   | ре         |  |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha)  | : 5   | O   | verall Fuel Load(t/ha):                  | 5       |            |  |
| Vegetation Height(m):  | 1.5   | C   | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |         |            |  |
| Site Information   |   |   |  |         |            |  |
| Site Slope:  | 12 Degrees  | s Si  | te Slope Type:                           | Upslo   | pe         |  |
| Elevation of Receiver(m  | ): Default  | A   | PZ/Separation(m):                        | 3       |            |  |
| Fire Inputs  |   |   |  |         |            |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m):   | 100   | FI  | lame Temp(K)                             | 1090    |            |  |
| Calculation Paramete   | <u>rs</u>   |   |  |         |            |  |
| Flame Emissivity:  | 95  | R   | elative Humidity(%):                     | 25      |            |  |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/l  | <b>kg)</b> 18600  | A   | mbient Temp(K):                          | 308     |            |  |
| Noisture Factor:   | 5   | F   | DI:                                      | 120     |            |  |
| Program Outputs  |   |   |  |         |            |  |
| Category of Attack:  | FLAME ZONE  | E Pe  | eak Elevation of Recei                   | ver(m)  | : 1.8      |  |
| Level of Construction:   | BAL FZ  | Fi  | re Intensity(kW/m):                      |         | 3234       |  |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):   | 40.22   | FI  | ame Angle (degrees):                     |         | 47         |  |
| Flame Length(m):   | 3.19  | М   | aximum View Factor:                      |         | 0.59       |  |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h):   | 1.25  | In  | ner Protection Area(m                    | ):      | 3          |  |
| Transmissivity:  | 0.896   | 0   | uter Protection Area(m                   | n):     | 0          |  |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ice Moderate, FDI 1090k)  |                 |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                   |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:          | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):        | 7               |
| Fire Inputs                              |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1090            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE             | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 2.93    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19            | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 3234            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 16.25               | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 65              |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.243           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 7            |
| Transmissivity: 0.878                    | Outer Protection Area(m   | n): 0           |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ce Moderate, FDI 1090k)   |                 |
| Vegetation Information                   |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:          | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):        | 9               |
| Fire Inputs                              |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1090            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: LOW                  | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 3.39    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5          | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 3234            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 12.39               | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 68              |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.187           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 9            |
| Transmissivity: 0.87                     | Outer Protection Area(m   | n): 0           |
|  |                           |                 |

| ace Moderate, FDI 1090k)  |   |
|---|---|
|   |   |
| Vegetation Group:   | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope Type:  | Upslope   |
| Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5   |
| Only Applicable to Shrub  | Scrub and Vesta   |
|   |   |
| Site Slope Type:  | Upslope   |
| APZ/Separation(m):  | 5   |
|   |   |
| Flame Temp(K)   | 1090  |
|   |   |
| Relative Humidity(%):   | 25  |
| Ambient Temp(K):  | 308   |
| FDI:  | 120   |
|   |   |
| Peak Elevation of Recei   | ver(m): 2.44  |
| Fire Intensity(kW/m):   | 3234  |
| Flame Angle (degrees):  | 60  |
| Maximum View Factor:  | 0.346   |
| Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 5  |
| Outer Protection Area(n   | <b>n):</b> 0  |
| ace Moderate, FDI 1090k)  |   |
|   |   |
| Vegetation Group:   | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope Type:  | Downslope   |
| Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5   |
| Only Applicable to Shrub  | Scrub and Vesta   |
|   |   |
| Site Slene Type   |   |
| Site Slope Type.  | Downslope   |
| APZ/Separation(m):  | Downslope<br>10   |
| APZ/Separation(m):  | Downslope<br>10   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)   | Downslope<br>10<br>1090   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)   | Downslope<br>10<br>1090   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308  |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Recei   | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120<br>ver(m): 1.26   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Recei<br>Fire Intensity(kW/m):  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120<br>ver(m): 1.26<br>16943  |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Recei<br>Fire Intensity(kW/m):<br>Flame Angle (degrees):  | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120<br>ver(m): 1.26<br>16943<br>82  |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Recei<br>Fire Intensity(kW/m):<br>Flame Angle (degrees):<br>Maximum View Factor:                            | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120<br>ver(m): 1.26<br>16943<br>82<br>0.325   |
| APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Recei<br>Fire Intensity(kW/m):<br>Flame Angle (degrees):<br>Maximum View Factor:<br>Inner Protection Area(m | Downslope<br>10<br>1090<br>25<br>308<br>120<br>ver(m): 1.26<br>16943<br>82<br>0.325<br>): 10  |
|   | Vegetation Group:<br>Vegetation Slope Type:<br>Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):<br>Only Applicable to Shrub/<br>Site Slope Type:<br>APZ/Separation(m):<br>Flame Temp(K)<br>Relative Humidity(%):<br>Ambient Temp(K):<br>FDI:<br>Peak Elevation of Receir<br>Fire Intensity(kW/m):<br>Flame Angle (degrees):<br>Maximum View Factor:<br>Inner Protection Area(m<br>Outer Protection Area(m<br>Outer Protection Area(m<br>Outer Protection Area(m<br>Ace Moderate, FDI 1090k)<br>Vegetation Group:<br>Vegetation Slope Type:<br>Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):<br>Only Applicable to Shrub/ |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa       | ace Moderate, FDI 1090k)   |                 |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                         |                            |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath         | Vegetation Group:          | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type:     | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5                     | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/S | crub and Vesta  |
| Site Information                               |                            |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type:           | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m):         | 15              |
| Fire Inputs                                    |                            |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K)              | 1090            |
| Calculation Parameters                         |                            |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%):      | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K):           | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | FDI:                       | 120             |
| Program Outputs                                |                            |                 |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE                   | Peak Elevation of Receive  | er(m): 0.23     |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m):      | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 14.15                     | Flame Angle (degrees):     | 89              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                          | Maximum View Factor:       | 0.22            |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56                    | Inner Protection Area(m):  | 15              |
| Transmissivity: 0.847                          | Outer Protection Area(m)   | : 0             |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa       | ace Moderate, FDI 1090k)   |                 |
| Vegetation Information                         |                            |                 |
| Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath | Vegetation Group:          | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type:     | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5                     | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/S | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                               |                            |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type:           | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m):         | 4               |
| Fire Inputs                                    |                            |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K)              | 1090            |
| Calculation Parameters                         |                            |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%):      | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K):           | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | FDI:                       | 120             |
| Program Outputs                                |                            |                 |
| Category of Attack: VERY HIGH                  | Peak Elevation of Receive  | er(m): 2.16     |
| Level of Construction: BAL 40                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m):      | 3234            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 29.65                     | Flame Angle (degrees):     | 55              |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                          | Maximum View Factor:       | 0.437           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25                    | Inner Protection Area(m):  | 4               |
| Transmissivity: 0.891                          | Outer Protection Area(m)   | : 0             |
|  |                            |                 |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ce Moderate, FDI 1200k)                  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Vegetation Information                   |  |   |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |   |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               |   |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta | a |
| Site Information                         |  |   |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |   |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m): 4                     |   |
| Fire Inputs                              |  |   |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K) 1200                       |   |
| Calculation Parameters                   |  |   |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |   |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |   |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |   |
| Program Outputs                          |  |   |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE           | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.16      |   |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ            | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 3234               |   |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 43.83               | Flame Angle (degrees): 55                |   |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum View Factor: 0.437               |   |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m): 4              |   |
| Transmissivity: 0.897                    | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |   |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ce Moderate, FDI 1200k)                  |   |
| Vegetation Information                   |  |   |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |   |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               |   |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta | a |
| Site Information                         |  |   |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |   |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m): 13                    |   |
| Fire Inputs                              |  |   |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K) 1200                       |   |
| Calculation Parameters                   |  |   |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |   |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |   |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |   |
| Program Outputs                          |  |   |
| Category of Attack: LOW                  | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 4.27      |   |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5          | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 3234               |   |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 12.31               | Flame Angle (degrees): 71                |   |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum View Factor: 0.128               |   |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m): 13             |   |
| Transmissivity: 0.862                    | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |   |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ace Moderate, FDI 1200k)              |                 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                   | , , ,                                 |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:                     | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:                | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):              | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub              | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                                       |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:                      | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):                    | 9               |
| Fire Inputs                              |                                       | 0               |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)                         | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                 |
|  | Deletive Humidity(0/)                 | 05              |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Ambient Temp(K):                      | 20              |
|  | Ambient Temp(K):                      | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                                  | 120             |
|  | Pook Elevation of Poopi               | vor(m) = 2.20   |
| Level of Construction: DAL 10            |                                       | ver(m): 0.09    |
| Dedicate Heat/HW/m21: 19 24              | Fire intensity(Kw/m):                 | 5254            |
| Flowe Longth (m): 2.40                   | Flame Angle (degrees):                | 68              |
| Plame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum view Factor:                  | 0.187           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/n): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m               | ): 9            |
| Transmissivity: 0.876                    | Outer Protection Area(m               | n): 0           |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ace Moderate, FDI 1200k)              |                 |
| Vegetation Information                   |                                       |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:                     | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:                | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):              | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/             | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                                       |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:                      | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):                    | 5               |
| Fire Inputs                              |                                       |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)                         | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                                       |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%):                 | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K):                      | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                                  | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                                       |                 |
| Category of Attack: VERY HIGH            | Peak Elevation of Receiv              | ver(m): 2.44    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 40            | Fire Intensity(kW/m):                 | 3234            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 34.51               | Flame Angle (degrees):                | 60              |
| Flame Length(m): 3.19                    | Maximum View Factor:                  | 0.346           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25              | Inner Protection Area(m               | ): 5            |
| Transmissivity: 0.893                    | Outer Protection Area(m               | <b>ı):</b> 0    |
| •  |                                       | •               |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ace Moderate, FDI 1200k)  |                 |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                   | . ,                       |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:          | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):        | 5               |
| Fire Inputs                              |                           | -               |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humiditv(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(k.l/kg) 18600         | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Eactor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE           | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 1.9     |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ            | Fire Intensitv(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 61.98               | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 60              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.619           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56              | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 5            |
| Transmissivity: 0.896                    | Outer Protection Area(m   | ): 0            |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ce Moderate, FDI 1200k)   |                 |
| Vegetation Information                   |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 15                 | Only Applicable to Shrub  | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:          | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):        | 30              |
| Fire Inputs                              |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 05                     | Relative Humidity/%)      | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(k 1/kg) 18600         | Ambient Temp/K).          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           | .20             |
| Category of Attack: LOW                  | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 0       |
| Level of Construction: BAI 12.5          | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 9.51                | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 95              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.105           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56              | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 30           |
| Transmissivity: 0.813                    | Outer Protection Area/m   | ): 0            |
|  |                           | ·,- ·           |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa       | ice Moderate, FDI 1200k)  |                 |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                         |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5                     | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                               |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type:          | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m):        | 25              |
| Fire Inputs                                    |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                         |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                                |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: LOW                        | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 0       |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5                | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 11.89                     | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 93              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                          | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.129           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56                    | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 25           |
| Transmissivity: 0.825                          | Outer Protection Area(m   | <b>i):</b> 0    |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa       | ce Moderate, FDI 1200k)   |                 |
| Vegetation Information                         |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath         | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5                     | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                               |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type:          | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m):        | 20              |
| Fire Inputs                                    |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                         |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                                |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE                   | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 0       |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 15.38                     | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 92              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                          | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.164           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56                    | Inner Protection Area(m   | ): 20           |
| Transmissivity: 0.839                          | Outer Protection Area(m   | <b>):</b> 0     |
| -  | •                         |                 |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ EH surfa | ce Moderate EDI 1200k)    |                 |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                   |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vagatation Groups         | Shrub & Hoath   |
| Vegetation Type. 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Globa Type:    |                 |
| Surface Eucl Load(t/ba): 5               | Overall Evol Load(t/ba)   | 5               |
| Versitation Height(m): 15                |                           |                 |
| Site Information                         | Uniy Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Clane: 12 Degrees                   | Sita Slana Tuna:          | Downslope       |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | ABZ/Organization (m)      | Downsiope       |
|  | APZ/Separation(m):        | 15              |
|  |                           | 1000            |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: HIGH                 | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 0.23    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 29            | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 20.97               | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 89              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.22            |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56              | Inner Protection Area(m   | <b>):</b> 15    |
| Transmissivity: 0.854                    | Outer Protection Area(m   | <b>):</b> 0     |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (ADJ FH surfa | ce Moderate, FDI 1200k)   |                 |
| Vegetation Information                   |                           |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees             | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 5               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5               |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                         |                           |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Site Slope Type:          | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default        | APZ/Separation(m):        | 10              |
| Fire Inputs                              |                           |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                 | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                   |                           |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                     | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600          | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                       | FDI:                      | 120             |
| Program Outputs                          |                           |                 |
| Category of Attack: VERY HIGH            | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): 1.26    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 40            | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     | 16943           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 31.65               | Flame Angle (degrees):    | 82              |
| Flame Length(m): 6.83                    | Maximum View Factor:      | 0.325           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56              | Inner Protection Area(m   | <b>):</b> 10    |
| Transmissivity: 0.872                    | Outer Protection Area(m   | <b>):</b> 0     |
|  |                           |                 |

| Run Description:            | Guide Pod (ADJ FH surface Moderate, FDI 1200k) |                           |         |           |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------|-----------|--|--|
| Vegetation Information      | <u>on</u>                                      |                           |         |           |  |  |
| Vegetation Type:            | Shrubland/Short Heath                          | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub   | & Heath   |  |  |
| Vegetation Slope:           | 12 Degrees                                     | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Upslop  | be        |  |  |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha)     | : 5  | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 5       |           |  |  |
| Vegetation Height(m):       | 1.5  | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub a | and Vesta |  |  |
| Site Information            |  |                           |         |           |  |  |
| Site Slope:                 | 12 Degrees                                     | Site Slope Type:          | Upslo   | pe        |  |  |
| Elevation of Receiver(n     | <b>ı):</b> Default                             | APZ/Separation(m):        | 6       |           |  |  |
| Fire Inputs                 |  |                           |         |           |  |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m):        | 100  | Flame Temp(K)             | 1200    |           |  |  |
| <b>Calculation Paramete</b> | ers  |                           |         |           |  |  |
| Flame Emissivity:           | 95   | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25      |           |  |  |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/      | <b>kg)</b> 18600                               | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308     |           |  |  |
| Moisture Factor:            | 5  | FDI:                      | 120     |           |  |  |
| Program Outputs             |  |                           |         |           |  |  |
| Category of Attack:         | HIGH   | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): | 2.7       |  |  |
| Level of Construction:      | BAL 29   | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     |         | 3234      |  |  |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):        | 28.37  | Flame Angle (degrees):    |         | 63        |  |  |
| Flame Length(m):            | 3.19   | Maximum View Factor:      |         | 0.286     |  |  |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h):      | 1.25   | Inner Protection Area(m   | ):      | 6         |  |  |
| Transmissivity:             | 0.888  | Outer Protection Area(m   | ı):     | 0         |  |  |

| NBC Bushfire Attack Assessment Report V3.0  |  |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------------|----------|------------|
| $\mathbf{O}$  | Print Da   | ate:  | 31/01/2019                                |  | Assessment Da         | ate:     | 25/01/2019 |
| Site Street Address   | s:   | KI AWC S  | andv Creek 2 (                            | Hiah sı                                  | urface fuels 10 t/ha) | . Sandv  | Creek      |
| Accoscor:   |  | Please En   | tor Your Name                             | · Ploas                                  | e Enter Vour Comp     | any Nan  |            |
| ASSESSOL.   | A  |   |   | , rieasi                                 |                       | any ivan | No         |
| Local Government  | Area:  | 5A  |   |  | Alpine Area:          |          | INO        |
| Transmissivity: Fuss<br>Flame Length: RFS<br>Rate of Fire Spread:<br>Radiant Heat: Dryso<br>Peak Elevation of Re<br>Peak Flame Angle: | and Ha<br>PBP, 20<br>Noble e<br>dale, 198<br>eceiver:<br>Tan et al | mmins, 200<br>01/Vesta/Ca<br>et al., 1980<br>35; Sullivan<br>Tan et al., 2<br>., 2005 | 2<br>atchpole<br>et al., 2003; Ta<br>2005 | n et al.                                 | 2005                  |          |            |
| Run Description:  | Gu   | uide Pod (A   | dj FH, FDI 10                             | 00)                                      |                       |          |            |
| Vegetation Inform   | <u>nation</u>  |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
| Vegetation Type:  | S  | Shrubland/S   | hort Heath                                | Vege                                     | tation Group:         | Shrub    | & Heath    |
| Vegetation Slope:   | 1  | 2 Degrees   |   | Vege                                     | tation Slope Type     | : Down   | slope      |
| Surface Fuel Load(  | <b>t/ha):</b> 1  | 0   |   | Over                                     | all Fuel Load(t/ha)   | : 10     |            |
| Vegetation Height(r   | <b>n): 1</b> .   | .5  |   | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |                       |          |            |
| Site Information  |  |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
| Site Slope:   | 1  | 2 Degrees   |   | Site                                     | Slope Type:           | Down     | islope     |
| Elevation of Receiv   | / <b>er(m):</b> [  | Default   |   | APZ/                                     | Separation(m):        | 5        |            |
| Fire Inputs   |  |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
| Veg./Flame Width(n  | <b>n):</b> 1   | 100   |   | Flam                                     | e Temp(K)             | 1090     |            |
| Calculation Paran   | neters   |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
| Flame Emissivity:   |  | 95  |   | Relat                                    | ive Humidity(%):      | 25       |            |
| Heat of Combustior  | n(kJ/kg)   | 18600   |   | Amb                                      | ient Temp(K):         | 308      |            |
| Moisture Factor:  |  | 5   |   | FDI:                                     |                       | 100      |            |
| Program Outputs   |  |   |   |  |                       |          |            |
| Category of Attack:   | FLA  | AME ZONE  |   | Peak                                     | Elevation of Rece     | eiver(m) | : 1.63     |
| Level of Constructi   | on: BAI  | L FZ  |   | Fire                                     | ntensity(kW/m):       |          | 33885      |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m   | <b>2):</b> 58.3  | 34  |   | Flam                                     | e Angle (degrees)     | :        | 35         |
| Flame Length(m):  | 9.4  |   |   | Maxi                                     | mum View Factor       |          | 0.853      |
| Rate Of Spread (km  | <b>h):</b> 6.5   | 6   |   | Inne                                     | Protection Area(      | n):      | 5          |
| Transmissivity:   | 0.9  |   |   | Oute                                     | r Protection Area(    | m):      | 0          |

| Vegetation Information         Vegetation Type:       Shrubland/Short Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Area       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       Site Slope:       Upslope         Site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Upslope         Elevation of Receiver(m): Default       APZ/Separation(m):       5         Fire Inputs       Yeg./Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       File       120       Program Outputs         Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       5         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope         Surface Subjesc:       12 Degrees       Site Slope  | Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                |                 |
|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Type:       Shrubland/Short Heath       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Upslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       Site Slope:       Upslope         Elevation of Receiver(m): Default       APZ/Separation(m):       5         Fire Inputs       Yeg/Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       FID:       120       120         Program Outputs       Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       0         Fransmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       5         Furances Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Slope: </th <th>Vegetation Information</th> <th></th> <th></th>                              | Vegetation Information                    |                            |                 |
| Vegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:UpslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation Height(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite InformationSite Slope Type:UpslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):5Fine InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(k.//kg)18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Category of Attack:VERY HIGHPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.82Level of Construction:BAL 40Fire Intensity(kW/m):6469Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.68Flame Angle (degrees):53Flame Length(m):4.39Maximum View Feator:0Run Description:Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)Vegetation Slope Type:0Vegetation Information12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):1.0Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation field(tm):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Information12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeVegetation Information12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeVegetation Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:Downslope <td< th=""><th>Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath</th><th>Vegetation Group:</th><th>Shrub &amp; Heath</th></td<>   | Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group:          | Shrub & Heath   |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       Site Slope Type:       Upslope         Elevation of Receiver(m): Default       APZ/Separation(m):       5         Calculation Parameters       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Ratiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.8   | Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type:     | Upslope         |
| Vegetation Height(m):         1.5         Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta           Site Information         Site Slope Type:         Upslope           Elevation of Receiver(m):         Default         APZ/Separation(m):         5           Eire Inputs         Veg/Flame Width(m):         100         Flame Temp(K)         1090           Calculation Parameters         Flame Temp(K)         1090         Calculation Parameters           Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25           Heat of Combustion(KJ/Kg) 18600         Ambient Temp(K)         308           Moisture Factor:         5         FDi:         120           Program Outputs         Category of Attack:         VERY HIGH         Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):         2.82           Level of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(KW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(KW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate Of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         5           Transmissivity:         0.889         Outer Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K  | Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 10              |
| Site InformationSite InformationI2 DegreesSite Slope Type:UpslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):5Fire InputsI00Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Temp(K)308Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(KJ/Kg)18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsFree Intensity(KW/m):6469Ratant Heat(KW/m2):32.68Flame Angle (degrees):53Flame Length(m):4.39Maximum View Factor:0Rate of Spread (km/h):1.25Inner Protection Area(m):5Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):0Vegetation InformationShrubland/Short HeathVegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope: Top DewnslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):1.0Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):1.0Vegetation Height(m):1.5Oly Applicable to Shrub / Scrub and VestaSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire Inputs95Relative Humidity(%):2.5Veg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation HeathVegetation Group:Shrub & ReathVegetation Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSite   | Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/S | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Slope:         12 Degrees         Site Slope Type:         Upslope           Elevation of Receiver(m):         Default         APZ/Separation(m):         5           Fire Inputs         Veg/Flame Width(m):         100         Flame Temp(K)         1090           Calculation Parameters         Flame Temp(K)         1090         Calculation Parameters           Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25           Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg)         18600         Ambient Temp(K):         308           Moisture Factor:         5         FDI:         120           Program Outputs         Category of Attack:         VERY HIGH         Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):         2.82           Level of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(KW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(KW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate Of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         5           Transmissivity:         0.889         Outer Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope   | Site Information                          |                            |                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         APZ/Separation(m):         5           Fire Inputs         Veg/Flame Width(m):         100         Flame Temp(K)         1090           Calculation Parameters         Flame Temp(K)         1090         Calculation Parameters           Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25           Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg)         18600         Ambient Temp(K):         308           Moisture Factor:         5         FDI:         120           Program Outputs         Category of Attack:         VERY HIGH         Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):         2.82           Level of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(kW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(kW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate Of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope           Vegetation Information         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):         10         Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):         10           Vegetation flope:         12 Degrees         Site Slope Type:         <                              | Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type:           | Upslope         |
| Fire Inputs         Yeg./Flame Width(m):         100         Flame Temp(K)         1090           Calculation Parameters         Flame Temp(K):         25           Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25           Heat of Combustion(KJ/Kg) 18600         Ambient Temp(K):         308           Moisture Factor:         5         FDI:         120           Program Outputs         Eate of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(KW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(KW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         5           Transmissivity:         0.889         Outer Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Slope:         10           Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope           Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):         10         Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):         10         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope           Site Information         12         Degrees         Site Slope Type:         Downs  | Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m):         | 5               |
| Veg./Flame Width(m):         100         Flame Temp(K)         1090           Calculation Parameters         Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25           Flame Emissivity:         95         Ambient Temp(K):         308           Moisture Factor:         5         FDI:         120           Program Outputs         Category of Attack:         VERY HIGH         Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):         2.82           Level of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(kW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(kW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate Of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         5           Transmissivity:         0.889         Outer Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope           Vegetation Information         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):         10           Vegetation Height(m):         1.5         Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information         Site Slope:         1090           Site                                    | Fire Inputs                               |                            |                 |
| Calculation Parameters         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Woisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       0         Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)       Vegetation Information         Vegetation Information       Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees         Site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m): Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9       Fire Inputs         Veg_/Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090       Category o   | Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K)              | 1090            |
| Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg)       18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       0         Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)       Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Vegetation Slope:       10         Vegetation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9       Fire Inputs         Veg/Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       109       Category of Attack:       FLAME ZONE         Program Outputs       5       FDI:  | Calculation Parameters                    |                            |                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:VERY HIGHPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.82Level of Construction:BAL 40Fire Intensity(kW/m):6469Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.68Flame Angle (degrees):53Flame Length(m):4.39Maximum View Factor:0.483Rate Of Spread (km/h):1.25Inner Protection Area(m):5Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):0Vegetation InformationShrubland/Short HeathVegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Type:Shrubland/Short HeathVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation flopmation1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fine Inputs100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation Parametrs5FDI:120Program Outputs5Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW  | Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%):      | 25              |
| Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Category of Attack:       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       0         Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Vegetation Information       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope       Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta       Site Slope:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9       Fire Inputs         Veg/Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1000       Galculation Parameters         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25       Site Slope Type:       33885  | Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K):           | 308             |
| Program Outputs       VERY HIGH       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.82         Level of Construction:       BAL 40       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       6469         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.68       Flame Angle (degrees):       53         Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor:       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       0         Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Information       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Site Information       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope       Elevation of Receiver(m): Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fire Inputs       100       Flame Temp(K)       1000       Calculation Parameters         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25       Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120 <th>Moisture Factor: 5</th> <td>FDI:</td> <td>120</td> | Moisture Factor: 5                        | FDI:                       | 120             |
| Category of Attack:         VERY HIGH         Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):         2.82           Level of Construction:         BAL 40         Fire Intensity(kW/m):         6469           Radiant Heat(kW/m2):         32.68         Flame Angle (degrees):         53           Flame Length(m):         4.39         Maximum View Factor:         0.483           Rate Of Spread (km/h):         1.25         Inner Protection Area(m):         5           Transmissivity:         0.889         Outer Protection Area(m):         0           Run Description:         Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Information         Vegetation Slope:         12 Degrees           Vegetation Slope:         12 Degrees         Vegetation Slope Type:         Downslope           Site Information         1.5         Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta           Site Slope:         12 Degrees         Site Slope Type:         Downslope           Elevation of Receiver(m):         Default         APZ/Separation(m):         9         Fire Intensity(K):           Site Slope:         12 Degrees         Filame Temp(K)         1090         Calculation Parameters           Flame Emissivity:         95         Relative Humidity(%):         25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600         Ambient Temp(K):         <     | Program Outputs                           |                            |                 |
| Level of Construction:BAL 40Fire Intensity(kW/m):6469Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.68Flame Angle (degrees):53Flame Length(m):4.39Maximum View Factor:0.483Rate Of Spread (km/h):1.25Inner Protection Area(m):5Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):5Run Description:Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)Vegetation Information:Vegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Information:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation Height(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire Inputs100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation Parameters:5FDI:120Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program Outputs5Fine Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Category of Attack: VERY HIGH             | Peak Elevation of Receiv   | ver(m): 2.82    |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.68Flame Angle (degrees):53Flame Length(m):4.39Maximum View Factor:0.483Rate Of Spread (km/h):1.25Inner Protection Area(m):5Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):0Run Description:Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)Vegetation Area(m):0Vegetation Information:Shrubland/Short HeathVegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation of Receiver(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Information:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Veg/Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation Parameters5FDI:120Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Program Outputs5FDI:120Category of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.63Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874 <th>Level of Construction: BAL 40</th> <td>Fire Intensity(kW/m):</td> <td>6469</td>  | Level of Construction: BAL 40             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):      | 6469            |
| Flame Length(m):       4.39       Maximum View Factor::       0.483         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       1.25       Inner Protection Area(m):       5         Transmissivity:       0.889       Outer Protection Area(m):       0         Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)       Vegetation Area(m):       0         Vegetation Information       Shrubland/Short Heath       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation of Receiver(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fine Inputs       Veg./Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       FDI:       120       -         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K)       308       -         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120       -         Program Outp  | Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 32.68                | Flame Angle (degrees):     | 53              |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25Inner Protection Area(m):5Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):0Run Description:Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)Vegetation InformationVegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation of Receiver(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire Inputs100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation Parameters5FDI:120Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsSi2.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Category of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9   | Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor:       | 0.483           |
| Transmissivity:0.889Outer Protection Area(m):0Run Description:Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)Vegetation InformationVegetation Type:Shrubland/Short HeathVegetation Group:Shrub & HeathVegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation Height(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite Informationsite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m): DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire Inputs100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Temp(K)1090Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsSite Slope2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9   | Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m)   | : 5             |
| Run Description:       Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 120, K 1090)         Vegetation Information       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fire Inputs       Veg./Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Strue Zone       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.53       2.53         Level of Construction:       BAL FZ       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       33885         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.02       Flame Angle (degrees):       71         Flame Length(m):       9.4  | Transmissivity: 0.889                     | Outer Protection Area(m    | ): 0            |
| Vegetation Information         Vegetation Type:       Shrubland/Short Heath       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fire Inputs       Veg/Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       120       Site Slope Type:       3385         Category of Attack:       FLAME ZONE       Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.53         Level of Construction:       BAL FZ       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       33885         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.02       Flame Angle (degrees):       71         Flame Length(m):       9.4       Maximum View Factor: <t< th=""><th>Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1</th><th>20, K 1090)</th><th></th></t<>              | Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                |                 |
| Vegetation Type:       Shrubland/Short Heath       Vegetation Group:       Shrub & Heath         Vegetation Slope:       12 Degrees       Vegetation Slope Type:       Downslope         Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):       10       Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       10         Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fire Inputs       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       soa       308         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       sa3885       sa3885         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.02       Flame Angle (degrees):       71         Flame Length(m):       9.4       Maximum View Factor:       0.482         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       6.56       Inner Protection Area(m):       9 <tr< th=""><th>Vegetation Information</th><th></th><th></th></tr<>   | Vegetation Information                    |                            |                 |
| Vegetation Slope:12 DegreesVegetation Slope Type:DownslopeSurface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation Height(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite InformationSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m): DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire InputsVeg/Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Temp(K)1090Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0   | Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group:          | Shrub & Heath   |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha):10Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):10Vegetation Height(m):1.5Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and VestaSite InformationSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Vegetation Slope:         12 Degrees      | Vegetation Slope Type:     | Downslope       |
| Vegetation Height(m):       1.5       Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta         Site Information       Site Slope:       12 Degrees       Site Slope Type:       Downslope         Elevation of Receiver(m):       Default       APZ/Separation(m):       9         Fire Inputs       Veg./Flame Width(m):       100       Flame Temp(K)       1090         Calculation Parameters       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Flame Emissivity:       95       Relative Humidity(%):       25         Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600       Ambient Temp(K):       308         Moisture Factor:       5       FDI:       120         Program Outputs       Ste Elevation of Receiver(m):       2.53         Level of Construction:       BAL FZ       Fire Intensity(kW/m):       33885         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       32.02       Flame Angle (degrees):       71         Flame Length(m):       9.4       Maximum View Factor:       0.482         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       6.56       Inner Protection Area(m):       9         Transmissivity:       0.874       Outer Protection Area(m):       0  | Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 10              |
| Site InformationSite Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/  | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Slope:12 DegreesSite Slope Type:DownslopeElevation of Receiver(m):DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Temp(K)1090Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0   | Site Information                          |                            |                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): DefaultAPZ/Separation(m):9Fire InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program Outputs120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0   | Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type:           | Downslope       |
| Fire InputsVeg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg)18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program Outputs120Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Category of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0   | Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m):         | 9               |
| Veg./Flame Width(m):100Flame Temp(K)1090Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program Outputs120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Fire Inputs                               |                            |                 |
| Calculation ParametersFlame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K)              | 1090            |
| Flame Emissivity:95Relative Humidity(%):25Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Category of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Calculation Parameters                    |                            |                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600Ambient Temp(K):308Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%):      | 25              |
| Moisture Factor:5FDI:120Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K):           | 308             |
| Program OutputsCategory of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Moisture Factor: 5                        | FDI:                       | 120             |
| Category of Attack:FLAME ZONEPeak Elevation of Receiver(m):2.53Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0   | Program Outputs                           |                            |                 |
| Level of Construction:BAL FZFire Intensity(kW/m):33885Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE            | Peak Elevation of Receiv   | er(m): 2.53     |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):32.02Flame Angle (degrees):71Flame Length(m):9.4Maximum View Factor:0.482Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56Inner Protection Area(m):9Transmissivity:0.874Outer Protection Area(m):0  | Level of Construction: BAL FZ             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):      | 33885           |
| Flame Length(m):       9.4       Maximum View Factor:       0.482         Rate Of Spread (km/h):       6.56       Inner Protection Area(m):       9         Transmissivity:       0.874       Outer Protection Area(m):       0  | Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 32.02                | Flame Angle (degrees):     | 71              |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56     Inner Protection Area(m):     9       Transmissivity:     0.874     Outer Protection Area(m):     0  | Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor:       | 0.482           |
| Transmissivity: 0.874 Outer Protection Area(m): 0  | Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m)   | : 9             |
|  | Transmissivity: 0.874                     | Outer Protection Area(m    | ): 0            |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1  | 20, K 1090)  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Vegetation Information   |  |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath  |  |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees   | Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope   |  |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  |  |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5  | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta   |  |
| Site Information   |  |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees   | Site Slope Type: Downslope   |  |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default  | APZ/Separation(m): 10  |  |
| Fire Inputs  |  |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100   | Flame Temp(K) 1090   |  |
| Calculation Parameters   |  |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95   | Relative Humidity(%): 25   |  |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600  | Ambient Temp(K): 308   |  |
| Moisture Factor: 5   | <b>FDI:</b> 120  |  |
| Program Outputs  |  |  |
| Category of Attack: HIGH   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.39  |  |
| Level of Construction: BAL 29  | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 33885  |  |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 28.87   | Flame Angle (degrees): 74  |  |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4   | Maximum View Factor: 0.437   |  |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56  | Inner Protection Area(m): 10   |  |
| Transmissivity: 0.869  | Outer Protection Area(m): 0  |  |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1  | 20, K 1090)  |  |
| Vegetation Information   |  |  |
| Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath  |  |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees   | Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope   |  |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  |  |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5  | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta   |  |
| Site Information   |  |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees   | Site Slope Type: Downslope   |  |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default  | APZ/Separation(m): 16  |  |
| Fire Inputs  |  |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100   | Flame Temp(K) 1090   |  |
| Calculation Parameters   |  |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95   | Relative Humidity(%): 25   |  |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600  | Ambient Temp(K): 308   |  |
| Moisture Factor: 5   | <b>FDI:</b> 120  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Program Outputs  |  |  |
| Program Outputs<br>Category of Attack: MODERATE  | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 1.28  |  |
| Program Outputs<br>Category of Attack: MODERATE<br>Level of Construction: BAL 19   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):1.28Fire Intensity(kW/m):33885   |  |
| Program Outputs         Category of Attack:       MODERATE         Level of Construction:       BAL 19         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       17.94                                    | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):1.28Fire Intensity(kW/m):33885Flame Angle (degrees):85   |  |
| Program Outputs         Category of Attack:       MODERATE         Level of Construction:       BAL 19         Radiant Heat(kW/m2):       17.94         Flame Length(m):       9.4 | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):1.28Fire Intensity(kW/m):33885Flame Angle (degrees):85Maximum View Factor:0.279                            |  |
| Program OutputsCategory of Attack:MODERATELevel of Construction:BAL 19Radiant Heat(kW/m2):17.94Flame Length(m):9.4Rate Of Spread (km/h):6.56                                       | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):1.28Fire Intensity(kW/m):33885Flame Angle (degrees):85Maximum View Factor:0.279Inner Protection Area(m):16 |  |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                              |
|---|--|
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Downslope               |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 23                    |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1090                       |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humiditv(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: LOW                   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 0         |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5           | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 33885              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 12.11                | Flame Angle (degrees): 89                |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor: 0.194               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m): 23             |
| Transmissivity: 0.823                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                              |
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 6                     |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1090                       |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: HIGH                  | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 3.12      |
| Level of Construction: BAL 29             | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 6469               |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 26.85                | Flame Angle (degrees): 57                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor: 0.399               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m): 6              |
| Transmissivity: 0.88/                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
|   | ( )                                      |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                              |
|---|--|
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 4                     |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1090                       |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE            | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 2.43      |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ             | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 6469               |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 41.41                | Flame Angle (degrees): 46                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor: 0.609               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m): 4              |
| Transmissivity: 0.894                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                              |
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 13                    |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1090                       |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humiditv(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: LOW                   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 4.8       |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5           | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 6469               |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 11.55                | Flame Angle (degrees): 68                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor: 0.177               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m): 13             |
| Transmissivity: 0.856                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
|   |  |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1090)                  |                   |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Vegetation Information                    |                              |                   |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Sh         | rub & Heath       |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Up    | oslope            |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  |                   |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scr | ub and Vesta      |
| Site Information                          |                              |                   |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Up          | oslope            |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 9         |                   |
| Fire Inputs                               |                              |                   |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 10             | 90                |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                              |                   |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25     |                   |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 30          | 8                 |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 12               | 0                 |
| Program Outputs                           |                              |                   |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE              | Peak Elevation of Receiver   | ( <b>m):</b> 3.89 |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):        | 6469              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 17.28                | Flame Angle (degrees):       | 64                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor:         | 0.261             |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m):    | 9                 |
| Transmissivity: 0.871                     | Outer Protection Area(m):    | 0                 |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1200)                  |                   |
| Vegetation Information                    |                              |                   |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Sh         | rub & Heath       |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Do    | ownslope          |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10  |                   |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scr | ub and Vesta      |
| Site Information                          |                              |                   |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Do          | ownslope          |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 15        | 5                 |
| Fire Inputs                               |                              |                   |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 12             | 200               |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                              |                   |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25     |                   |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 30          | 8                 |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 12               | 0                 |
| Program Outputs                           |                              |                   |
| Category of Attack: HIGH                  | Peak Elevation of Receiver   | ( <b>m):</b> 1.49 |
| Level of Construction: BAL 29             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):        | 33885             |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 28.43                | Flame Angle (degrees):       | 84                |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor:         | 0.297             |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m):    | 15                |
|   | inner Frotection Area(in).   | 10                |
| Transmissivity: 0.856                     | Outer Protection Area(m):    | 0                 |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | I20, K 1200)                     |          |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|
| Vegetation Information                    |                                  |          |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub &        | k Heath  |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Downsl    | ope      |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10      |          |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub a | nd Vesta |
| Site Information                          |                                  |          |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Downs           | оре      |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 11            |          |
| Fire Inputs                               |                                  |          |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | <b>Flame Temp(K)</b> 1200        |          |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                                  |          |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25         |          |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308             |          |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                  |          |
| Program Outputs                           |                                  |          |
| Category of Attack: VERY HIGH             | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):   | 2.24     |
| Level of Construction: BAL 40             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):            | 33885    |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 38.89                | Flame Angle (degrees):           | 77       |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor:             | 0.4      |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m):        | 11       |
| Transmissivity: 0.871                     | Outer Protection Area(m):        | 0        |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 120, K 1200)                     |          |
| Vegetation Information                    |                                  |          |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub 8        | k Heath  |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Downsl    | ope      |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10      |          |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub a | nd Vesta |
| Site Information                          | <b>0</b> '' <b>0 T</b>           | 1        |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Downs           | ope      |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 10            |          |
| Fire inputs                               |                                  |          |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1200               |          |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                                  |          |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25         |          |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308             |          |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                  |          |
| Program Outputs                           |                                  |          |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE            | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m):   | 2.39     |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):            | 33885    |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 42.73                | Flame Angle (degrees):           | 74       |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor:             | 0.437    |
| Poto Of Sprood (km/b); CEC                |                                  | 4.0      |
|   | Inner Protection Area(m):        | 10       |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1200)                              |
|---|--|
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Downslope               |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 5                     |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K) 1200                       |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE            | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 1.63      |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ             | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 33885              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 86.21                | Flame Angle (degrees): 35                |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor: 0.853               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m): 5              |
| Transmissivity: 0.905                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1200)                              |
| Vegetation Information                    |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type: Downslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type: Downslope               |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m): 32                    |
| Fire Inputs                               |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | <b>Flame Temp(K)</b> 1200                |
| Calculation Parameters                    |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                           |  |
| Category of Attack: LOW                   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 0         |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5           | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 33885              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 12.09                | Flame Angle (degrees): 92                |
| Flame Length(m): 9.4                      | Maximum View Factor: 0.134               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56               | Inner Protection Area(m): 32             |
| Transmissivity: 0.809                     | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
|   |  |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1   | 20, K 1200)  |                 |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information  |  |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath  | Vegetation Group:  | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees  | Vegetation Slope Type:   | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10   | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5   | Only Applicable to Shrub/  | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information  |  |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees  | Site Slope Type:   | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default   | APZ/Separation(m):   | 18              |
| Fire Inputs   |  |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100  | Flame Temp(K)  | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters  |  |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95  | Relative Humidity(%):  | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600   | Ambient Temp(K):   | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5  | FDI:   | 120             |
| Program Outputs   |  |                 |
| Category of Attack: LOW   | Peak Elevation of Receiv   | /er(m): 5.9     |
| Level of Construction: BAL 12.5   | Fire Intensity(kW/m):  | 6469            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 11.9   | Flame Angle (degrees):   | 71              |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39   | Maximum View Factor:   | 0.126           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25   | Inner Protection Area(m)   | : 18            |
| Transmissivity: 0.847   | Outer Protection Area(m  | ): 0            |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1   | 20, K 1200)  |                 |
| Vegetation Information  |  |                 |
| Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath                                    | Vegetation Group:  | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees  | Vegetation Slope Type:   | Downslope       |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10   | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):   | 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5   | Only Applicable to Shrub/  | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information  |  |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees  | Site Slope Type:   | Downslope       |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default   | APZ/Separation(m):   | 22              |
| Fire Inputs   |  |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100  | Flame Temp(K)  | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters  |  |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95  | Relative Humidity(%):  | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600   | Ambient Temp(K):   | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5  | FDI:   | 120             |
| Program Outputs   |  |                 |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE  | Peak Elevation of Receiv   | /er(m): 0.02    |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19   | Fire Intensity(kW/m):  | 33885           |
|   |  | 80              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 18.88  | Flame Angle (degrees):   | 09              |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):18.88Flame Length(m):9.4                                      | Flame Angle (degrees):<br>Maximum View Factor:                             | 0.203           |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 18.88<br>Flame Length(m): 9.4<br>Rate Of Spread (km/h): 6.56 | Flame Angle (degrees):<br>Maximum View Factor:<br>Inner Protection Area(m) | 0.203<br>): 22  |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1      | 20, K 1200)                              |
|--|--|
| Vegetation Information                         |  |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath         | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10                    | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                               |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m): 12                    |
| Fire Inputs                                    |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K) 1200                       |
| Calculation Parameters                         |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                                |  |
| Category of Attack: MODERATE                   | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 4.58      |
| Level of Construction: BAL 19                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 6469               |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 18.68                     | Flame Angle (degrees): 68                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                          | Maximum View Factor: 0.193               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25                    | Inner Protection Area(m): 12             |
| Transmissivity: 0.867                          | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1      | 20, K 1200)                              |
| Vegetation Information                         |  |
| Vegetation Type:         Shrubland/Short Heath | Vegetation Group: Shrub & Heath          |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees                   | Vegetation Slope Type: Upslope           |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10                    | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha): 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                      | Only Applicable to Shrub/Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                               |  |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                         | Site Slope Type: Upslope                 |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default              | APZ/Separation(m): 9                     |
| Fire Inputs                                    |  |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                       | Flame Temp(K) 1200                       |
| Calculation Parameters                         |  |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                           | Relative Humidity(%): 25                 |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600                | Ambient Temp(K): 308                     |
| Moisture Factor: 5                             | <b>FDI:</b> 120                          |
| Program Outputs                                |  |
| Category of Attack: HIGH                       | Peak Elevation of Receiver(m): 3.89      |
| Level of Construction: BAL 29                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m): 6469               |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 25.58                     | Flame Angle (degrees): 64                |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                          | Maximum View Factor: 0.261               |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25                    | Inner Protection Area(m): 9              |
| Transmissivity: 0.878                          | Outer Protection Area(m): 0              |
|  |  |

| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1200)                    |                 |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Vegetation Information                    |                                |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group:              | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type:         | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       | 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/S     | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          |                                |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type:               | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m):             | 6               |
| Fire Inputs                               |                                |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K)                  | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                                |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%):          | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K):               | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | FDI:                           | 120             |
| Program Outputs                           |                                |                 |
| Category of Attack: VERY HIGH             | Peak Elevation of Receiv       | er(m): 3.12     |
| Level of Construction: BAL 40             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):          | 6469            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 39.71                | Flame Angle (degrees):         | 57              |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor:           | 0.399           |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m)       | : 6             |
| Transmissivity: 0.89                      | Outer Protection Area(m)       | ): 0            |
| Run Description: Guide Pod (Adj FH, FDI 1 | 20, K 1200)                    |                 |
| Vegetation Information                    |                                |                 |
| Vegetation Type: Shrubland/Short Heath    | Vegetation Group:              | Shrub & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope: 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type:         | Upslope         |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha): 10               | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):       | 10              |
| Vegetation Height(m): 1.5                 | Only Applicable to Shrub/S     | Scrub and Vesta |
| Site Information                          | <b>a</b> . <b>a</b> . <b>-</b> |                 |
| Site Slope: 12 Degrees                    | Site Slope Type:               | Upslope         |
| Elevation of Receiver(m): Default         | APZ/Separation(m):             | 5               |
| Fire Inputs                               |                                |                 |
| Veg./Flame Width(m): 100                  | Flame Temp(K)                  | 1200            |
| Calculation Parameters                    |                                |                 |
| Flame Emissivity: 95                      | Relative Humidity(%):          | 25              |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/kg) 18600           | Ambient Temp(K):               | 308             |
| Moisture Factor: 5                        | FDI:                           | 120             |
| Program Outputs                           |                                |                 |
| Category of Attack: FLAME ZONE            | Peak Elevation of Receiv       | er(m): 2.82     |
| Level of Construction: BAL FZ             | Fire Intensity(kW/m):          | 6469            |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2): 48.31                | Flame Angle (degrees):         | 53              |
| Flame Length(m): 4.39                     | Maximum View Factor:           | 0.483           |
| name Lengen(m).                           |                                |                 |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h): 1.25               | Inner Protection Area(m)       | : 5             |

| Run Description:            | Guide Pod Sample plot 1 |                           |         |           |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Vegetation Information      | <u>on</u>               |                           |         |           |
| Vegetation Type:            | Shrubland/Short Heath   | Vegetation Group:         | Shrub   | & Heath   |
| Vegetation Slope:           | 12 Degrees              | Vegetation Slope Type:    | Downs   | lope      |
| Surface Fuel Load(t/ha)     | : 15                    | Overall Fuel Load(t/ha):  | 15      |           |
| Vegetation Height(m):       | 1.5                     | Only Applicable to Shrub/ | Scrub a | and Vesta |
| Site Information            |                         |                           |         |           |
| Site Slope:                 | 12 Degrees              | Site Slope Type:          | Downs   | slope     |
| Elevation of Receiver(n     | n): Default             | APZ/Separation(m):        | 5       |           |
| Fire Inputs                 |                         |                           |         |           |
| Veg./Flame Width(m):        | 100                     | Flame Temp(K)             | 1090    |           |
| <b>Calculation Paramete</b> | rs                      |                           |         |           |
| Flame Emissivity:           | 95                      | Relative Humidity(%):     | 25      |           |
| Heat of Combustion(kJ/      | <b>kg)</b> 18600        | Ambient Temp(K):          | 308     |           |
| Moisture Factor:            | 5                       | FDI:                      | 80      |           |
| Program Outputs             |                         |                           |         |           |
| Category of Attack:         | FLAME ZONE              | Peak Elevation of Receiv  | ver(m): | 0.11      |
| Level of Construction:      | BAL FZ                  | Fire Intensity(kW/m):     |         | 50828     |
| Radiant Heat(kW/m2):        | 76.03                   | Flame Angle (degrees):    |         | 12        |
| Flame Length(m):            | 11.33                   | Maximum View Factor:      |         | 1         |
| Rate Of Spread (km/h):      | 6.56                    | Inner Protection Area(m   | ):      | 5         |
| Transmissivity:             | 1                       | Outer Protection Area(m   | ı):     | 0         |

Sandy Creek Fuel Hazard Assessments



Overall Fuel Hazard record sheet



Sampling Surface Fuels



Representative Surface Fuel Structure



Representative Elevated Fuel Hazard Structure



**APPENDIX C** 

# **RESPONSE PREPARED BY RMP ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD**



18 February 2019

Kayla Gaskin Town Planning Consultant Fyfe 124 South Tce, Adelaide SA 5000

Dear Kayla,

Following is the response to initial comments from the Native Vegetation Management Branch regarding native vegetation clearance aspects of the proposed Kangaroo Island Walk Development Application by the Tasmanian Walking Company. This is covered in the Mitigation Hierarchy section of the Data Report accompanying the Native Vegetation Clearance application.

# a) Avoidance – outline measures taken to avoid clearance of native vegetation such as making adjustments to the location, design, size or scale of the activity in order to reduce the impact.

From project inception, National Parks SA and KIWT staff have been involved in the design processes and advice has been taken regarding sensitive vegetation, logistics and operational matters.

This project is directed at providing an alternative visitor experience for walkers on the Kangaroo Island Wilderness Trail. To that end, the location and design of the overnight accommodation is central to the overall experience. Architecture and operation of the facilities follows the guiding principles of:

- Minimisation of environmental impact
- Optimisation of social impact through positive interaction between people and a wilderness environment
- Optimisation of a unique visitor experience
- Cost-effectiveness

They are nestled into the contours, and built from low maintenance materials using low impact construction methods.

Provision of these accommodation facilities will not add to the overall number of people walking the KIWT. There will be groups of up to 14 people at a time undertaking guided walking tours over the entire length of the KIWT, accompanied by two trained, experienced guides. Walking the KIWT is a popular recreational activity and this project provides another choice to broaden the available visitor experience. Site location and building positioning has favoured sites that are either already cleared of vegetation or have sparse or patchy vegetation cover, and areas of high quality intact and sensitive native vegetation have been avoided.

A major factor driving building design was the need to minimise impacts during construction as well as for durability in ongoing use. Prefabrication and concrete-free footing techniques will minimise site time and peripheral construction damage to the site.

#### b) Minimization – if clearance cannot be avoided, outline measures taken to minimize the extent, duration and intensity of impacts of the clearance on biodiversity to the fullest possible extent.

CAMPSITE LOCATION SELECTION

Alternative sites at both Sanderson Bay and Sandy Creek were considered, including some requiring shorter access tracks. Refer to drawings in Attachments 3 and 4. The drawings detail positives and negatives for all sites. Alternative sites were primarily discarded for reasons of:

- Excessive vegetation clearance
- Lack of compelling outlooks from campsites
- Lack of shelter against weather
- Adequate space to group site components cohesively within the topographical setting
- Excessive benching (site cut and fill) requirements
- Issues of erosion control
- Visibility from KIWT and other areas of public visitation

#### VEHICLE ACCESS TRACK DESIGN AND ROUTE SELECTION

The proposed light vehicle access tracks have been carefully sited to utilise existing animal trails and their width kept to 3.15 m, the bare minimum to provide for construction access by ATV quad bike or narrow track tractors towing purpose-built narrow trailers. Tracks will be stabilised by laying down mulched vegetation without the need for compacting imported fill. Trafficked width will be approximately 1.95 m and allowance made for 0.6 m on each side for drainage control. After construction these tracks will be maintained for service provision including food delivery, waste disposal and maintenance. They follow natural contours to minimise erosion.

At Sandy Creek, the route was also selected with a view to minimising impacts on other trail users, and to provide discreet servicing by vehicle without crossing the main trail. Vehicle access was considered to provide better operational access and less general disturbance than using helicopters, without vehicle track. The selected approach will not impact on public amenity.

At Sanderson Bay, the route initially utilises a disused construction track. This track then angles to discreetly cross the walking trail with minimum visual disturbance. Beyond that crossing, the route is again sited to avoid visibility from the walking trail.

#### WALKING TRACK ROUTE SELECTION

Proposed new walking trails have been carefully sited to generally use existing animal trails and their width kept to 1 m, the bare minimum to provide for walkers. Drones were used to help determine the path requiring the least clearance.

#### CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC AND IMPACT AREA

Off-site prefabrication and modular building techniques will be employed to minimise the overall construction envelope and minimise the need to use any large machinery on site. The overarching design and construction approach is to preserve as much of the site immediately adjacent to the building pods as possible. Refer to drawings in Attachments 3 and 4.

Buildings are sited on open and bare ground where possible. Large stands of vegetation are avoided where possible. It will be concrete-free with above-ground decks rather than solid pads being used on site to minimise the overall construction footprint. Footings for the decking and boardwalks are to be "pin pile foundation" style. Pin pile foundations are small precast concrete blocks with galvanized steel pipes inserted at opposing angles. The precast concrete head is installed at the

ground surface, and steel bearing pins are driven through the head and into the ground. They act as a simple and effective building foundation that does not require excavation or soil compaction.

Construction sequence will be staged and managed to minimise storage area at staging posts and access tracks made as narrow as possible to enable construction components to be transported with the minimum disturbance.

Significant plants have been located and their disturbance avoided as much as possible. Those individual plants near construction activities will be marked and protected.

#### DESTABILISATION, SOIL EROSION AND CHANGES TO SURFACE WATER FLOW

A primary site selection criterion has been to identify sites and locations within sites that reduce opportunity for destabilisation and soil erosion. Existing animal trails (that pursue natural contours) will be used for access tracks and walking trails.

Raised buildings, positioned along the contour with minimal cut and fill and minimal vegetation removal, will help maintain the natural drainage patterns, and paths will feature swales/hardening at regular intervals to prevent scouring and manage overland water flows during rainfall events.

#### FIRE PROTECTION MEASURES

Rather than designing all buildings to provide bushfire protection, a single building, the "Guide Pod" at each location, has been designed as a fire refuge, with enhanced design specifications to minimise the vegetated setback distance, whilst maintaining an acceptable level of fire protection. Some vegetation will need to be selectively cleared to remove taller more flammable species to achieve a fuel reduction of 50 % within 20 m of the building. Ground cover and low shrub species not considered to pose a combustibility threat will not be removed.

# WEED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND HYGIENE PROCEDURES AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION

Management of the construction process will be subject to adherence to a strict CEMP which will include:

- Phytophthora management strategy,
- Clean down of equipment prior to entering site,
- Leave No Trace principles and training for contractors and on-site staff,
- soil and vegetation protection measures,
- on site construction waste management.

More background on design features and construction methods is in Attachment 2 - Background on KI Walk Accommodation – Concept and Architectural Features.

#### c) Rehabilitation or restoration – outline measures taken to rehabilitate ecosystems that have been degraded, and to restore ecosystems that have been degraded, or destroyed by the impact of clearance that cannot be avoided or further minimized, such as allowing for the re-establishment of the vegetation.

Users of the accommodation facilities will predominantly be walkers accompanied by guides, and are expected to adhere to "Leave No Trace" behavioural guidelines. This will minimise ongoing degradation and enable recovery of any remnant native vegetation within the sites. In areas where disturbance from construction activities has occurred, infill planting of appropriate native species of local provenance will be undertaken to enhance soil stabilisation functions and support local biodiversity. Local

revegetation contractors have already begun propagating some suitable species so that they will be ready for planting at the earliest appropriate time. The existing seed sources on site will enable the natural regeneration processes after completion of construction and removal of any ongoing disturbance factors.

Kind Regards

Roger MRlayfen

Roger Playfair Principal Ecologist, NVC Accredited Consultant









Scale 1: 5000















TOPOGRAPHY: SITING 15 FEB 2019 (Rew. 2)

troppo



#### Kangaroo Island Council Table Section Table KI/5 - State Heritage Places

|           | Property Address                                      | Description and/or<br>Extent of Listed Place  | Lot No.<br>or Part<br>Sec                                    | Plan No.  | Certificate of<br>Title  | Section<br>16<br>Criteria | SA<br>Heritage<br>Register<br>ID |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|           | near EMU BAY VIA<br>KINGSCOTE                         | Cape d'Estaing to Emu<br>Bay (west) and Emu<br>Bay (east) to Boxing<br>Bay Coastline<br>(designated place of<br>palaeontological<br>significance) | S415<br>S416<br>S423<br>S500<br>S429<br>S431<br>A98<br>A1000 | H110800<br>H110800<br>H110800<br>H110800<br>H110800<br>H110800<br>T110802<br>D70632 | CR 5765/375<br>CR 5765/376<br>CR 5765/377<br>CR 5765/394<br>CR 5744/569<br>CR 5744/570<br>CR 5862/290<br>CR 5967/728 | bcd                       | 14548                            |
|           | Maupertuis Bay<br>FLINDERS CHASE<br>VIA KINGSCOTE     | David Kilpatrick's<br>Grave, Flinders Chase<br>National Park  | A51  | D38340  | CR 5778/21   | а                         | 14746                            |
| $\langle$ | FLINDERS CHASE<br>VIA KINGSCOTE                       | Cape du Couedic<br>Lighthouse, Flinders<br>Chase National Park  | A1   | F31869  | CR 5778/21   |                           | 10398                            |
|           | FLINDERS CHASE<br>VIA KINGSCOTE                       | Weirs Cove Jetty,<br>Funnelway & Store<br>Ruin, Flinders Chase<br>National Park   | Q55  | D38340  | CR 5778/21   |                           | 10402                            |
| $\langle$ | FLINDERS CHASE<br>VIA KINGSCOTE                       | Cape du Couedic<br>Lighthouse Keepers'<br>Cottages, Stable &<br>Store, Flinders Chase<br>National Park  | Q55  | D38340  | CR 5778/21   |                           | 12351                            |
|           | FLINDERS CHASE<br>VIA KINGSCOTE                       | Rocky River<br>Homestead, Flinders<br>Chase National Park   | Q55  | D38340  | CR 5778/21   |                           | 12588                            |
|           | Off South West River<br>Road KARATTA VIA<br>KINGSCOTE | Grassdale Homestead<br>(also known as<br>Edwards' Cottage) and<br>Sealers' Sites, Kelly Hill<br>Conservation Park                                 | A152   | D38341  | CR 5685/175  | с                         | 14645                            |
|           | Cassini Road, near<br>KINGSCOTE                       | Cassini Station<br>Complex and Mulberry<br>Tree   | A2<br>A1   | F8523<br>F8523  | CT 5495/488<br>CT 5825/100   | abc                       | 14750                            |
|           | Lot 140 Centenary<br>Avenue KINGSCOTE                 | Dwelling ('Hope<br>Cottage')  | A140   | D1691   | CT 5498/954  |                           | 10401                            |
|           | Off Cordes Road<br>KINGSCOTE                          | 'The Bluff Cottage<br>(Formerly 'Reeves'<br>Cottage, 'Seaview<br>Farm')   | Q23  | D75867  | CT 6021/719  |                           | 14406                            |
|           | 6 Dauncey Street<br>KINGSCOTE                         | Combined<br>Shop/Dwelling<br>(originally Barrett's<br>Store)  | A755   | F180787   | CT 5860/786  |                           | 12374                            |

232

Consolidated - 17 September 2015





#### **CONSERVATION ZONE**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 The conservation and enhancement of the natural environment and natural ecological processes for their historic, scientific, landscape, faunal habitat, biodiversity and cultural values.
- 2 Provision of opportunities for the public to experience and appreciate the significance of the native vegetation and original remnant natural habitat of the area through low-impact recreational activities and interpretive facilities.
- 3 Development that contributes to the desired character of the zone.

#### **DESIRED CHARACTER**

Conservation areas, including National, Conservation and Marine Parks and Wilderness Protection Areas, will continue to provide representative samples of the countryside and shoreline.

Facilities for the use of visitors including picnic areas, shelters, huts / bothies, camp sites, toilets, and similar public amenities as well as various forms of low-key, short stay tourist accommodation such as semipermanent tents and lodges are anticipated provided they are appropriately sited and designed in a manner that is subservient to the natural and coastal environment and adverse impact on natural features, landscapes, habitats and cultural assets is minimised.

The siting of tourism development, including any associated access driveways and ancillary structures, on cleared or degraded areas is preferred. Development should be located away from fragile coastal environments and significant habitat or breeding grounds.

There is some land on Kangaroo Island where the flora and fauna have developed naturally and are still not noticeably affected by human intervention. These areas will be kept free of artificial improvements so that visitors may experience a completely natural environment. Such areas will remain as wilderness areas in order to preserve their special character.

There is a need to preserve the natural character of land bordering rivers and at river mouths.

Land division will not occur except to accommodate an existing, lawfully erected dwelling, or to realign boundaries and will be required to meet various criteria, including in relation to approved waste control systems and effluent disposal, direct access to a public road, land division requirements, public access to the waterfront, the creation of waterfront reserves for conservation purposes, and other environmental considerations.

Dwelling additions or replacement dwellings will be supported where they meet various criteria in order to assist environmental improvements, including the provision of approved waste control systems and effluent disposal, building set-backs and site coverage requirements, the raising of living areas above the level of hazard risk due to flooding or inundation or to reduce the level of hazard risk, and other environmental considerations.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

#### Land Use

- 1 The following forms of development are envisaged in the zone:
  - directional, identification and/or interpretative advertisements and/or advertising hoardings for conservation management and tourist information purposes
  - facilities associated with the interpretation and appreciation of natural and cultural heritage such as
    public amenities, camping grounds, remote shelters, huts / bothies
  - scientific monitoring structures or facilities
  - structures for conservation management purposes
  - tourist accommodation.
- 2 Development listed as non-complying is generally inappropriate and not acceptable unless it can be demonstrated that it does not undermine the objectives and principles of the Development Plan.

#### **Form and Character**

- 3 Development should not be undertaken unless it is consistent with the desired character for the zone.
- 4 Development should be undertaken in a manner which minimises the effect on natural landscape features, flora and fauna and their habitat corridors, land adjoining water, scenic routes or scenically attractive areas.
- 5 Development should use the following measures to avoid impacting detrimentally on the natural environment, processes and/or conservation qualities of land in the zone:
  - (a) minimising the extent of earthworks
  - (b) minimising the extent of vehicle access servicing that development
  - (c) minimising the extent of local indigenous vegetation removal
  - (d) being sited in an unobtrusive manner preferably below hilltops or prominent ridgelines
  - (e) screening the visual impact by planting locally indigenous species having due regard to bushfire risk
  - (f) utilising external low reflective materials and finishes that will minimise glare and blend in with the features of the landscape.
- 6 Where public access is necessary in the zone, the construction of recreational trails and appropriate fencing such as post and wire should be provided to control the movement of the public whilst minimising the impact on biodiversity.

#### **Public Notification**

Categories of public notification are prescribed in Schedule 9 of the Development Regulations 2008.

Further, the following forms of development (except where the development is non-complying) are designated:

| Category 1   | Category 2 |
|--|------------|
| Directional, identification and/or interpretative<br>advertisements and/or advertising hoardings for<br>conservation management and tourist information<br>purposes. |            |
| Facilities associated with the interpretation and appreciation of natural and cultural heritage and public amenities.  |            |
| Scientific monitoring structures or facilities.  |            |
| Shop   |            |
| Structures for conservation management purposes.   |            |
| Tourist accommodation setback a minimum of 100 metres from land within an adjoining allotment used for farming or horticulture.                                      |            |

#### **GENERAL SECTION**

### **Coastal Areas**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 The protection and enhancement of the natural coastal environment, including environmentally important features of coastal areas such as mangroves, wetlands, sand dunes, cliff-tops, native vegetation, wildlife habitat, shore and estuarine areas.
- 2 Protection of the physical and economic resources of the coast from inappropriate development.
- 3 Preservation of areas of high landscape and amenity value including stands of vegetation, shores, exposed cliffs, headlands, islands and hill tops, and areas which form an attractive background to urban and tourist areas.
- 4 Development that maintains and/or enhances public access to coastal areas with minimal impact on the environment and amenity.
- 5 Development only undertaken on land which is not subject to or that can be protected from coastal hazards including inundation by storm tides or combined storm tides and stormwater, coastal erosion or sand drift, and probable sea level rise.
- 6 Development that can accommodate anticipated changes in sea level due to natural subsidence and probable climate change during the first 100 years of the development.
- 7 Development which will not require, now or in the future, public expenditure on protection of the development or the environment.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

1 Development should be compatible with the coastal environment in terms of built-form, appearance and landscaping including the use of walls and low pitched roofs of non-reflective texture and natural earth colours.

#### **Environmental Protection**

- 2 The coast should be protected from development that would adversely affect the marine and onshore coastal environment, whether by pollution, erosion, damage or depletion of physical or biological resources, interference with natural coastal processes or any other means.
- 3 Development should not be located in delicate or environmentally-sensitive coastal features such as sand dunes, cliff-tops, wetlands or substantially intact strata of native vegetation.
- 4 Development should not be undertaken where it will create or aggravate coastal erosion, or where it will require coast protection works which cause or aggravate coastal erosion.
- 5 Development should be designed so that solid/fluid wastes and stormwater runoff is disposed of in a manner that will not cause pollution or other detrimental impacts on the marine and on-shore environment of coastal areas.
- 6 Effluent disposal systems incorporating soakage trenches or similar should prevent effluent migration onto the inter-tidal zone and be sited at least 100 metres from whichever of the following requires the greater distance:

- (a) the mean high-water mark at spring tide, adjusted for any subsidence for the first 50 years of development plus a sea level rise to reflect probable climate change during the first 100 years of the development
- (b) the mean high-water mark at spring tide, adjusted for any subsidence for the first 50 years of development plus a sea level rise of 1 metre
- (c) the nearest boundary of any erosion buffer determined in accordance with the relevant provisions in this Development Plan.
- 7 Development should be designed and sited so that it does not prevent natural landform and ecological adjustment to changing climatic conditions and sea levels and should allow for the following:
  - (a) the unrestricted landward migration of coastal wetlands
  - (b) new areas to be colonised by mangroves, samphire and wetland species
  - (c) sand dune drift
  - (d) where appropriate, the removal of embankments that interfere with the abovementioned processes.

# **Design and Appearance**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 Development of a high architectural standard that responds to and reinforces positive aspects of the local environment and built form.
- 2 Roads, open spaces, buildings and land uses laid out and linked so that they are easy to understand and navigate.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 The design of a building may be of a contemporary nature and exhibit an innovative style provided the overall form is sympathetic to the scale of development in the locality and with the context of its setting with regard to shape, size, materials and colour.
- 2 Buildings should be designed and sited to avoid creating extensive areas of uninterrupted walling facing areas exposed to public view.
- 3 Buildings should be designed to reduce their visual bulk and provide visual interest through design elements such as:
  - (a) articulation
  - (b) colour and detailing
  - (c) small vertical and horizontal components
  - (d) design and placing of windows
  - (e) variations to facades.
- 4 Building form should not unreasonably restrict existing views available from neighbouring properties and public spaces.
- 5 Transportable buildings and buildings which are elevated on stumps, posts, piers, columns or the like, should have their suspended footings enclosed around the perimeter of the building with brickwork or timber, and the use of verandas, pergolas and other suitable architectural detailing to give the appearance of a permanent structure.
- 6 The external walls and roofs of buildings should not incorporate highly reflective materials which will result in glare.
- 7 The external materials and colours of a building should not result in a detrimental impact upon the existing character of the locality.

# **Energy Efficiency**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

1 Development designed and sited to conserve energy and minimise waste.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development should provide for efficient solar access to buildings and open space all year around.
- 2 Buildings should be sited and designed so that the open spaces associated with the main activity areas face north for exposure to winter sun.
- 3 Buildings should be sited and designed to ensure adequate natural light and winter sunlight is available to the main activity areas of adjacent buildings.
- 4 Roof pitches should facilitate the efficient use of solar hot water services and photovoltaic cells.
- 5 Development should be designed to minimise consumption of non-renewable energy through designing the roof of buildings with a north facing slope to accommodate solar collectors.

## Hazards

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 Maintenance of the natural environment and systems by limiting development in areas susceptible to natural hazard risk.
- 2 Development located away from areas that are vulnerable to, and cannot be adequately and effectively protected from the risk of natural hazards.
- 3 Development located to minimise the threat and impact of bushfires on life and property.
- 4 Expansion of existing non-rural uses directed away from areas of high bushfire risk.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development should:
  - (a) be excluded from areas that are vulnerable to, and cannot be adequately and effectively protected from, the risk of natural hazards
  - (b) be sited, designed and undertaken with appropriate precautions being taken against fire, flood, coastal flooding, storm surge, landslip, earthquake, toxic emissions or other hazards such as vermin
  - (c) not occur on land where the risk of flooding is likely to be harmful to safety or damage property.
- 2 There should not be any significant interference with natural processes in order to reduce the exposure of development to the risk of natural hazards.
- 3 The location of critical community facilities or key infrastructure in areas of high natural hazard risk should be avoided.

#### Flooding

- 4 Development should not be undertaken in areas liable to inundation by tidal, drainage or flood waters unless the development can achieve all of the following:
  - (a) it is developed with a public stormwater system capable of catering for a 1 in 100 year average return interval flood event
  - (b) buildings are designed and constructed to prevent the entry of floodwaters in a 1 in 100 year average return interval flood event.

#### **Bushfire**

- 5 The following bushfire protection principles of development control apply to development of land identified as General, Medium and High bushfire risk areas as shown on the *Bushfire Protection Area BPA Maps Bushfire Risk*.
- 6 Development in a Bushfire Protection Area should be in accordance with those provisions of the *Minister's Code: Undertaking development in Bushfire Protection Areas* that are designated as mandatory for Development Plan Consent purposes.

- 7 Buildings and structures should be located away from areas that pose an unacceptable bushfire risk as a result of one or more of the following:
  - (a) vegetation cover comprising trees and/or shrubs
  - (b) poor access
  - (c) rugged terrain
  - (d) inability to provide an adequate building protection zone
  - (e) inability to provide an adequate supply of water for fire-fighting purposes.
- 8 Residential, tourist accommodation and other habitable buildings should:
  - (a) be sited on the flatter portion of allotments and avoid steep slopes, especially upper slopes, narrow ridge crests and the tops of narrow gullies, and slopes with a northerly or westerly aspect
  - (b) be sited in areas with low bushfire hazard vegetation and set back at least 20 metres from existing hazardous vegetation
  - (c) have a dedicated and accessible water supply available at all times for fire fighting.
- 9 Buildings and structures should be designed and configured to reduce the impact of bushfire through using simple designs that reduce the potential for trapping burning debris against the building or structure, or between the ground and building floor level in the case of transportable buildings.

# **Natural Resources**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 Retention, protection and restoration of the natural resources and environment.
- 2 Protection of the quality and quantity of South Australia's surface waters, including inland, *marine and estuarine* and underground waters.
- 3 The ecologically sustainable use of natural resources including water resources, including *marine waters*, ground water, surface water and watercourses.
- 4 Natural hydrological systems and environmental flows reinstated, and maintained and enhanced.
- 5 Development consistent with the principles of water sensitive design.
- 6 Development sited and designed to:
  - (a) protect natural ecological systems
  - (b) achieve the sustainable use of water
  - (c) protect water quality, including receiving waters
  - (d) reduce runoff and peak flows and prevent the risk of downstream flooding
  - (e) minimise demand on reticulated water supplies
  - (f) maximise the harvest and use of stormwater
  - (g) protect stormwater from pollution sources.
- 7 Storage and use of stormwater which avoids adverse impact on public health and safety.
- 8 Native flora, fauna and ecosystems protected, retained, conserved and restored.
- 9 Restoration, expansion and linking of existing native vegetation to facilitate habitat corridors for ease of movement of fauna.
- 10 Minimal disturbance and modification of the natural landform.
- 11 Protection of the scenic qualities of natural and rural landscapes.

#### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development should be undertaken with minimum impact on the natural environment, including air and water quality, land, soil, biodiversity, and scenically attractive areas.
- 2 Development should ensure that South Australia's natural assets, such as biodiversity, water and soil, are protected and enhanced.
- 3 Development should not significantly obstruct or adversely affect sensitive ecological areas such as creeks, wetlands, estuaries and significant seagrass and mangrove communities.
- 4 Development should be appropriate to land capability and the protection and conservation of water resources and biodiversity.

#### **Water Sensitive Design**

- 5 Development should be designed to maximise conservation, minimise consumption and encourage reuse of water resources.
- 6 Development should not take place if it results in unsustainable use of surface or underground water resources.
- 7 Development should be sited and designed to:
  - (a) capture and re-use stormwater, where practical
  - (b) minimise surface water runoff
  - (c) prevent soil erosion and water pollution
  - (d) protect and enhance natural water flows
  - (e) protect water quality by providing adequate separation distances from watercourses and other water bodies
  - (f) not contribute to an increase in salinity levels
  - (g) avoid the water logging of soil or the release of toxic elements
  - (h) maintain natural hydrological systems and not adversely affect:
    - (i) the quantity and quality of groundwater
    - (ii) the depth and directional flow of groundwater
    - (iii) the quality and function of natural springs.
- 8 Water discharged from a development site should:
  - (a) be of a physical, chemical and biological condition equivalent to or better than its pre-developed state
  - (b) not exceed the rate of discharge from the site as it existed in pre-development conditions.
- 9 Development should include stormwater management systems to protect it from damage during a minimum of a 1-in-100 year average return interval flood.
- 10 Development should have adequate provision to control any stormwater over-flow runoff from the site and should be sited and designed to improve the quality of stormwater and minimise pollutant transfer to receiving waters.
- 11 Development should include stormwater management systems to mitigate peak flows and manage the rate and duration of stormwater discharges from the site to ensure the carrying capacities of downstream systems are not overloaded.
- 12 Development should include stormwater management systems to minimise the discharge of sediment, suspended solids, organic matter, nutrients, bacteria, litter and other contaminants to the stormwater system.
- 13 Stormwater management systems should preserve natural drainage systems, including the associated environmental flows.
- 14 Stormwater management systems should:
  - (a) maximise the potential for stormwater harvesting and re-use, either on-site or as close as practicable to the source
  - (b) utilise, but not be limited to, one or more of the following harvesting methods:
    - (i) the collection of roof water in tanks
    - the discharge to open space, landscaping or garden areas, including strips adjacent to car parks
    - (iii) the incorporation of detention and retention facilities
    - (iv) aquifer recharge.
- 15 Where it is not practicable to detain or dispose of stormwater on site, only clean stormwater runoff should enter the public stormwater drainage system.

#### **Water Catchment Areas**

- 16 Development should ensure watercourses and their beds, banks, wetlands and floodplains are not damaged or modified and are retained in their natural state, except where modification is required for essential access or maintenance purposes.
- 17 Development such as cropping, intensive animal keeping, residential, tourism, industry and horticulture, that increases the amount of surface run-off should include a strip of land at least 20 metres wide measured from the top of existing banks on each side of a watercourse that is:
  - (a) fenced to exclude livestock
  - (b) kept free of development, including structures, formal roadways or access ways for machinery or any other activity causing soil compaction or significant modification of the natural surface of the land, unless no other access is available
  - (c) revegetated with locally indigenous vegetation comprising trees, shrubs and other groundcover plants to filter run-off so as to reduce the impacts on native aquatic ecosystems and to minimise soil loss eroding into the watercourse.
- 18 Outside of zones provided for urban purposes, unsewered development with:
  - (a) low pollution potential, such as dwellings, should be located at least 50 metres from any watercourse
  - (b) high pollution potential, including industry and intensive animal keeping, should be located at least:
    - (i) 200 metres from a major watercourse (third order or higher stream)
    - (ii) 100 metres from any other watercourse.
- 19 Development should comply with the current Environment Protection (Water Quality) Policy.

### **Biodiversity and Native Vegetation**

- 20 Development should retain existing areas of native vegetation and where possible contribute to revegetation using locally indigenous plant species.
- 21 Development should be designed and sited to minimise the loss and disturbance of native flora and fauna, including marine animals and plants, and their breeding grounds and habitats.
- 22 Native vegetation should be conserved and its conservation value and function not compromised by development if the native vegetation does any of the following:
  - (a) provides an important habitat for wildlife or shade and shelter for livestock
  - (b) has a high plant species diversity or includes rare, vulnerable or endangered plant species or plant associations and communities
  - (c) provides an important seed bank for locally indigenous vegetation
  - (d) has high amenity value and/or significantly contributes to the landscape quality of an area, including the screening of buildings and unsightly views
  - (e) has high value as a remnant of vegetation associations characteristic of a district or region prior to extensive clearance for agriculture.
  - (f) is growing in, or is characteristically associated with a wetland environment.
- 23 Native vegetation should not be cleared if such clearing is likely to lead to, cause or exacerbate any of the following:
  - (a) erosion or sediment within water catchments
  - (b) decreased soil stability
  - (c) soil or land slip
  - (d) deterioration in the quality of water in a watercourse or surface water runoff
  - (e) a local or regional salinity problem
  - (f) the occurrence or intensity of local or regional flooding.
- 24 Development that proposes the clearance of native vegetation should address or consider the implications that removing the native vegetation will have on the following:
  - (a) provision for linkages and wildlife corridors between significant areas of native vegetation
  - (b) erosion along watercourses and the filtering of suspended solids and nutrients from run-off
  - (c) the amenity of the locality
  - (d) bushfire safety
  - (e) the net loss of native vegetation and other biodiversity.
- 25 Where native vegetation is to be removed, it should be replaced in a suitable location on the site with locally indigenous vegetation to ensure that there is not a net loss of native vegetation and biodiversity.

- 26 Development should be located and occur in a manner which:
  - (a) does not increase the potential for, or result in, the spread of pest plants, or the spread of any nonindigenous plants into areas of native vegetation or a conservation zone
  - (b) avoids the degradation of remnant native vegetation by any other means including as a result of spray drift, compaction of soil, modification of surface water flows, pollution to groundwater or surface water or change to groundwater levels
  - (c) incorporates a separation distance and/or buffer area to protect wildlife habitats and other features of nature conservation significance.
- 27 Development should promote the long-term conservation of vegetation by:
  - (a) avoiding substantial structures, excavations, and filling of land in close proximity to the trunk of trees and beneath their canopies
  - (b) minimising impervious surfaces beneath the canopies of trees
  - (c) taking other effective and reasonable precautions to protect both vegetation and the integrity of structures and essential services.

## **Open Space and Recreation**

## **OBJECTIVES**

28 A wide range of settings for active and passive recreational opportunities.

## **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 29 Public open space and recreation areas should be of a size, dimension and location that:
  - (a) facilitate a range of formal and informal recreation activities to meet the needs of the community
  - (b) provide for the movement of pedestrians and cyclists
  - (c) incorporate existing vegetation and natural features, watercourses, wildlife habitat and other sites of natural or cultural value
  - (d) link habitats, wildlife corridors, public open spaces and existing recreation facilities
  - (e) enable effective stormwater management
  - (f) provides for the planting and retention of large trees and vegetation.
- 30 Recreation facilities development should be sited and designed to minimise negative impacts on the amenity of the locality.

## Siting and Visibility

### **OBJECTIVES**

1 Protection of scenically attractive areas, particularly natural, rural and coastal landscapes.

### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development should be sited and designed to minimise its visual impact on:
  - (a) the natural, rural or heritage character of the area
  - (b) areas of high visual or scenic value, particularly rural and coastal areas
  - (c) views from the coast, near-shore waters, public reserves, tourist routes and walking trails
  - (d) the amenity of public beaches.
- 2 Buildings should be sited in unobtrusive locations and, in particular, should:
  - (a) be grouped together
  - (b) where possible be located in such a way as to be screened by existing vegetation when viewed from public roads.
- 3 Buildings outside of urban areas and in undulating landscapes should be sited in unobtrusive locations and in particular should be:
  - (a) sited below the ridgeline
  - (b) sited within valleys or behind spurs
  - (c) sited in such a way as to not be visible against the skyline when viewed from public roads
  - (d) set well back from public roads, particularly when the allotment is on the high side of the road.
- 4 Buildings and structures should be designed to minimise their visual impact in the landscape, in particular:
  - (a) the profile of buildings should be low and the rooflines should complement the natural form of the land
  - (b) the mass of buildings should be minimised by variations in wall and roof lines and by floor plans which complement the contours of the land
  - (c) large eaves, verandas and pergolas should be incorporated into designs so as to create shadowed areas that reduce the bulky appearance of buildings.
- 5 The nature of external surface materials of buildings should not detract from the visual character and amenity of the landscape.
- 6 The number of buildings and structures on land outside of urban areas should be limited to that necessary for the efficient management of the land.

# **Sloping Land**

### **OBJECTIVES**

1 Development on sloping land designed to minimise environmental and visual impacts and protect soil stability and water quality.

### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development and associated driveways and access tracks should be sited and designed to integrate with the natural topography of the land and minimise the need for earthworks.
- 2 Development and associated driveways and access tracks, including related earthworks, should be sited, designed and undertaken in a manner that:
  - (a) minimises their visual impact
  - (b) reduces the bulk of the buildings and structures
  - (c) minimises the extent of excavation and fill
  - (d) minimises the need for, and the height of, retaining walls
  - (e) does not cause or contribute to instability of any embankment or cutting
  - (f) avoids the silting of watercourses
  - (g) protects development and its surrounds from erosion caused by water run-off.
- 3 Driveways and access tracks across sloping land should be accessible and have a safe, all-weather trafficable surface.
- 4 Development sites should not be at risk of landslip.
- 5 Development on steep land should include site drainage systems to minimise erosion and avoid adverse impacts on slope stability.
- 6 Steep sloping sites in unsewered areas should not be developed unless the physical characteristics of the allotments enable the proper siting and operation of an effluent drainage field suitable for the development intended.
- 7 The excavation and/or filling of land outside townships and urban areas should:
  - (a) be kept to a minimum and be limited to a maximum depth or height no greater than 1.5 metres so as to preserve the natural form of the land and the native vegetation
  - (b) only be undertaken in order to reduce the visual impact of buildings, including structures, or in order to construct water storage facilities for use on the allotment
  - (c) only be undertaken if the resultant slope can be stabilised to prevent erosion
  - (d) result in stable scree slopes which are covered with top soil and landscaped so as to preserve and enhance the natural character or assist in the re-establishment of the natural character of the area.

## **Tourism Development**

### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 Environmentally sustainable and innovative tourism development.
- 2 Tourism development that assists in the conservation, interpretation and public appreciation of significant natural and cultural features including State or local heritage places.
- 3 Tourism development that sustains or enhances the local character, visual amenity and appeal of the area.
- 4 Tourism development that protects areas of exceptional natural value, allows for appropriate levels of visitation, and demonstrates a high quality environmental analysis and design response which enhances environmental values.
- 5 Tourism development in rural areas that does not adversely affect the use of agricultural land for primary production.
- 6 Tourism development that contributes to local communities by adding vitality to neighbouring townships, regions and settlements.
- 7 Increased opportunities for visitors to stay overnight.
- 8 Ensure new development, together with associated bushfire management minimise the threat and impact of bushfires on life and property while protecting the environment.

## **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Tourism development should have a functional or locational link with its natural, cultural or historical setting.
- 2 Tourism development and any associated activities should not damage or degrade any significant natural and cultural features.
- 3 Tourism development should ensure that its scale, form and location will not overwhelm, over commercialise or detract from the intrinsic natural values of the land on which it is sited or the character of its locality.
- 4 Tourism development should, where appropriate, add to the range of services and accommodation types available in an area.
- 5 Any upgrading of infrastructure to serve tourism development should be consistent with the landscape and the intrinsic natural values of the land and the basis of its appeal.

#### **Tourism Development Outside Townships and Settlements**

6 Tourist developments located within areas of high conservation value, high indigenous cultural value, high landscape quality or significant scenic beauty should demonstrate excellence in design to minimise potential impacts or intrusion.

- 7 Buildings and structures to accommodate tourists and associated activities should:
  - (a) not exceed a building height of 6.5 metres (from natural ground level)
  - (b) have a minimum setback of 100 metres from any of the following:
    - (i) public roads or be no closer to a public road than existing buildings on the subject land, whichever is the lesser
    - (ii) adjoining allotment boundaries
    - (iii) the high water mark
    - (iv) cliff faces

unless it can be demonstrated that a lesser setback would achieve one or more of the following:

- (v) will achieve a superior outcome in respect to the requirements of the relevant zone, policy area or precinct than if the minimum setback was applied
- (vi) would assist in avoiding areas of high value remnant native vegetation
- (vii) would provide a comparatively safer location in respect to exposure to bushfire hazard, including along access roadways
- (viii) would not result in unacceptable exposure to coastal flood and erosion process or stormwater inundation.
- 8 Development providing accommodation for tourists should be designed to minimise the potential for buildings to be converted into or used as a dwelling(s) where:
  - (a) if the development comprises multiple tourist accommodation units by ensuring that facilities, access driveways, parking areas, amenities and the like are shared
  - (b) if the development involves a single accommodation unit on a site or allotment in the Coastal Conservation Zone, Conservation Zone or Water Protection Zone, one or more of the following characteristics is evident:
    - (i) the structure provides basic shelter and limited internal space (eg cabin, hikers-hut)
    - (ii) one or more of the functional areas typically found in a dwelling (eg, laundry, kitchen) is absent
    - (iii) the structure is of a temporary or semi-permanent nature.
- 9 Development comprising multiple tourist accommodation units (including any facilities and activities for use by guests and visitors, including conference facilities ) should:
  - (a) ensure buildings and structures are clustered on the same allotment
  - (b) for larger scale developments (ie those proposing or resulting in more than 25 accommodation units), have direct or convenient access to a sealed public road.
- 10 Where appropriate, tourism developments in areas outside townships and settlements should:
  - (a) adapt and upgrade existing buildings of heritage value
  - (b) seek to improve conditions in disturbed or degraded areas on the site.

- 11 Tourism development, particularly in remote areas should be designed to minimise energy and water demands and incorporate alternative, sustainable technologies that use renewable energy sources and/or treat and reuse stormwater and wastewater to minimise reliance on mains services.
- 12 Natural features, signs and walkways should be used to manage and minimise potential risks of visitors damaging areas of cultural or natural significance, fragile areas, and areas of highest environmental value.
- 13 The visual and ambient impact of vehicles should be minimised by placing roadways and parking areas in unobtrusive locations.

## **Transportation and Access**

### **OBJECTIVES**

- 14 Development that:
  - (a) provides safe and efficient movement for all motorised and non-motorised transport modes
  - (b) ensures access for vehicles including emergency services, public infrastructure maintenance and commercial vehicles
  - (c) provides off street parking
  - (d) is appropriately located so that it supports and makes best use of existing transport facilities and networks.

## **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

#### Access

- 15 Development should have direct access from an all weather public road.
- 16 Development should be provided with safe and convenient access which:
  - (a) avoids unreasonable interference with the flow of traffic on adjoining roads
  - (b) accommodates the type and volume of traffic likely to be generated by the development or land use
  - (c) is sited and designed to minimise any adverse impacts on the occupants of and visitors to neighbouring properties.
- 17 Development should not restrict access to publicly owned land.
- 18 Driveways, access tracks and parking areas should be designed and constructed to:
  - (a) follow the natural contours of the land
  - (b) minimise excavation and/or fill
  - (c) minimise the potential for erosion from run-off
  - (d) avoid the removal of existing vegetation
  - (e) be consistent with Australian Standard AS 2890 Parking facilities.

#### **Access for People with Disabilities**

- 19 Development should be sited and designed to provide convenient access for people with a disability.
- 20 Where appropriate and practical, development should provide for safe and convenient access to the coast and beaches for disabled persons.

## Waste

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1 Development that, in order of priority, avoids the production of waste, minimises the production of waste, reuses waste, recycles waste for reuse, treats waste and disposes of waste in an environmentally sound manner.
- 2 Development that includes the treatment and management of solid and liquid waste to prevent undesired impacts on the environment including, soil, plant and animal biodiversity, human health and the amenity of the locality.

### **PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL**

- 1 Development should be sited and designed to prevent or minimise the generation of waste (including wastewater) by applying the following waste management hierarchy in the order of priority as shown below:
  - (a) avoiding the production of waste
  - (b) minimising waste production
  - (c) reusing waste
  - (d) recycling waste
  - (e) recovering part of the waste for re-use
  - (f) treating waste to reduce the potentially degrading impacts
  - (g) disposing of waste in an environmentally sound manner.
- 2 The storage, treatment and disposal of waste materials from any development should be achieved without risk to health or impairment of the environment.
- 3 Development should avoid or minimise as far as practical, the discharge or deposit of waste (including wastewater) onto land or into any waters (including processes such as seepage, infiltration or carriage by wind, rain, sea spray, stormwater or by the rising of the water table).
- 4 Untreated waste should not be discharged to the environment, and in particular to any water body.
- 5 Development should include appropriately sized area to facilitate the storage of receptacles that will enable the efficient recycling of waste.

#### **Waste Treatment Systems**

6 Development that produces any effluent should be connected to an approved waste treatment system which may include sewage, community wastewater management systems, or on-site wastewater treatment and disposal methods.

- 7 The methods for, and siting of, effluent and waste storage, treatment and disposal systems should minimise the potential for environmental harm and adverse impacts on:
  - (a) the quality of surface and groundwater resources
  - (b) public health
  - (c) the amenity of a locality
  - (d) sensitive land uses.
- 8 Waste treatment should only occur where the capacity of the treatment facility is sufficient to accommodate likely maximum daily demands including a contingency for unexpected high flows and breakdowns.
- 9 Any domestic waste treatment system or effluent drainage field should be located within the allotment of the development that it will service.
- 10 A dedicated on-site effluent disposal area should not include any areas to be used for, or could be reasonably foreseen to be used for, private outdoor open space, driveways, car parking or outbuildings.

## **DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROVISIONS**

Consolidated – 17 September 2015